COPYRIGHT ARBITRATION ROYALTY PANEL

+ + + + +

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

+ + + + +

HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

SATELLITE RATE ADJUSTMENT

DOCKET NO. 96-3 CARP-SRA

Tuesday, March 18, 1997

CARP Hearing Room LM414 Library of Congress 101 Independence Ave., S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE LEWIS HALL GRIFFITH, Chairperson

THE HONORABLE JOHN W. COOLEY

THE HONORABLE JEFFREY S. GULIN

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Joint Sports Claimants:

The Office of the Commissioner of Baseball:

KATHLEEN A. BEHAN, ESQ.
ROBERT ALAN GARRETT, ESQ.
GARY GREENSTEIN, ESQ.
STEVEN MARKS, ESQ.
Arnold & Porter
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-1202
202/942-5444

National Hockey League

PHILIP R. HOCHBERG, ESQ.
Verner, Lipfert, Bernhard, McPherson & Hand
901 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-2301
202/371-6244

On Behalf of Capital Cities/ABC, Inc.; NBC, Inc.; and CBS, Inc.:

CHARLES D. OSSOLA, ESQ.
Lowe, Price, LeBlanc & Becker
Suite 300
99 Canal Center Plaza
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-5503
703/518-5393

On behalf of Capital Cities/ABC, Inc.:

ROGER GOODSPEED, ESQ.
ABC, Inc.
77 West 66th Street
New York, New York 10023-6298
212/456-7593

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

On behalf of Broadcasters Claimants Group:

JACQUELINE DAVIS, ESQ.

JESSICA HERRERA, ESQ.

JOHN STEWART, ESQ.

Crowell and Moring

1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202/624-2500

On behalf of the Public Television Claimants:

MICHELE J. WOODS, ESQ.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C 20044-7566
202/662-5347

On behalf of National Broadcasting Company, Inc.:

DIANE ZIPURSKY, ESQ.
NBC, Inc.
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20004
202/637-4535

On behalf of The Devotional Claimants:

BARRY GOTTFRIED, ESQ.
Fisher, Wayland, Cooper, Leder & Zaragoza, LLP
2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20006-1851
202/659-3494

and

JOHN H. MIDLEN, JR., ESQ. Chartered
3238 Prospect Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007-3214 Washington, D.C. 20007-3214 202/333-1500

and

RICHARD M. CAMPANELLI, ESQ. Gammon & Grange, P.C. Seventh Floor 8280 Greensboro Drive McLean, Virginia 703/761-5000

On behalf of Program Suppliers:

DENNIS LANE, ESQ.
JOHN M. COLLINS, ESQ.
Morrison & Hecker, LLP
Suite 800
1150 18th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3816
202/785-9100

On behalf of American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP):

BEVERLY A. WILLETT, ESQ.
ANDREW J. SCHAEFFER, ESQ.
ASCAP
One Lincoln Plaza
New York, New York 10023
212/621-6289
212/621-6275

On behalf of Broadcast Music, Inc. (BMI):

MICHAEL J. REMINGTON, ESQ. GEORGE GALT, ESQ. Drinker, Biddle & Reath 901 15th Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 202/842-8800

and

JOSEPH J. DiMONA, ESQ. Broadcast Music, Inc. 320 West 57th Street New York, New York 10019 212/830-2533

On behalf of Satellite Broadcasting & Communications Association:

PAUL GLIST, ESQ.
JOHN D. SEIVER, ESQ.
Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
202/659-9750

On behalf of Prime Time 24:

C. TODD HARDY, ESQ. Hardy & Ellison, P.C. 9306 Old Keene Mill Road Suite 100 Burke, VA 22015

On behalf of American Sky Broadcasting:

CHRIS MEYER, ESQ.
MIKE KLIPPER, ESQ.
Meyer & Klipper, PLLC
Suite 803
918 16th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
202/496-0830

and

CRAIG HOOVER, ESQ.

JACQUELINE CLEARY, ESQ.

KRISTIN DONOGHUE, ESQ.

Hogan & Hartson

555 13th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

202/637-5600

On behalf of National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative:

JOAN KEISER, ESQ. NRECA 4301 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 703/907-5817

On behalf of Library of Congress:

WILLIAM ROBERTS, ESQ.
Senior Attorney
Office of General Counsel
Copyright Office for Compulsory Licenses
101 Independence Ave., S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20540
202/707-8380

ALSO PRESENT:

Tanya Sandros, Administration, CARP
Vivian Roque-Balboa, Administration, CARP
Christine Walsh, Paralegal,
Cole, Raywid & Braverman
Jerry Parker, Witness
Edwin S. Desser, Witness
James Trautman, Witness
Andy Paul, Senior Vice President, SBCA
Tyra Fischbeck, Director of Business
Affairs, Prime Time 24
David Hummel, Witness
Marsha Kessler, Witness

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Witness	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Cross</u>	Redirect	Recross
William H. Graff By Mr. Stewart By Mr. Seiver	784	791	907	862 905 918
By Mr. Garrett		885		710
VOIR DIRE	BY MR STE	WART ON	PAGE 781	
David Hummel By Mr. Gottfried By Mr. Seiver	927	937		
Marsha Kessler By Mr. Lane By Mr. Seiver	974	993	1036	

E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S

Exhibit No.	Description	Mark Recd
SBCA		
11-X	Advance Listing	833
12-X	Comparagraph	852
13-X	List of Satellite TV C-band Channels	954
14-X	Decision Issued 04-27-95 by the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel	1011
15-X	Valente transcript from the 1991-92 SRA procedings	1021

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Ladies and gentlemen,
4	good morning.
5	Judge Cooley would like a moment to
6	discuss the scheduling with you, please.
7	JUDGE COOLEY: I just wonder, is everybody
8	here?
9	MR. GARRETT: Michele isn't here, so I
10	don't know how we can talk about the schedule.
11	JUDGE COOLEY: Does she intend to be here?
12	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
13	JUDGE COOLEY: Okay. Then we'll wait to
14	talk about the schedule, then.
15	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Okay. Fine. Why
16	don't we do that, then. Yes. Okay.
17	Who is the first witness today?
18	MR. STEWART: Mr. Graff.
19	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Mr. Graff will be.
20	All right. Are we ready to proceed?
21	MR. STEWART: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. Let the

record reflect the Court Reporter has been previously 1 2 sworn and remains under oath. Mr. Stewart? 3 MR. STEWART: Mr. Chairman, I have a brief 4 opening statement. 5 CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Please. 6 MR. STEWART: I would use the opportunity 7 of my day in the front of the room to introduce myself 8 again. I am John Stewart of the firm of Crowell and 9 L.L.P., and I'm here on behalf of the 10 Moring, Broadcaster Claimants Group. Here with me today, and 11 you've seen her in the hearing, is my colleague 12 Jessica Herrera. 13 First of all, what is the Broadcaster 14 Claimants Group? Well, it's the group of the stations 15 that -- the commercial stations that are actually 16 carried by the Satellite Carriers. It includes both 17 superstations -- that is, independent stations -- and 18 network affiliates. 19 Why are we here? Because the stations are 20 copyright owners. They create their own programs, and 21 they also combine those programs with others that they 22

acquire from other sources, into the broadcast station signals that are selected and retransmitted by the Satellite Carriers here. And the broadcasters will receive a share of the royalties that you set in this proceeding.

I want to just harken back to something that Mr. Garrett said in his opening statement, and that is that the compulsory aspect of the compulsory license here is asymmetrical. It applies to us. It requires us, as well as all other copyright owners, to sell our programs. It's not compulsory on the Satellite Carriers. They can select a station or choose not carry it if they reach that conclusion.

And presumably, the basis on which they select stations and decide to carry them is that they are profitable. They make a determination that they can sell them to their subscribers, either on their own or as part of a package, any way that's profitable to them. So it's their selection, and that's a marketplace determination. By and large, the Satellite Carriers sell channels of programming, not individual programs.

Now, our evidence today will consist of the testimony and exhibits of Mr. William Graff, who is the Director of Programming at station WPIX in New WPIX is a superstation carried by two of the Satellite Carriers to about 700,000 subscribers. 5

> Mr. Graff's testimony, perhaps unlike some of the other testimony you have heard or will hear here, is hands-on experience with one aspect of what goes together with other program material to create the value that the Satellite Carriers receive when they decide to carry these signals and sell them to their subscribers.

> Mr. Graff will describe the work stations do in creating their own programming and putting that programming together with programs from other sources to create a broadcast schedule. And finally, he'll talk about the station's efforts in creating a unique image for the station, a distinguishable image from other stations.

> Now, once you've heard that testimony and the testimony of other witnesses, we will argue in our proposed findings that these efforts by stations add

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	to the value that the Satellite Carriers received when
2	they select stations and resell them to subscribers.
3	To quantify that value, we will rely on the evidence
4	presented by other witnesses here economic evidence
5	and analogous marketplace evidence to set a rate.
6	And we join the Joint Sports Claimants and
7	other copyright owners in requesting a rate of 35
8	cents, 36 cents, and 38 cents applicable to all
9	stations that are retransmitted by Satellite Carriers.
10	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Okay. Thank you very
11	much.
12	MR. STEWART: I would call my first
13	witness.
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. And only
15	witness.
16	Mr. Graff, if you'll raise your right
17	hand, please, sir.
18	WHEREUPON,
19	WILLIAM H. GRAFF
20	was called as a witness by Counsel for the Broadcaster
21	Claimants Group and, having been first duly sworn,
22	assumed the witness stand, was examined and testified

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	as follows:
2	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. STEWART:
4	Q Would you state your name, please?
5	A William Graff.
6	Q What is your position, Mr. Graff.
7	A I am Director of Programming at WPIX in
8	New York.
9	Q What responsibilities do you have in that
10	position?
11	A I am involved in the acquisition and
12	scheduling of programming and the creation of the
13	entire on-air look of the station.
14	Q And how long have you been in that
15	position at WPIX?
16	A I've been there for two years.
17	Q What was your prior position?
18	A Prior to that, I was Director of
19	Programming at WJZY and WFVT in Charlotte, North
20	Carolina.
21	Q What were your duties there?
22	A Similar to WPIX.

1	Q And before that?
2	A Before that, I was Director of Programming
3	and Operations at WPTY in Memphis. I had more direct
4	responsibility for the on-air look of the station.
5	Q And where were you before WPTY?
6	A Prior to that, I was at KSTW in Seattle as
7	Program/Research Manager.
8	Q And so you were not the Program Director
9	at KSTW?
LO	A There was no Program Director at KSTW.
11	Q All right. And what were your duties at
12	KSTW?
L3	A To perform research and work with the
L4	General Manager in programming acquisition and
L5	scheduling decisions to provide the sales department
L6	with relevant research to maximize the salability of
L7	the station's schedule.
L8	Q And where were you before KSTW?
L9	A I worked for a national sales rep. firm
20	called Telerep. in New York City. They represent
21	local stations from around the country to national
22	advertigers

1	Q	Mr. Graff, have you testified in these
2	proceedings	before?
3	A	No, I have not.
4	Q	Have you testified anywhere before?
5	A	No, I have not.
6	Q	Well, congratulations.
7		(Laughter.)
8		Now, do you have with you a document a
9	12-page doc	ument entitled "Statement of William H.
10	Graff"?	
11	A	Yes, I do.
12	Q	And is this your statement, Mr. Graff?
13	A	Yes, it is.
14	Q	Do you have any corrections to make at
15	this point?	
16	A	I have no corrections to make.
17		MR. STEWART: All right. I would offer
18	Mr. Graff fo	or voir dire at this point.
19		CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Any questions, Mr.
20	Seiver?	
21		MR. SEIVER: No questions for voir dire.
22		CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. Thank you.

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. STEWART:
3	Q Now, Mr. Graff, turning to the first page
4	of your statement, once a station acquires and creates
5	its own programs, is how those programs are scheduled
6	important?
7	A Yes. It's very important to maximizing
8	the station's viewership.
9	Q Can you tell us what your objective is in
10	scheduling the programming?
11	A The objective is to offer as unique a
12	block of programming as possible compared to what the
13	competition is offering, to have programs that can
14	flow the audience from one into another, programming
15	of similar appeal, and to take advantage of viewers
16	who are joining the set at a given time.
17	Q Do you also cross promote programs?
18	A Yes. We also cross promote both the
19	subsequent programming of a given day within a
20	particular program, as well as programming that is
21	running in the same time period on the next or a
22	subsequent day, because the viewers who are watching

1	you at a particular time on a given day are most
2	likely to watch you at that time on the next day.
3	Q All right. Now, on page 2 of your
4	statement, you talk about something that you call a
5	clear station image. Can you tell us what you mean by
6	that?
7	A The station has mixed its programming, its
8	identification announcements, the PSAs public
9	service announcements the promotional announcements
10	that it runs, to all have a similar look and to appeal
11	to a similar audience.
12	Q What is the purpose of developing that
13	image?
14	A To differentiate oneself and one's own
15	station from all of the other programming choices that
16	are out there, and to create a unique image in the
17	mind of the viewer.
18	Q All right. Now, would you turn, please,
19	to Exhibit 2 which is attached to your statement.
20	First, can you tell us what that is?
21	A Yes. These are program schedules a
22	weekly program schedule for 24 hours of the stations
I	1

1	that are carried on satellite systems for a typical
2	week in March of 1996, and the second group of the
3	same stations for a typical week of July 1996.
4	Q And what do these program schedules show?
5	A They show the variety of programs that
6	each individual station offers.
7	Q Now, you say in your direct statement that
8	the stations offer programs, including the most
9	popular programs in the country, as well as programs
10	of special appeal to various different demographic
11	groups. Is that correct?
12	A That is correct.
13	Q Could you illustrate that for us?
14	A Certainly. If you turn, say, to KNBC Los
15	Angeles, which would be the fourth schedule in the
16	list, you can see that they run programs on Thursday
17	night at 9:00, which is Seinfeld, 10:00 ER. These are
18	among the most popular programs in the country.
19	Returning to the first page, KCNC, you can
20	see that Sunday nights at from 10:30 until
21	midnight, they are running locally produced sports
22	programs that are unique to that market.

_	Q And would you turn to the page for WPIX,
1	Q And would you turn to the page for WPIX,
2	the March page for WPIX. These are in alphabetical
3	order, is that right?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q Sorry there is no page number here. Do
6	you have the WPIX page?
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q In taking a look at that page for March,
9	could you explain to us how the program scheduling
10	objectives that you talked about before are manifested
11	here?
12	A Certainly. In terms of audience flow,
13	let's just look at Wednesday afternoon. You can see,
14	starting at 2:00, we're running children's programming
15	as children are arriving home from school. The
16	earlier programs are aimed at preschoolers, and then
17	from 3:00 on at children coming home from school.
18	That then leads into young appeal, both teens and
19	young adult appeal programming at 5:00 with Beverly
20	Hills 90210.
21	As adults are joining the set between 5:00

1	House, Blossom, Fresh Prince, and Family Matters.
2	These are directly promotable the viewers in these
3	are directly promotable into the prime-time
4	programming that night of the WB Network sitcoms
5	Sister Sister, Parenthood, and so on. So we create an
6	audience flow from younger to older as people are
7	joining the set.
8	Q And you do this on purpose?
9	A Yes. This is not by accident.
LO	Q Isn't it the case that every other station
1	follows the same kind of programming strategy as WPIX?
L2	A No, that is not the case. At the time
L3	that we are running the young appeal dramas and
L4	sitcoms, most of the other stations in the market are
L5	running news, which generally draws an audience over
L6	the age of 35. Even independent stations or non-major
-7	affiliates who don't run news at that time will choose
.8	other programs that are not of similar appeal to
L9	these.
20	Q All right. Now, would you please turn to
21	Exhibit 3 attached to your testimony. And tell us
22	what these are, please.

1	A Okay. On the first page of the exhibit,
2	you've got an advertisement from Prime Time 24 for
3	their carriage of WJLA Washington, D.C. And part of
4	what they are promoting is the '96 Presidential
5	Election coverage from the nation's capital. This is
6	a unique service that other network affiliates ABC
7	affiliates from around the country would not
8	necessarily have to offer, because they are not
9	broadcasting from the nation's capital.
10	Q All right. And what are the remaining
11	pages of Exhibit 3?
12	A The remaining pages are programming
13	highlights for Prime Time 24's DBS and c-band systems,
14	showing each of these stations and what they uniquely
15	have to offer from their region of the country. For
16	instance, the ABC affiliate WJLA once again, the
17	'96 Election coverage, as well as the sports from the
18	region; WNBC, with coverage from The Big Apple, New
19	York City.
20	KNBC will have broadcasting from the
20	KNBC will have broadcasting from the entertainment capital of the world. KPIX in San

1	49'ers, Raiders, Giants, and so on. And going to the
2	next page, KOMO from Seattle, which has been voted the
3	best newscast in the United States six of the last
4	eight years, this gives people an opportunity to see
5	a news that is head-and-shoulders above most other
6	operations.
7	Q All right. And those are examples of
8	programs or station identities along the lines that
9	you were discussing?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Finally, let's turn to Exhibit 4, please.
12	What are these?
13	A These are examples of locally produced
14	programs that are available on the stations carried on
15	the satellite systems, the local stations carry on the
16	satellite systems.
17	Q Are these programs available from other
18	sources?
19	A No, they are not.
20	Q Why not?
21	A They are uniquely available from these
22	individual stations because they are produced by these

1	stations and are not made available through
2	syndication.
3	MR. STEWART: All right. Thank you.
4	That's all I have on direct.
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. Cross
6	examine, Mr. Seiver?
7	MR. SEIVER: Thank you.
8	CROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. SEIVER:
10	Q Good morning, Mr. Graff.
11	A Good morning.
12	Q My name is John Seiver. I'm one of the
13	counsel for the Satellite Carriers in this case.
14	You do have the distinction of testifying
15	for your first time today?
16	A Yes.
17	Q If you don't understand a question that I
18	ask, just ask me to repeat it. If there is an
19	objection, you should wait until the court rules
20	before you give an answer, so you don't
21	unintentionally tell me something I shouldn't know.
22	As I understand it, your testimony today

1	let me start over. You work for WPIX, right?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q But your testimony today is on behalf of
4	all of the broadcaster claimants?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And you heard Mr. Stewart, in his opening,
7	mention that these are superstations as well as the
8	network affiliates that are apparently on the
9	satellite currently on the satellite?
10	A Correct.
11	Q I noticed that in the direct case that he
12	filed it says, "The Broadcaster Claimants Group
13	includes certain of the commercial television stations
14	retransmitted by Satellite Carriers during the 1989 to
15	1996 period." I was curious: is the use of the word
16	"certain" significant to you?
17	MR. STEWART: Objection. I believe Mr.
18	Seiver is quoting from a pleading that I filed without
19	having established whether the witness knows anything
20	about that pleading or has ever seen it before.
21	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Mr. Seiver?
22	MR. SEIVER: Your Honor, he is here on
- 1	

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	behalf of the Broadcaster Claimants. I want to find
2	out who he represents.
3	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. If he
4	knows, you may answer, sir.
5	THE WITNESS: I'm afraid I can't answer
6	that question.
7	BY MR. SEIVER:
8	Q Well, there are seven superstations that
9	are currently on the satellite, is that right?
10	A That may be. I'm not an expert on that.
1.1	I can't answer that question.
12	Q Oh, you're not an expert on superstations?
13	A On that aspect of superstations.
14	Q On who the other superstations are that
15	are on the satellite?
16	A That's correct. Because we don't compete
17	against those superstations for any type of revenue.
18	Q So your testimony today is as a local
19	affiliate, just in the New York market, is that right?
20	A No. My testimony is as Program Director
21	of WPIX and all that entails.
22	Q But as the programs are distributed only

1	in the New York market, not nationally?
2	A Programs are also distributed over
3	satellite systems and cable systems outside of the New
4	York market.
5	Q And you're familiar with how WPIX is
6	distributed outside the New York market?
7	A Somewhat, yes.
8	Q Well, I guess I have to understand that.
9	You're responsible for acquiring and scheduling
10	programs?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Do you take into consideration when you're
13	scheduling what other superstations that are also
14	distributed on the satellite are doing for their
15	scheduling?
16	A No, I do not.
17	Q That doesn't mean anything to you?
18	A No.
19	Q And as far as the cable distribution is
20	concerned, are you concerned with what other stations,
21	both local and distant, that a particular cable system
22	may be also selling along with WPIX in a package?

1	A No.
2	Q Let me move on, then.
3	You don't, then, whether Mr. Stewart's
4	group of Broadcaster Claimants on who you're
5	testifying includes all of the superstations that are
6	currently on the satellite, is that right?
7	A That is correct.
8	Q And you don't know, also, whether it
9	includes all of the commercial affiliates, commercial
10	network affiliates, is that right?
11	A That's correct. I don't know that
12	absolutely for a fact.
13	Q I meant that are on the satellite. But
14	you did include all of those stations' schedules in
15	the exhibit to your testimony?
16	A Right.
17	Q And you
18	A To my knowledge, those are the stations
19	that are on the satellite.
20	Q And to your knowledge, you picked those in
21	order to make a demonstration about their programming?
22	A Correct.

1	Q Even though you're not really aware of who
2	they are or what they're doing?
3	A I don't compete against them. I've looked
4	at their schedules, and I'm aware of them to the
5	extent that I've looked at their schedules.
6	Q I see. So at some point, you did know who
7	all seven superstations were, if you picked them to
8	put their programming
9	A Yes.
10	Q But as you sit here today, you couldn't
11	give me that list?
12	A No, I couldn't off the top of my head.
13	Q And you couldn't tell me, of course, then,
14	what their satellite or cable subscriber counts are?
15	A No.
16	Q Are you generally familiar with WTBS?
17	A I'm generally familiar with the station,
18	yes.
19	Q How about WGN?
20	A I'm familiar with that station also.
21	Q In fact, you're a little more familiar
22	with WGN than WTBS, aren't you?

	I I	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q And th	at's because it's owned by the same
3	company that owns	you?
4	A Correc	t.
5	Q Would	it be fair to say that you're also
6	a little more fami	liar with KTLA?
7	7 A Probak	oly not, no.
8	Q Aren't	they also owned by the same company
9	that owns you?	
10	A They a	are.
11	Q How ak	out KWGN?
12	A Only	to the extent that it's a sister
13	station of ours,	owned by the same company that we
14	are.	
15	Q Well,	I've listed four stations now that
16	are superstations	. And I've asked you to accept,
17	subject to check -	- and you can look at your exhibits
18	if you need to	that there are seven all together.
19	And now we've estab	olished that four are commonly owned
20	by the Tribune Com	pany?
21	A WTBS i	s not owned by the Tribune Company.
22	Q No, I	didn't mean WTBS. I meant WGN,
1	11	

1	WPIX, KTLA, and KWGN.
2	A Yes.
3	Q Those four.
4	A Yes.
5	Q How large is the Tribune Company?
6	A I couldn't answer that question.
7	Q Do you have any interaction with the
8	Tribune Company's executive offices?
9	A Only as it directly relates to my
10	position.
11	Q Do you know how many other stations
12	besides these four that I've listed they own?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Could you tell me what that number is?
15	A We've got those four. We have WLVI in
16	Boston, WPHL in Philadelphia, KHTV in Houston, and
17	KSWB in San Diego.
18	Q Would you accept, subject to check, that
19	Tribune owns about 14 stations, broadcast stations?
20	A Not at this time.
21	Q Well, didn't they just are you
22	including the acquisition of Renaissance?

1	A No, I'm not.
2	Q Including the acquisition of Renaissance,
3	would that be about 14 stations?
4	A That would be about 14 stations.
5	Q And has that acquisition closed or
6	A No.
7	Q Is that why you're
8	A That's why I'm not including it at this
9	time.
10	Q That's why you're not including it.
11	So you are aware, generally, of
12	Tribune's
13	A Yes.
14	Q And I presume you are also aware of the
15	Tribune's ownership of sports teams, am I right?
16	A Yes. I'm aware that they own the Chicago
	Cubs. It's the only sports team I'm aware that they
17	
17 18	own.
	own. Q That's the only sports team they have an
18	
18	Q That's the only sports team they have an

1	A Some of it, in a cursory way.	
2	Q You really focus on the sports that's on	
3	WPIX?	
4	A That is correct.	
5	Q Now, do you know what the total revenues	
6	are of the Tribune?	
7	A No.	
8	Q Do you know if they are the second largest	
9	collection of broadcast stations in the country, given	
10	the Renaissance acquisition?	
11	A I think it depends on what your measuring	
12	stick is for broadcast group size. And so I really	
13	couldn't answer that question.	
14	Q You don't know whether it's a billion or	
15	\$2 billion in revenues?	
16	A I have no idea.	
17	Q Whatever the number would be. But it's a	
18	big company?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q We can get that?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Thanks.	

1	Do you know if the Tribune is itself a
2	television network?
3	A No, Tribune is not a television network.
4	Q Does the Tribune Company, your parent
5	company, seek and obtain national television ads and
6	ad dollars for its stations?
7	A Yes. On a station-by-station basis, they
8	do seek to sell time to national advertisers.
9	Q Does that happen on WPIX?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Are those national ads shown in the New
12	York area?
13	A Yes, they are.
14	Q And to your knowledge, they are also shown
15	on two of the satellite and cable subscribers that
16	receive on WPIX?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q And do you know if the rates for those
19	particular ads are based on the number of viewers of
20	WPIX in the New York area only?
21	A Yes.
22	Q So the rates for those national ads do not

1	include cable carriage or satellite carriage of WPIX?
2	A Not outside of the New York designated
3	market area.
4	Q Have you heard of an entity called Tribune
5	Plus?
6	A It rings a bell, but I'm not aware of what
7	that organization is.
8	Q That's not an organization that actually
9	places ads on WPIX in New York?
10	A I wouldn't know. I don't work in the
11	sales department. I don't deal with the insertion of
12	advertising.
13	Q The programming that you do buy does carry
14	ads, though, doesn't it?
15	A Some programming that we buy carries
16	national barter commercials.
17	Q And do you know whether the Tribune
18	itself, when it is making contracts for these national
19	ads, includes for itself the cable and satellite
20	carriage of its stations that it owns?
21	A To my knowledge, local stations do not
22	participate in the revenue from national advertising

1	in barter programming.
2	Q So when the Tribune makes its deal with
3	the national advertisers, it keeps the revenues from
4	the cable and satellite viewer
5	A I don't know what deal you're talking
6	about with national advertisers.
7	Q I'm sorry. I thought we had established
8	that the Tribune does place national ads on its
9	stations.
10	A Each individual station sells their time
11	nationally. I don't know what sort of mechanism there
12	is to sell the time on Tribune's stations as a group.
13	Is that what you're referring to?
14	Q That's exactly what I'm referring to.
15	A I'm not aware of that.
16	Q You don't know whether it occurs or not?
17	A I do not know.
18	Q And you don't know whether, then, as well
19	if the Tribune is including cable or satellite
20	carriage for determining what rates are charged for
21	national ads it may contract with?
22	A I know that WPIX, in its sales of national

1	time, does not include cable or satellite viewers in
2	setting their rates.
3	Q Have you ever considered trying to sell
4	ads to national advertisers based on the total cable
5	and satellite subscribership at WPIX?
6	A That's totally outside the purview of my
7	position. I have nothing to do with advertising
8	sales.
9	Q When you're purchasing programming in the
10	marketplace, what do you utilize as your revenue
11	source?
12	A Local advertising.
13	Q Revenues.
14	A Exactly.
15	Q Didn't you think that if you could sell
16	national ads and get national ad revenues you could
17	buy better programming?
18	A Well, when I say "local advertising," I
19	mean advertising sold to meet the local to reach
20	the local market. Those ads are sold both to local
21	advertisers, the local car dealers, and so on, as well
22	as national advertisers you know, phone companies,

1	or so on.
2	But the target of both groups of
3	advertising is the same, and the rate structure that
4	both groups of advertisers are dealing with, to my
5	knowledge, is the same to reach the local New York
6	designated market area. They are not to reach viewers
7	outside that.
8	Q Well, what is the size of the New York
9	designated market area?
10	A Approximately 6,800,000 households, TV
11	households.
12	Q Six million. Do you know what WPIX's
13	cable subscribership is?
14	A WPIX, in the New York designated market
15	area, is carried on virtually every cable system in
16	that market, which reaches about 69 percent of the
17	households.
18	Q So if you look at cable carriage, that
19	would be about, let's say, 70 percent, about four
20	million are watching it on cable?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you know how many outside New York

1	DMA are watching WPIX on cable?
2	A I don't have that figure in my mind, no.
3	Q Has that figure ever been communicated to
4	you?
5	A At some point it may have. I'm just not
6	aware of it. It's not a top-of-mind issue.
7	Q Do you know, if I told you that the trade
8	press reported a WPIX total cable subscribership of
9	about 10 million, that that sounds accurate to your
10	recollection?
11	A I couldn't say.
12	Q And have you ever taken the time to learn
13	the satellite subscribership totals for WPIX that are
14	distributed through Superstar?
15	A I think I may have heard it once or twice.
16	But once again, I don't recall that number.
17	Q If I told you it was about half a million
18	or 600,000, does that sound about right?
19	A That could be right.
20	Q Let's assume, then, for purposes of our
21	discussion, that the total cable carriage is about 10
22	million total. Satellite carriage is about 600,000.
	1

1	Let's subtract out what might be the four million in
2	New York. There's about another six million worth of
3	viewers not worth, excuse me. Let me rephrase
4	that. Another six million viewers that are watching
5	your signal, or have access to your signal.
6	A Yes.
7	Q Is that right? That could be potentially
8	very valuable to you, couldn't it?
9	A I suppose if you know, there are
10	circumstances that could possibly exist where that
11	could be. You know, it's a supposition.
12	Q Well, would you think that a reasonable
13	approach in your position as a program acquirer and
14	scheduler is that you go over to your advertising side
15	and say, "Look, you guys, sell those other six million
16	viewers to these national advertisers that we've got,
17	and I'll put on better programming" is that
18	something that went on?
19	A You're asking me to explore a method of
20	doing business that considering a method of doing
21	business that I have not and I'm not even aware
22	that anyone has done. I don't understand the

1	question, really.
2	Q Well, WGN is a sister station, is that
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Do you know if they sell ads based on the
6	total number of their cable and satellite
7	subscribership?
8	A I believe WGN is structured significantly
9	differently than WPIX, in that the signal that they
10	send out to their cable and satellite subscribers
11	outside of the Chicago market differs from the signal
12	that is within their Chicago market.
13	Q And how does it differ?
14	A It has programming which is not exclusive
15	to WGN, and there may I'm not aware of what the
16	advertising arrangement is, whether they allow for
17	local insertion of advertisements by cable system
18	interconnects.
19	Q Do you know what WTBS does with respect to
20	its national distribution?
21	A My understanding is that WTBS offers the
22	exact same signal locally in Atlanta as nationally.

1	Q It does not substitute ads or change out
2	programming?
3	A No. I don't know what it does as far as
4	advertising is concerned, but I know that its
5	programming is consistent within the Atlanta market
6	and without.
7	Q With respect to the copyright royalty
8	rates, do you understand the distinction between
9	Syndex Proof and non-Syndex Proof superstations?
10	A Probably not fully.
11	Q Do you know that there's a difference in
12	rates for stations that fit within those categories?
13	A No, I really know nothing about that.
14	Q And you don't know whether there's a
15	different rate for a network affiliate?
16	A No.
17	Q So the actual rates that are paid
18	copyright are not something within your testimony
19	today?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And it would be fair to say they are not
22	within even your expertise or understanding?

A That would be fair to say.
Q So when Mr. Stewart, in his opening, made
a comment about the rates that he would support in
this, it had nothing to do with you?
A That's correct.
Q Do you believe that sports programming is
an important aspect of the programming on WPIX?
A Yes.
Q When I was reading your direct testimony,
I didn't see anything about the sports programming
being valuable. We talked about a lot of different
things and children's programming, and what not, and
political programming. I don't think until page 4, in
your last sentence, that you say "shows of sports
enthusiasts." Is there any reason why you didn't
highlight the sports programming that WPIX carries?
A No, other than you were probably talking
more about regularly scheduled programming than
occasional seasonal programming. That would be the
only distinction that would come to mind.
Q Is it your testimony that the regularly
scheduled programming is more valuable to the

Satellite Carriers than sports programming? 1 I really wouldn't know. I have not spoken 2 Ά to Satellite Carriers and gotten a general consensus 3 of their set of priorities. 4 Well, look on page 3 of your testimony, if 5 You speak of your programming and 6 vou would. 7 scheduling efforts. And the last sentence of that paragraph says, "The fact that Satellite Carriers are 8 freed from the burden and expense of creating and 9 10 scheduling their own channels of programming, because stations do that for them, is an additional component 11 of the value of the stations that retransmit." So 12 13 you're testifying that this programming that you do is valuable? 14 15 Α Yes. But I thought you just said you don't know 16 O what's valuable to the Satellite Carriers. 17 I didn't say -- I said I didn't know which 18 Α 19 valuable to them, whether the entertainment programming is or the sports, exactly 20 which aspect. I think by the fact that we're carried 21 22 indicates a significance of value.

1	Q I see. You carry a very important sports
2	team on WPIX, don't you?
3	A Yes, we do.
4	Q And they are?
5	A New York Yankees.
6	Q But the word "New York Yankees" doesn't
7	appear anywhere in your testimony?
8	A No. I did not include specifics of all of
9	the programming that we carry.
10	Q How many games a season do you carry?
11	A Generally, 50 games.
12	Q Is that by contract with the Yankees
13	directly?
14	A No. That is by contract with Madison
15	Square Garden Television, who are the rights holders
16	to the New York Yankees Television.
17	Q And who owns Madison Square Garden
18	Television, do you know?
19	A Right now, I think Chuck Dolan is buying
20	them.
21	Q Now, do you, as part of your programming
22	responsibilities, negotiate any of the relationship

1	between WPIX and MSG?
2	A No, I do not.
3	Q Somebody else in your organization does
4	that?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q Are you familiar at all with any of the
7	ongoing negotiations between MSG and WPIX concerning
8	the continued carriage of the Yankees?
9	A Other than that it is ongoing, that is all
10	I'm aware of.
11	Q Is there a possibility that the Yankees
12	won't be on WPIX anymore?
13	A That is a possibility.
14	Q The contract for carriage of the Yankees
15	has not been renewed?
16	A I don't know exactly what the state of
17	that contract is.
18	Q Do you know whether another station in the
19	New York area would be picking up the Yankees
20	programming?
21	A That would be possible.
22	Q Have you ever heard of a station called

1	WBIS?
2	A Yes, I have.
3	Q Is that the station?
4	A That would most likely be the station.
5	Q And who is WBIS owned by, do you know?
6	A WBIS is co-owned by Madison Square Garden
7	Television and Dow Jones. However, my understanding
8	of the purchase of Madison Square Garden by Mr. Dolan
9	is that WBIS was not included in that purchase.
10	Q But as of right now, it's uncertain as far
11	as the continuation of the Yankees?
12	A I don't have a schedule that I've
13	implemented at this point.
14	Q You are responsible for is the term
15	"counterprogramming" or programming other things
16	around, let's say, the Yankee games?
17	A Yes. Yeah, I wouldn't say
18	counterprogramming is the proper term.
19	Q Counterprogramming is other stations, I
20	guess, is that right?
21	A Right.
22	Q I'm sorry. What do you call it when you

1	try to program around particular
2	A Maximizing audience flow.
3	Q So the presence or absence of the Yankees
4	is obviously something that is going to be important
5	to your programming decisions?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And even though we don't know the relative
8	value, the sports programming is something that you
9	believe is valuable to the Satellite Carriers. Is
LO	that right?
L1	A I would believe it has a certain value,
L2	yes.
L3	Q If the Yankees let me start over. If
L4	MSG does not continue to sell you the rights to
L5	broadcast the Yankee games, do you feel that your
L6	signal is going to be less valuable than for the
L7	Satellite Carriers that carry it?
L8	A Not knowing what the relative value is of
L9	the various types of programming that we supply to the
20	Satellite Carriers, I couldn't say for sure that the
21	substitute programming that we would run instead of
22	Yankees is more or less valuable than Yankees.

1	Q I understand your statement about not
2	knowing the relative value. Do you have any
3	understanding of an absolute value of particular
4	blocks of programming for the Satellite Carriers,
5	whether, you know, carrying Seinfeld on an NBC station
6	is going to be worth a million dollars, or carrying,
7	let's say, the Yankees would be worth a certain amount
8	of money?
9	A I really don't I couldn't answer that
10	question.
11	Q Well, you don't actually sell programming
12	rights anyway. You're in the business of acquiring
13	them, right?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q And you're in the business of acquiring
16	them so you can package them into your channel and put
17	them out over the air in the New York area?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you testified that if someone picks
20	them up and puts them somewhere else, that's really
21	not a concern of yours?
22	A That's correct.

1	Q And you make your economic decisions as to
2	what programming you buy, and what advertisers you
3	take, and your program decisions, based on the New
4	York DMA?
5	A That's
6	JUDGE GULIN: Let me just clarify
7	something. You said it was not a concern of yours.
8	Do you mean you personally, or a concern of the
9	station?
10	THE WITNESS: A concern of the what, in
11	particular, concern about about what, in
12	particular, are you referring to?
13	JUDGE GULIN: Well, the prior question you
14	were asked, whether it would be a concern of yours
15	whether your station's programming was a rebroadcast,
16	did you mean to say that that was not a concern of
17	you, personally or it
18	THE WITNESS: Myself personally. I'm not
19	speaking for the station.
20	JUDGE GULIN: I understand.
21	BY MR. SEIVER:
22	Q Well, then, let me ask you: do you know

1	if that is a concern of the station?
2	A I really don't know.
3	Q That's not something you've talked about
4	with upper management or anybody else in advertising?
5	A Not to the extent where someone has given
6	me a definitive statement of that this has or does
7	not have a specific level of value.
8	Q And you yourself never pursued the issue
9	of exploring expanding the audience counts for
10	purposes of making your programming decisions?
11	A No. My knowledge of the way advertising
12	is sold does not lend itself the way advertising is
13	sold, to my knowledge, does not lend itself to
14	creating what might be considered an a hoc region of
15	satellite and cable receivers across the nation to
16	deliver a measurable audience to the advertiser.
17	Q And what is the basis for making that
18	statement?
19	A That markets are measured that
20	audiences are measured either in local markets, in
21	local DMAs by Nielsen, or they are measured nationally
22	by Nielsen. And there are, as far as I'm aware, no

1	sales markets that are contoured around where
2	superstations are carried.
3	Q Which would be the entire country?
4	A We're not carried in the entire country.
5	Q You don't know whether WPIX is available
6	to residents in every state?
7	A We may be available to certain residents,
8	but where we might be available and where we're
9	carried, I don't know exactly where the footprint is
10	for the satellites that carry us, and so on.
11	Q And you've never asked, for instance,
12	United Video or Superstar to give you the geographic
13	distribution of the people that subscribe to WPIX?
14	A I may have seen that. I think I have seen
15	that information once or twice.
16	Q But for whatever reason, you never used it
17	for
18	A No.
19	Q doing anything. It's been for
20	informational purposes only?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you don't know whether the advertising

1	people have done anything with that information?
2	A Correct. They haven't done anything to my
3	knowledge with it.
4	Q Oh.
5	A I mean, I haven't heard of anything that
6	they've done to that the WPIX advertising people
7	have done with it.
8	Q Now, you had made this statement, but
9	correct me if I'm wrong. I thought earlier we had
10	talked about how WGN is structured differently, and it
11	does sell ads, does it not, nationally?
12	A I don't know. In other words, they sell
13	ads on their are you asking if they sell ads on
14	their
15	Q Yes.
16	A if they sell ads on their cable system
17	nationally?
18	Q On superstation feed.
19	A On the superstation feed.
20	Q They give a separate feed?
21	A Yeah.
22	Q Do you know whether the NBC affiliate

1	in New York is also one of the stations that I think
2	you included in your programming.
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you know whether the NBC affiliate in
5	New York also provides a separate feed for Prime
6	Time 24 to uplink?
7	A I don't know about that.
8	Q Do you have any knowledge of whether that
9	NBC affiliate also puts in national ads for the
10	particular uplink feed?
11	A No, I have no knowledge of that.
12	Q Do you have any knowledge of whether the
13	NBC feed that I was just referring to is separately
14	identified on air as a satellite station?
1.5	A No, I have no knowledge of that.
16	Q We have been talking about sports and the
17	WPIX has the Yankees and the MSG. We have been
18	talking also about how important viewers are to your
19	station, is that right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And I guess the flip side of well, not
22	the flip side, but the reason viewers are important to

1	your station is because of ratings, is that right?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q And ratings means people are watching you
4	and not something else, right?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q And if they're watching you, advertisers
7	are happier, is that right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Now, you haven't gotten the total
10	subscriber counts for cable or for satellite, you
11	said. Have you been aware of any ratings information
12	for satellite or cable subscribers?
13	A No.
14	Q Are ratings important to you in
15	determining what programming to buy or
16	counterprogramming to buy?
17	A Yes. Yes, I did say that right. I did
18	mean counterprogram.
19	Q So, in that sense, if particular programs
20	have higher ratings, you're going to be more likely to
21	put that on than programming that has lower ratings,
22	just as a general rule?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And, in particular, when you're going to
3	counterprogram against other stations, you're going to
4	look at what their strong-rated programs are, and then
5	you intend to put on a high-rated program, or do you
6	just give up the time slot and put on a low-rated
7	program?
8	A It's situational. Generally, because we
9	are a fairly strong station within our market, and we
10	are able to promote to a significant cumulative
11	audience, we feel that we can go with strength against
12	strength, should we choose to. But generally, a
13	strong show will no matter how strong a show is,
14	there is going to be an audience that is not
15	interested in that particular program, and we'll
16	consider trying to reach the audience that is not
17	served by that program.
18	Q How have the ratings been for the Yankees?
19	A The ratings for the Yankees have been
20	okay, better as the season wore on as they got closer
21	to the World Series.
22	Q We're talking about the '96 season?

1	A Right.
2	Q And very good towards the end of the
3	season?
4	A I would say they improved somewhat. They
5	were pretty good all year, though.
6	Q And it was interesting that they were
7	playing a team that is also a superstation team, is
8	that right?
9	A In the World Series?
10	Q Yes.
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you know whether or not Major League
13	Baseball itself has a policy concerning superstation
14	carriage of local teams' games?
15	A I don't know exactly what their policy is,
16	other than obviously it is not a policy that restricts
17	in a very you know, in a major way, the carriage of
18	these games, because each of these stations is able to
19	carry baseball games.
20	Q I'm sorry. Did you tell me how many games
21	you do carry?
22	A 50.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q	50?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Are they all home games?
4	A	No, they are not.
5	Q	Evenly split between home and away?
6	A	Fairly.
7	Q	And WTBS also carries Braves games, is
8	that right?	
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Is that something you would look at in
11	baseball sch	nedules to see where they might be showing
12	a Braves ga	me, so that if you don't have a Yankees
13	game on you	might want to do something differently?
14	A	No. We don't counterprogram the Atlanta
15	Braves for t	the New York audience.
16	Q	Okay. Even though WTBS is distributed in
17	the New York	area?
18	A	That's correct.
19	Q	But that's not something that crosses your
20	mind?	
21	A	No. No. The Atlanta Braves do not have
22	a large er	nough viewership to be a significant

1	competitor when compared to WNBC or WCBS or WABC or
2	other broadcast stations in the New York market.
3	Q If the Braves are on a different station
4	in the New York market, would that mean something to
5	you? For instance, they might be on WPIX, I presume,
6	because they carry the Mets I mean, I'm sorry,
7	WWOR?
8	A Oh, I see. In other words, if the Mets
9	are playing the Braves on that day.
10	Q Right.
11	A We might be aware of it and, you know,
12	assuming that the Braves are you know, have been a
13	strong team, and the Mets have a certain local
14	interest that a Mets-Braves game may do better in the
15	New York market than, let's say, a Mets-San Diego
16	Padres game, and we might if it's in prime time, we
17	may look to counterprogram that particular game.
18	Q But as far as you're concerned, what WTBS
19	may carry in the New York market is that's not
20	something that you've got a pile on your desk to look
21	at their programming to see what you should be doing?
22	A Generally, no.

1	Q How about WGN? Is that another is that
2	a superstation you might want to counterprogram?
3	A No. I don't believe that WGN has
4	significant carriage in the New York City market.
5	Q And is that because WPIX does?
6	A I don't know what the decisionmaking
7	process is among the cable operators to decide which
8	imported superstations to bring into the market.
9	Q You carry a syndicated show called Family
10	Matters, right?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And that's shown on WPIX in the New York
13	area?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Do you know whether it is also shown on
16	WTBS?
17	A I believe it is also shown on WTBS.
18	Q When you were purchasing and scheduling
19	Family Matters, it didn't matter to you whether or not
20	WTBS was showing it at the same time or a different
21	time?
22	A The purchase of Family Matters occurred

1	prior to my	tenure at WPIX.
2	Q	But you still can schedule Family Matters?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you schedule Family Matters without
5	regard to wl	nat WTBS is doing?
6	A	Pretty much.
7	Q	Well, did the show succeed?
8	A	Family Matters?
9	Q	Yes.
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And do you know, is it programmed at the
12	same time as	s TBS?
13	A	There have been times, I believe, when
14	WTBS and WP	IX have shown Family Matters at the same
15	time.	
16	Q	Going back to the Yankees for a moment,
17	are you aw	are of a requirement by Major League
18	Baseball tha	at the stations who carry the teams who
19	have contrac	ted to carry the teams locally that happen
20	to be super	stations pay an additional fee to Major
21	League Basel	pall?
22		MR. GARRETT: Mr. Chairman, Bob Garrett,

1	Joint Sports Claimants. Can I ask where in the
2	written testimony of Mr. Graff Mr. Seiver is now
3	questioning?
4	MR. SEIVER: I don't have that in the
5	direct testimony, Your Honor. I'm talking about
6	sports programming generally, and I'm trying to
7	determine whether or not their programming decisions
8	and the money that is spent work into this mix, and
9	I'm just trying to find out if, by virtue of the fact
10	that there's superstation carriage, there's an
11	additional fee for any programming. And if he doesn't
12	know, then I'll move on. If he does, you know
13	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
14	Mr. Garrett?
15	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Chairman, my
16	understanding is that cross examination is limited to
17	direct examination. Mr. Seiver has this is not
18	part of the scope of direct examination.
19	Furthermore, we had a sports witness here
20	the other day. If Mr. Seiver was interested in
21	finding out what sports interests do, he should have
22	asked our witness and not the witness for one of the

1	other claimants here.
2	I think it's inappropriate to be raising
3	these questions with Mr. Graff, because it is beyond
4	the scope of his direct, and secondly, because he is
5	not the appropriate witness. Mr. Seiver had his
6	chance. He should have used it then.
7	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Okay. The objection
8	is sustained.
9	BY MR. SEIVER:
10	Q In the acquisition of any of your
11	programming, do you pay a premium besides sports
12	to any syndicator or seller of programming for the
13	fact that WPIX is carried nationally?
14	A No.
15	Q So it costs you no additional money to
16	produce and acquire your programming by virtue of the
17	fact that United Video or Superstar sells your signal
18	elsewhere in the country?
19	A No.
20	Q Now, I understand also from your testimony
21	that you're not really aware of the copyright royalty
22	rates that are paid for superstation carriage that are

1	paid here. Do I take it, then, do you have any
2	understanding about whether about how those
3	royalties are divided?
4	A Not really.
5	Q Do you know whether or not you, as a
6	broadcast station, have the right to come into this
7	building and participate in a proceeding and get
8	try to get some of those funds?
9	A Well, I'm here.
10	Q But you know this is not a distribution
11	proceeding, isn't that right? This is not for you to
12	get additional funds?
13	A I'm just here to talk about my experience
14	in programming a local TV station.
15	Q And you yourself are not asking for or
16	promoting any change in those royalty rates that are
17	paid in?
18	A I'm here just to testify.
19	Q As to what you've testified in your
20	direct?
21	A Right.
22	Q We've been talking about the value of the

scheduling and programming information, that 1 carriers are freed from the burden and expense of 2 I want to talk a little bit doing it on their own. 3 about the programming information. 4 You do provide advanced notice of your 5 program schedule to publishers of the satellite 6 television guides, do you not? 7 Correct. Α 8 And do they pay you for that? 9 Q Not that I'm aware of. 10 Α Do you benefit, then, from that? 11 We do it as a service to them. Since they 12 Α are carrying our signal to the home dish owner, we 13 feel it would be -- it just wouldn't make sense not to 14 let that home dish owner know where he can watch 15 programs on our station and which programs he can 16 watch when. 17 might have confused 18 think we I want to make sure I understand it. separate issues. 19 I had asked you whether you were giving it to the 20 guides, the people that publish them -- Orbit, for 21 instance, where you got your program information. 22

1	A Right.
2	Q Orbit is not a satellite carrier?
3	A No.
4	Q But you provide it to Orbit?
5	A Right. Correct.
6	Q Do you also provide it to the carriers?
7	A No. I was we just provide it to Orbit,
8	as far as I know. I don't know that we send a regular
9	schedule to the satellite actually, I'd have to
10	check with my staff to see if they do provide a
11	schedule to the Satellite Carriers in addition to the
12	schedules that are provided to the listing services.
13	Q Your testimony, then, is you don't know.
14	You may provide it to the Satellite Carriers?
15	A We may.
16	Q Well, let me ask you I'm going to mark
17	as Exhibit 11X
18	(Whereupon, the above-referred
19	to document was marked as
20	Exhibit No. SBCA 11-X for
21	identification.)
22	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: I think the rule says

1	to provide it to counsel before you provide it
2	MR. SEIVER: I'm sorry.
3	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Thank you.
4	JUDGE GULIN: Mr. Seiver?
5	MR. SEIVER: Yes?
6	JUDGE GULIN: Have you got another copy?
7	MR. SEIVER: I'm sorry.
8	BY MR. SEIVER:
9	Q Mr. Graff, I asked you to take a look at
10	what has been marked as Exhibit 11X, and ask you is
11	this a familiar looking document to you?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you know whether or not this particular
14	document has been faxed to Superstar or United Video?
15	A It may have been.
16	Q It wouldn't surprise you?
17	A No.
18	Q And this is an advance listing, is it not,
19	if you can read at the top there, does it say
20	"February 28, 1997, 2:02 p.m."? Do you see that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And Sunday, March 23rd is

1	A Ye	5.
2	Q	approximately three weeks subsequent to
3	that?	
4	A Ye	s.
5	Q So	this is you've already planned out
6	your	
7	A Br	oadcast program.
8	Q	program day for these straight on
9	through?	
10	A Ye	s.
11	Q An	d do you know whether Superstar has ever
12	done any promo	tions in its advertising based on the
13	program materi	al that you may have sent them?
14	A I	believe that they have.
15	Q So	I guess if they have, then we can
16	clearly assume	that information such as this has been
17	provided to th	em?
18	A Ye	s.
19	Q An	d you just don't know whether it's
20	routine, every	month, or
21	A We	ll, from looking at this, obviously,
22	it's this i	s part of our routine transmission of

1	programming information. So it goes out to if this
2	did come from UVTV, then we provide it to them on a
3	routine basis.
4	Q Tell me this: if I'm sorry. And
5	you're not aware of Superstar sending you a check for
6	and I believe your testimony was before is you
7	thought, "Oh, well, as long as your station is out
8	there, you might as well let the viewers know what's
9	on"?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Earlier, we had been testifying that
12	merely the existence and tell me if I'm wrong in
13	characterizing this of the satellite and cable
14	viewers wasn't really relevant to you in making your
15	programming decisions?
16	A The fact that they're not relevant when
17	we're making program decisions, which are specifically
18	well, the fact that they're not relevant when we're
19	making programming decisions doesn't mean that they're
20	completely negligible when we are broadcasting our
21	programming.
22	Q So if they're not completely negligible,
	1 1

1	what are they?
2	A They have a certain significance; hence,
3	are supplying the programming information to Orbit and
4	to the Satellite Carriers.
5	Q And what is that certain significance?
6	A I don't know if I could put that into
7	words, exactly what it is.
8	Q In this proceeding, and I know you're not
9	an expert witness on valuation, have you come to learn
10	what it is that this Panel is supposed to put a value
11	on at the end of the day, the week, or the six months
12	of the presentation of evidence?
13	A I believe it's on the value of the various
14	well, as far as I'm my particular area and the
15	value of the services that the superstations are
16	offering.
17	Q Have you heard the term "secondary
18	transmission"?
19	A I don't know what it means.
20	Q Well, have you heard the term?
21	A I can't place it in any context.
22	Q And how about primary transmission?
j	

1	A Same thing. I can't place it in any
2	context.
3	Q When you purchase programming and then you
4	broadcast it out from your antenna, I don't know if
5	it's is it in New York City?
6	A Yes.
7	Q We've been kind of alluding to Manhattan.
8	You don't charge viewers within Manhattan or the New
9	York DMA to watch your programming, is that right?
10	A Viewers who receive us over the air on
11	antenna do not pay any sort of fee.
12	Q To you.
13	A To us.
14	Q Do you know if viewers who receive it over
15	a cable system in the New York DMA pay WPIX anything
16	for the privilege of
17	A I don't know what our arrangement is with
18	the cable systems. That's really outside of my
19	purview.
20	. Q You don't know whether there is a similar
21	copyright payment as there is in satellite or not?
22	A Yeah, I don't know if there is a

1	retransmission fee or whatever it might be called,
2	that we get from cable systems.
3	Q You bring up retransmission. Are you
4	familiar with the term "retransmission consent"?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Has WPIX granted retransmission consent to
7	New York DMA cable systems?
8	A I don't know. That's not an area that I
9	deal with.
10	Q Someone else at WPIX
11	A Yes.
12	Q But I presume, since, you know, it's
13	carried on cable systems, something must have
14	happened, something like this?
15	A Yes.
16	Q But you do know what the cable carriage is
17	in the New York area?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you know if there was any payment made
20	for the retransmission consent?
21	A I don't know.
22	Q And nobody came over to you in programming

1	and handed you a check and said, "We've got this extra
2	money. Go out and get some more programming"?
3	A No.
4	Q When you are selling no, I'm sorry.
5	Let me start over. In your business of making the
6	I will refer to it as the primary transmission being
7	the signal emanating from your antenna available
8	the importance to you is to maximize the number of
9	viewers, so you can maximize your rates that you
10	charge your advertisers, is that right?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And ratings are important because not only
13	do you maximize the viewers that can get it, but that
14	are actually watching your programming?
15	A Could you state that again? I
16	Q I'm sorry. I didn't mean to say it so
17	fast. I was trying to reestablish it.
18	You obviously want to have as many
19	potential viewers as you can, but your ratings for
20	your particular shows are important because then you
21	can show the advertisers that this many people are
22	actually watching?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q Or this percentage of people with
3	televisions on. Isn't that called a share
4	A Yes.
5	Q are actually watching your programming?
6	A Yes.
7	Q When you are acquiring your programming
8	from syndicators, or whomever, do you look then at
9	ratings that programming may have elsewhere in making
10	your determination as to what to pay?
11	A Yes, that is one of the factors generally
12	involved.
13	Q And when you're buying the programming
14	from these particular programmers or syndicators, do
15	they look at you and say, "Well, I want to see what
16	your reach is in the New York market, and how your
17	other programming has been doing," in order to set a
18	fee?
19	A It depends on the type on the method of
20	distribution of the programming. If it's a series
21	that is being sold strictly for cash, where there is
22	no national barter time that is sold within the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

programming kept by the syndicator for his use to sell after he has distributed the program around the country, it is strictly a matter of dollars. You could be a very weak station with a very weak reach within the market, and yet if you come up with a higher license fee than any of your competitors, you generally will buy that -- you will purchase that program.

If it's a program, on the other hand, where there is no cash taking -- changing hands, but the entire consideration is the advertising time that the local station gives up to the syndicator for his sale of the national advertising within that program, then the strength of the station and the quality of the time period parameters within which they agree to run that program is the value that they trade for the show.

If it's a hybrid where there's cash plus a certain amount of national barter time, then generally -- then both factors will take place.

Q How is Family Matters sold? In which of the --

1	A Family Matters was initially sold strictly
2	as a barter program for its first two years, and the
3	subsequent license period has been strictly cash.
4	Q So Family Matters the selling of that
5	doesn't that programmer doesn't care that WTBS may
6	be showing that as well?
7	A What programming?
8	Q Whoever is the syndicator selling you
9	Family Matters.
10	A Oh, I'm sure they do care that WTBS shows
11	it, because they're getting a license fee from WTBS.
12	Q I'm sorry. Yes. But as far as what the
13	fee is for you, that doesn't work into the equation?
14	Does it reduce the value? I'm saying to you: can you
15	say, "Well, I'm not going to pay you as much for
16	Family Matters because TBS is right here with it"?
17	A I would say that the lack of exclusivity
18	can be a factor in the cost of programming.
19	Q Looking at Exhibit 11X and I didn't see
20	Family Matters on there. I know that you carry it.
21	I'm not going to try and find it. But just looking
22	down the types of programs that you have listed, and

1	I'm not going to go through them all, I'm just going
2	to ask you a couple of questions
3	A You can find Family Matters, by the way.
4	It is on there.
5	Q Oh, it is?
6	A Yes.
7	Q I'm sorry. And so that the record is
8	clear, is it the third page?
9	A Yes.
10	Q At what time?
11	A 7:30 p.m.
12	Q 7:30
13	Also, I noticed you have the CC in parens.
14	Is that for closed captioning?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And did you make a press release, I
17	believe in the last year, that you are closed
18	captioning all of the programming?
19	A I don't know exactly what the press
20	release said as far as closed captioning is concerned.
21	I know it dealt with, I think, all of our local our
22	news is closed captioned.

1	Q Do you know if you sent that press release
2	on to Superstar for them to publish to their
3	A I don't know. I don't distribute press
4	releases.
5	Q Just looking on the first page, then, at
6	2:00 p.m and I don't know why I'm picking this one
7	but Xena, Princess Warrior
8	A Yes.
9	Q who do you buy that from?
10	A From Universal Television.
11	Q Universal Television. And when you made
12	the deal with Universal Television, did you get
13	national non-exclusive rights for that programming?
14	A Our rights for that programming are
15	exclusive within our market.
16	Q Just within the New York DMA?
17	A Yes.
18	Q The exception would be that Xena is
19	carried by some of the other Tribune stations?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q To the extent WGN is carried in the New
22	York DMA, would there be a blackout requirement?

1	A I don't know that we would require cable
2	systems that we would have filed for syndicated
3	exclusivity on that on that program.
4	Q Well, I guess WGN is a sister station, so
5	you're not quite as upset about them as you might be
6	about WTBS.
7	A I think "upset" isn't quite the correct
8	characterization.
9	Q So you just had the exclusive rights to
10	the New York DMA. Do you have any national rights at
11	all when you make your deal with I'm sorry, I
12	forgot the
13	A Universal Television.
14	Q Universal Television?
15	A No. It's strictly for the New York
16	market.
17	Q Do you know who Jerry Parker is, by the
18	way?
19	A No, I do not.
20	Q Okay. Jerry Parker is from Superstar
21	Satellite Entertainment, and he has been here for four
22	days. And as you know, Superstar sells WPIX and the
1	

1	other stations. You're aware that Superstar does
2	that, right?
3	A I am now.
4	Q If Mr. Parker, who does programming
5	contracts, came to New York and visited you and said,
6	"You know, Bill, we'd really like to put Xena out on
7	the direct feed. Can you license us to have Xena as
8	a separate item on a separate channel?" would you be
9	able to legally do that?
10	A I don't believe our broadcast rights
11	extend to that.
12	Q And I'm not trying to trap you or
13	anything. And you don't understand, then, how your
14	broadcast signal, which is only cleared for the New
15	York DMA, is then distributed nationally without some
16	further intervention of some entity, am I right?
17	A No, I'm not that familiar with the
18	mechanics of it.
19	Q So suffice it to say that at least in a
20	market negotiation, you wouldn't be able to you
21	don't have the rights to sell to Superstar to carry
22	Xena nationally?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Do you have any national rights for you
3	can look at these, and if you need a moment, do it
4	for any of the programming that's in your program day?
5	A When you say "national rights," are you
6	referring specifically to the ability to allow a
7	satellite system to pluck a particular program off of
8	our air and retransmit it on another channel at
9	another time?
10	Q Yes, to a satellite viewer. Yes.
11	A To a satellite viewer.
12	Q That's what I meant. Exactly.
13	A I know that we own the copyright to
14	channel 11 news. So I don't know to what extent we
15	could offer that as a separate service.
16	Q You don't know how your contracts are?
17	You do own I'm sorry. Let me start all over again.
18	You're the registered copyright owner yourself? And
19	when I say "yourself" I mean
20	A WPIX.
21	Q for the news. Putting the news aside,
22	all of the syndicated programming and movies and the

1	sitcoms and talk shows, all of that is pretty much not
2	produced by you and by others?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q You had been testifying earlier about your
5	unique value or unique on-air appearance in the New
6	York area, is that right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Is part of that due to the fact that
9	you're a Warner Brothers network, WB?
10	A Yes. Affiliate.
11	Q WB, I'm sorry, affiliate.
12	And, in fact, you actually promote it on
13	your program listing. It's WB11?
14	A That's correct. That is the logo of the
15	station.
16	Q Does that appear WB11 in the lower
L7	right-hand corner like some of the networks do when
18	they put the I or the PI
19	A Yes, it does.
20	Q And even though it does say WPIX program
21	listing, when you do your generally viewed
22	advertisements, do you promote yourselves as WB11?

1	A	In promotional advertising?
2	Q	Yes.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you know if WGN is also a Warner
5	Brothers af	filiate?
6	A	Yes, WGN I know that their satellite
7	signal is.	And yes, within Chicago, they are also a
8	WB affiliat	e.
9	Q	Do you know whether KTLA is a WB
10	A	KTLA is a WB affiliate.
11	Q	And how about KWGN?
12	A	And KWGN is a WB affiliate.
13	Q	So four of the superstations are WB
14	affiliates?	
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And do you know whether the programming
17	that you	carry as a WB affiliate overlaps or
18	duplicates	programming carried by any of the other
19	three WB af	filiates owned by Tribune?
20	A	You are talking about WB network
21	programming	specifically?
22	· Q	Yes, WB network programming specifically.

1	A They run at different times.
2	Q Well, let me ask you: for example, WB11
3	runs a 10:00 news?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Do you know whether WGN, which is in the
6	central time zone, runs a 9:00 news?
7	A Yes, they do.
8	Q And that would be seen in the New York
9	area where you work at 10:00, the same time
10	A Yes.
11	Q as WPIX news?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you know whether any of the other WB
14	programming on KTLA would appear at the same time,
15	because of the time zone differentials, as WPIX
16	programming?
17	A I would think that all of it would appear
18	at different times in WPIX, essentially three hours
19	difference.
20	MR. SEIVER: I'd like to mark as
21	Exhibit 12X a document which I will explain in a
22	moment.

1	(Whereupon, the above-referred
2	to document was marked as
3	Exhibit No. SBCA 12-X for
4	identification.)
5	BY MR. SEIVER:
6	Q I guess, first, Mr. Graff, I'd ask you if
7	you've ever seen this document before.
8	A No, I have not.
9	Q Have you ever seen this type of document
10	before? When I say "this type," I mean something that
11	shows a grid of comparison of do you understand it
12	to be the program day for the three
12 13	to be the program day for the three A Yes.
13	A Yes.
13 14	A Yes. Q different stations?
13 14 15	A Yes. Q different stations? A Yes, I do.
13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q different stations? A Yes, I do. Q Have you ever seen something like this
13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q different stations? A Yes, I do. Q Have you ever seen something like this that compares the programming?
13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q different stations? A Yes, I do. Q Have you ever seen something like this that compares the programming? A Not of stations from different markets,
13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q different stations? A Yes, I do. Q Have you ever seen something like this that compares the programming? A Not of stations from different markets, but I've seen it from this would the industry

1	Q So this is something that's routinely done
2	for
3	A For local markets. That way you can see
4	who is running what when.
5	Q And if you look at just here on this
6	weekday schedule, the first page, the 7:00 I guess
7	it's Bugs 'N Daffy on WGN is actually at the same time
8	as the WPIX Bugs 'N Daffy?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And further down, where it says 7:00, WGN
11	is running it says movie or WB, and WPIX also is
12	running WB or a movie?
13	A On nights that there would not be the WB,
14	the movies would not be the same.
15	Q But on the nights they are WB, they would
16	be the
17	A It would be the same WB network
18	programming.
19	Q And then, also at 9:00 you have the WGN
20	news at 9:00, and then corrected for the eastern time
21	that would mean WPIX's news at 10:00 is at the same
22	time?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And also you'll notice that there is some
3	time shifting for example, at 3:00, Beverly Hill
4	90210, and also at 4:00 on WPIX?
5	A Yes.
6	Q In your testimony previously as far as
7	your unique status, do you think the fact that, at
8	least as far as superstation distribution is
9	concerned, this overlap or duplication, to the extent
10	that it is shown here, diminishes the value of the
11	programming that is on your particular signal to the
12	satellite carrier?
13	A I would say that the duplication is so
14	minor the vast majority of all three schedules, as
15	is evident by just glancing at it, are different
16	programs running at different times. And at any one
17	time, you can catch there are three different
18	programs available on the three stations.
19	It's similar to cable networks where
20	several of them own Bugs Bunny cartoons, and you can
21	catch Bugs Bunny cartoons on TNT or TBS or
22	Nickelodeon, yet they're on at different times. And

1	I don't think that that has reduced the carriage of
2	those three networks on cable systems.
3	Q The time shifting aspect of this for
4	instance, like Seinfeld I guess it says
5	Seinfeld/Cheers at 1:00 on KTLA, you think is not
6	really relevant to Seinfeld and Cheers being at 10:00
7	or 10:30 on your station?
8	A No. I think it's well, for one thing,
9	if it's Seinfeld or Cheers, it may if it's Cheers,
10	it's a different program.
11	Q Right.
12	A Seinfeld, which may which is day/date
13	it is distributed by Columbia still would be
14	available at, you know, two different times on the two
15	stations, making it more convenient for the
16	subscriber.
17	Q So you don't think the time shifting
18	diminishes the value?
19	A No, not at all.
20	Q And as far as the overlap, you think it's
21	minimal to the extent that it doesn't diminish value
22	to the carrier?

1	A Yes. I would say that the overlap is
2	minimal.
3	Q Do you know when WPIX became a Warner
4	Brothers affiliate? Was that on your watch I mean,
5	when you were there?
6	A Oh, no. I don't have a Bugs Bunny watch,
7	so I
8	(Laughter.)
9	Q I would ask you for one if you did.
10	(Laughter.)
11	A No, this happened prior to my tenure.
12	Q And do you know whether it happened
13	subsequent to United Video and Superstar carrying WPIX
14	as a satellite superstation?
15	A I would think that we were carried before
16	we were a WB affiliate.
17	Q And would you know whether that's the same
18	for WPIX and KTLA I mean
19	A WGN.
20	Q WGN and KTLA?
21	A I believe so, but I haven't seen a hard-
22	and-fast timeline that tells me that for a fact.

1	Q But as far as and we know that they're
2	all commonly owned, right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Tribune owns all of these, and for
5	whatever reason it is creating, you know, the same WB
6	status for its would you call yourself a flagship
7	station of Tribune in the major markets?
8	A Yes.
9	Q So we have Los Angeles, Denver, Chicago,
10	and New York owned by the Tribune. And all are WB
11	affiliates?
12	A That is correct.
13	Q When you speak of and you did this on
14	page 2 when you say you choose to schedule your
15	programs to be consistent with the overall image that
16	you described before, "and strive to distinguish
17	ourselves from other stations," I take it, then, that
18	distinguishing factor more applies to your DMA rather
19	than to the other superstations?
20	A Our primary concern is to distinguish
21	ourselves among other stations in the New York market.
22	Q Are you concerned at all about the

1	duplication or time shifting or overlap of programming
2	between you and your sister stations of Tribune?
3	A I wouldn't say that it's a motivating
4	factor in my decisionmaking process, no.
5	Q You wouldn't look at this I'm sorry
6	it's called a comparagraph?
7	A Yes.
8	Q You wouldn't look at a comparagraph and
9	say, "I've got to move one particular program
10	somewhere else, or change this," in order to
11	maximize
12	A Once again, since our revenue source is
13	based on the ratings that we get in the New York
14	market, and neither of these stations have neither
15	WGN nor KTLA has any significant presence in the New
16	York market, we do not look to their schedules when
17	deciding how to construct our schedule.
18	Q Now, if Mr. Parker called you up and
19	well, let me ask something. Do you know have
20	people from United Video or Superstar ever come to
21	tour or meet you at your station?
22	A Yes, United Video. I've met a couple of

1	people from	there.
2	Q	More than once?
3	A	More than once.
4	Q	Have you been to their facilities in
5	A	No, I have not.
6	Q	Do you know why you invited them to New
7	York?	
8	A	I was not the one who invited them. I was
9	involved in	a meeting with them.
10	Q	Do you know who did invite them?
11	A	No, I do not.
12	Q	Were people at your level, higher, below
13	you at those	e meetings?
14	A	At my level and higher.
15	Q	President of the station, or the general
16	manager? I	s that what he's called?
17	A	The general manager probably was at least
18	at one of the	hose meetings.
19	Q	Do you know if anybody from Tribune itself
20	was present	at those meetings?
21	A	Not that I recall from Tribune being
22	outside of	the TV station WPIX.

1.	Q	I'm sorry. I'm under the assumption that
2	there is som	eone from Tribune is it Michael Eigner?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	He is
5	A	He is the Executive Vice President and
6	General Mana	ager.
7	Q	But his office is in New York?
8	A	Yes, that's right.
9	Q	And is it in the same office area as you?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	I see. And during those meetings, do you
12	know if supe	erstation carriage was discussed?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And can you tell us a little bit about the
15	discussions	that you had?
16	A	Probably it was a State of the Union type
17	of presentat	ion. To my recollection, it was they
18	gave us the	e figures of "Here is where you're
19	carried. H	ere is where there may have been some
20	drops." It	was an informational meeting, really.
21	Q	Did that information help you at all in
22	making your	

1	A No.
2	Q programming decisions?
3	A No.
4	Q If Mr. Parker at one of those meetings
5	said, "You know, I really want you to move Murphy
6	Brown out of that slot," would you?
7	A No.
8	Q He has no contract with WPIX, is that
9	right?
10	A No.
11	Q Nothing directly. If Mr. Eigner told you
12	to move it out of that slot, you would?
13	A In a heartbeat.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MR. SEIVER: Your Honor, I have probably
16	another 45 minutes to an hour. Would this be a good
17	time for the morning break?
18	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Okay. We'll take our
19	morning recess at this time then, about 10 or 12
20	minutes.
21	(Whereupon, the proceedings in the
22	foregoing matter went off the record at

1	11:25 a.m. and went back on the record at
2	11:45 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Mr. Seiver?
4	MR. SEIVER: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	CROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. SEIVER:
7	Q Mr. Graff, I just wanted to tie up one
8	other loose end.
9	When we were looking at the programming
10	and we were looking at all the different not the
11	comparagraph, the other exhibit.
12	A Yes.
13	Q If you had just paged through and looked
14	at a particular week here, which is what we have, how
15	many different program providers or syndicators do you
16	think you have contracts with or negotiations with?
17	A Oh, I would say at least 25.
18	Q Twenty-five.
19	And these negotiations are ongoing. As
20	you change your
21	A Right, as programs when you purchase
22	programs, it's for a set number of runs over a set

1	number of years. And as programs expire, you either
2	replace them or remove them.
3	Q So you're a pretty busy man just on a
4	daily basis dealing with programmers and renewals and
5	contract rights and
6	A Among a lot of other things, yes.
7	Q In addition to scheduling, your
8	negotiations with the programmers is a
9	A Uh-huh.
10	Q Would you say it's intense at times?
11	A At times. Not I do not personally
12	acquire all the programming for WPIX by any stretch of
13	the imagination.
14	Q Others
15	A Others
16	Q Well, we talked about the Yankees.
17	Somebody else does that?
18	A Right. And the general manager is
19	involved. There will be certain programs I'll conduct
20	negotiations for up to a point; other programs I'll
21	conduct negotiations for after it's reached a certain
22	point and I'll close them.

(202) 234-4433

1	There are other programs that are acquired
2	for WPIX on a multi-station basis where we have a
3	Tribune
4	Q The Tribune's doing the negotiating?
5	A They're doing the negotiating in direct
6	contact with us as far as price and terms. They work
7	with us. We're not given something as a fait accompli
8	that we're not that we haven't bought off on.
9	Q Have you I'm sorry, are you finished?
10	A I am finished.
11	Q Have you ever felt I don't know what
12	word would be best, and I'll let you choose or agree
13	or disagree. Have you ever felt handicapped in
14	negotiating with programmers because of your status as
15	a super station?
16	A No.
17	Q Have you ever found that the super station
18	status has enhanced your ability to negotiate with
19	programmers?
20	A No.
21	Q No effect?
22	A No effect.

1	Q Are you
2	MS. WOODS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
3	I can't hear the questions.
4	MR. SEIVER: Am I really speaking that
5	quietly today?
6	I'll pick it up.
7	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Thank you.
8	MR. SEIVER: Did you hear that last
9	sentence?
10	MS. WOODS: No.
11	MR. SEIVER: I will endeavor to repeat it.
12	I had asked Mr. Graff if in fact the
13	status of the super station had an effect on his
14	ability to negotiate, and I tried it as a handicap or
15	as an enhancement, and he said really no effect at
16	all.
17	Am I right?
18	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
19	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: The last thing was
20	really a cough.
21	(Laughter.)
22	MR. SEIVER: I'll speak up.

1	BY MR. SEIVER:	
2	Q Mr. Graff, are you under do you	
3	understand the difference between the differing two	
4	differing satellite technologies now that are	
5	prevalent for delivering signals to the home?	
6	A You're talking about DBS and C-band?	
7	Q Right; C-band is we call it HSD	
8	sometimes or home satellite dish.	
9	A Right.	
10	Q DBS is direct broadcast satellite, and	
11	that's the do you understand that's higher power,	
12	smaller dish?	
13	A I understand that.	
14	Q And that's the latest and greatest, most	
15	popular technology; you read about it in the news and	
16		
17	A Yeah, I'm aware of it. I don't know if I	
18	would characterize it the way you've characterized it.	
19	Q Well, do you read Broadcasting & Cable?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q And they just had a big cable versus DBS	
22	banner on their latest edition?	

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	A I didn't get a chance to read this past
2	I was traveling.
3	Q Do you to come here.
4	Do you understand that DBS is digital?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And digital is a better picture, isn't it?
7	A My understanding is it is a clearer
8	picture.
9	Q And hasn't WPIX invested in digital
10	equipment itself?
11	A I'm not involved in equipment purchases or
12	engineering decisions that are made, so I really
13	couldn't speak to that area.
14	Q You're not aware of any recent promotional
15	statements that have been made about WPIX being the
16	first one to get Sony's digital satellite transmission
17	system?
18	A I'm not aware of that promotional that
19	promotional announcement, no.
20	Q Are you involved with the production of
21	the news programs?
22	A Not at all.

1	Q So if someone was to get a something
2	that would be used for the news, a digital Sony beta
3	cam or whatever, that would be something that probably
4	wouldn't
5	A Really would have no effect on me.
6	Q But you do agree that digital is at
7	least your understanding is it is a better picture?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you know whether in the DBS market all
10	of the programming that's delivered to the satellite
11	dish owner is digital?
12	A My understanding is that it is.
	A My understanding is that it is. Q And you understand also that at least as
12 13 14	
13	Q And you understand also that at least as
13 14 15	Q And you understand also that at least as of now one of the DBS providers does include WPIX in
13 14 15	Q And you understand also that at least as of now one of the DBS providers does include WPIX in its package of programming that's delivered to the
13 14 15 16	Q And you understand also that at least as of now one of the DBS providers does include WPIX in its package of programming that's delivered to the dish owners to DBS owners?
13	Q And you understand also that at least as of now one of the DBS providers does include WPIX in its package of programming that's delivered to the dish owners to DBS owners? A I don't know who that is.
13 14 15 16 17	Q And you understand also that at least as of now one of the DBS providers does include WPIX in its package of programming that's delivered to the dish owners to DBS owners? A I don't know who that is. Q EchoStar; does that sound
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And you understand also that at least as of now one of the DBS providers does include WPIX in its package of programming that's delivered to the dish owners to DBS owners? A I don't know who that is. Q EchoStar; does that sound A They I'm not aware of this is news
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And you understand also that at least as of now one of the DBS providers does include WPIX in its package of programming that's delivered to the dish owners to DBS owners? A I don't know who that is. Q EchoStar; does that sound A They I'm not aware of this is news to me.

1	carry
2	A Okay.
3	Q WPIX.
4	A Sure.
5	Q And consistent with our other testimony
6	that's not something that you really pay that much
7	attention to?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Although, you are generally familiar with
10	DBS and digital transmission?
11	A Uh-huh.
12	Q You had talked on page three of your
13	testimony about the value added by station programmers
14	such as yourself who put together schedules of
15	individuals programs.
16	Do you see that at the top?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Make the most useful to satellite
19	subscribers.
20	A digital picture delivered to satellite
21	subscribers would also be a "value added" aspect of
22	delivery of television, wouldn't it?

1	A Only in that only if it was unique or
2	exclusive in some way compared to every other signal
3	that they're receiving. If the general format of what
4	they're receiving is digital, then there really is no
5	additional value to having any one particular signal
6	digital.
7	Q So the overlap of programming between
8	multiple signals that a dish subscriber may get is not
9	really a problem; but if they're all digital, then you
LO	do think that that's really there's no additional
11	value for WPIX?
L2	A No, no; I'm saying that the fact that a
L3	DBS company would have to digitize our signal, if
L4	they're getting our signal on an analog basis and make
L5	it digital, is not adding any value to our signal that
L6	isn't already added to every other signal that they're
L7	delivering.
18	So in essence, if all values are equal,
L9	there's no value.
20	Q So they
21	A There's no particular value, I should say.
22	Q There's no relative there's value
1	I and the second se

1	added; but relative to the others, it's no more or no
2	less than the others?
3	A Correct.
4	Q But based on your analog, if you have a
5	DBS subscribers let's say they manage to be in the
6	New York DMA and they have their EchoStar dish out
7	there and they can get WPIX over the air over WPIX on
8	their dish, the relative value there would be
9	increased if they can watch a clearer picture?
10	A Than they could watch over the air?
11	Q Yes.
12	A Yes.
13	Q Thank you.
14	A If indeed there are EchoStar subscribers
15	in the New York DMA.
16	Q And that you have no knowledge of?
17	A I have no knowledge of.
18	Q You are aware of EchoStar's and ASkyB's
19	proposals that have been in the press recently?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And have you made any analysis or review
22	of the increase or change in the DBS marketplace as a

1	result of that announced merger?	
2	A My understanding is that there are	
3	significant technological and legal hurdles to that	
4	merger accomplishing what they've stated they wish to	
5	accomplish.	
6	Q I'm not going to go into that about the	
7	local signals?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q I'm not going to go into that.	
10	But suffice it to say, as it stands right	
11	now, your signal can be retransmitted within the New	
12	York DMA. Whether you have it there over the air or	
13	it's on over a cable, it doesn't matter; there's no	
14	restriction on a satellite carrier bringing WPIX back	
15	into your DMA?	
16	A I'm not conversant with the laws covering	
17	that.	
18	Q You don't know what the laws are with	
19	respect to network affiliates?	
20	A Correct.	
21	Q And the limitations or restrictions?	
22	A Right.	

1	Q You also mentioned in this page three that
2	the carriers are freed from the burden and expense of
3	creating and scheduling their own channels. I want to
4	focus on this burden and expense. And I think I
5	managed to get you to agree that there is some
6	relative value that the digital signal has over your
7	over your analog signal.
8	A Only in the very narrow specifications of
9	a digital signal being available in my market.
10	Q That's all you don't care that it's out
11	of your market?
12	A Right.
13	Q So that if someone's getting an over the
14	air signal of let's say WTBS in the Atlanta market and
15	they get their dish and all of the sudden they get
16	WPIX digital, that's of no consequence to you?
17	A As far as I'm concerned, no.
18	Q The burden and expense of creating and
19	scheduling do you see that the satellite carriers
20	have assumed the burden and the expense of receiving
21	the signals off air, building an uplink, renting a
22	transponder, marketing, authorizing is that

1	something that you see as a contribution of the	
2	satellite carriers to the distribution of WPIX?	
3	A Those are the satellite carriers'	
4	that's their method of doing business. Whatever value	
5	there is in WPIX being available in households outside	
6	of the New York DMA and the fact that satellite	
7	distributors have made capital investments to allow	
8	that to occur; yes, there is.	
9	Q But that distribution out of the New York	
10	DMA is, you said, not of value to you?	
11	A Not of any measurable value that I	
12	Q But you presume it is valuable	
13	A To them.	
14	Q to the carriers?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q And do the carriers just sell the super	
17	stations, do you know, or do they sell other	
18	programming?	
19	A I'm sure they sell other programming in	
20	addition to just super stations.	
21	Q Have you looked at any of the packages to	
22	see what cable networks or other super stations	

1	A I	No.
2	Q	or network stations are?
3	A	Other than the local stations you know,
4	the super st	ations, I haven't really examined which
5	cable network	ks or other stations are available.
6	Q 2	Are you a cable subscriber?
7	A	Yes, I am.
8	Q	I presume you're not a dish subscriber?
9	A	I am not a dish subscriber.
10	Q Z	And you buy your programming in packages?
11	A -	Yes.
12	Q z	A basic tier, expanded basic?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q :	So you understand the concept of
15	packaging?	
16	I A	Jh-huh.
17	Q 2	And you do understand that the satellite
18	carriers are	also engaged in packaging then?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q 1	Do you know sitting here whether the
21	economics of	a carrier's packaging of programming is
22	the same or	different from the economics of a

1	broadcast station's delivery of programming over the
2	air?
3	A I really don't know.
4	Q That's not within your purview.
5	Do you believe that there is any value
6	that's added by the satellite carrier to the expanded
7	carrying of your programming at all outside of the New
8	York DMA?
9	A Not any measurable value that I've seen.
10	Q And on page three, you have said that your
11	unique identity makes each station more promotable by
12	the satellite carriers; and I want to understand what
13	you mean by more promotable.
14	Could you explain that?
15	A Sure. As they are touting the services
16	and the packages that they offer, if a station has a
17	unique identity and offers a unique service to a
18	subscriber, it makes that dovetails with the
19	satellite carrier's promotion.
20	They're trying to convince their
21	subscribers to subscribe or to continue subscribing.
22	And in the same way I guess that cable systems will

1	tout various of their cable networks that they offer,
2	the satellite carriers would do the same.
3	Q Do you understand that does this
4	promotion that the carriers go through, this
5	promotion, benefit you in any way?
6	A Not that I'm aware of.
7	Q And to your understanding, when you say
8	more promotable, WPIX is not the highest rated program
9	that is viewed by satellite subscribers to your
10	knowledge, is it?
11	A I would be very surprised if we were the
12	highest rated.
13	Q Do you know what some of the highest rated
14	cable networks are that distribute to the satellite
15	homes?
16	A Other than making an assumption that it
17	would be the similar ones on cable you know, USA,
18	TNT; and that's strictly an assumption on my part. I
19	haven't looked at any statistics.
20	Q But at least on the cable package, you're
21	aware that those that you just mentioned are among the
22	highest rated of the cable networks?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And when you say more promotable, WB11 is
3	helping them to sell packages, you're also agreeing
4	that the fact that the package may include a USA or a
5	TNT also helps them sell a package, is that right?
6	A I'm sure. They aren't putting anything on
7	their packages that will devalue the package in their
8	eyes.
9	Q And in fact, if you look at on the next
10	page of your testimony, page four, you give some
11	examples well, could you refer to Exhibit 4 about
12	programs that have been broadcast?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you talk about what's carried on WPIX,
15	and you mention shows for sports enthusiasts,
16	entertainment shows I'm sorry, I skipped over the
1.7	beginning ones.
18	"Regular news programs, other locally
19	produced shows, shows for sports enthusiasts,
20	entertainment shows, children's shows, documentaries,
21	minority interest programs, religious programs, and
22	magazine type shows."

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	A Yes.
2	Q Are all these available on WPIX as well,
3	these types of programs?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Now all these types of programs are also
6	available on various cable networks, aren't they?
7	A Broadly, yes.
8	Q Well, for instance, news programs: we've
9	got CNN, Headline News, Fox News.
10	A But none of them offer news of interest to
11	people who are interested in the New York area
12	specifically.
13	Q You don't think any of those stations
14	carry any New York news at all?
15	A I think they carry some from a national
16	perspective, but not from a New York perspective.
17	Q How about what's Blumberg?
18	A Blumberg I think is a business news
19	service. I've never seen them more than in a cursory
20	way.
21	Q All right, and then shows for sports
22	enthusiasts; they're ones like ESPN and ESPN2, CCN,

1	Sports Illustrated, CCN SI?
2	A We're the only ones that carry the New
3	York City marathon live. Also, we have carried about
4	50 Yankee games a year which are not on any of those
5	other services.
6	Q But other Yankee games are available or
7	other services besides the 50 that you carry, right?
8	A There would be an occasional Yankee game
9	on a national broadcast done on either Fox or ESPN.
10	Q Also, with the regional channels, are you
11	familiar with regional sports channels that operate
12	from the New York area?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay, and there's a number of them like
15	MSG?
16	A MSG or Sports Channel.
17	Q Sports Channel?
18	A Right.
19	Q Do they carry New York area sports teams?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Entertainment shows I'm assume that
22	entertainment is a broad term in the almost every

1	station is entertaining to some degree?
2	A Uh-huh; we hope so.
3	Q Children's shows PBS carries children's
4	shows, Nickelodeon, Cartoon Network?
5	A But they are the shows that Nickelodeon
6	carries are mainly exclusive to Nickelodeon. The PBS
7	shows are certainly exclusive to PBS stations.
8	WPIX has been the most watched children's
9	station in the New York market, and there seems to be
10	some level of acceptance that we have among children's
11	audiences and some ability to reach them. And that
12	can translate outside of the market also.
13	Q Well, that's you added that. I was
14	going to stop you before you got to that.
15	It seemed, as we were talking about this,
16	that really the value in your programming and the way
17	you design your schedule is to appeal to people that
18	reside in the New York area. That's your number one
19	concern?
20	A That is our number one concern is to
21	get ratings in the New York designated market area.
22	Q So you're the only ones with really the

1	New York perspective on the news. And when you say
2	the New York area, you've got the most watched
3	children's shows just let me finish.
4	You added at the end that that does make
5	it promotable or valuable outside the New York area?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So you don't program it to be valuable
8	outside the DMA, but it just happens to be?
9	A It happens to be that there are people who
10	are interested in New York. Either they've gone to
11	school there, they're expatriates of New York,
12	whatever. And I know when I lived outside of New
13	York, if I could catch a New York City news, I would
14	tune into it.
15	Q So there is a certain value to reaching an
16	ex-New York, an expatriate that might have moved out
17	of the New York area to watch WPIX?
18	A I think the satellite distributors have
19	exhibited that by carrying us.
20	Q And that's good for you too, isn't it, to
21	reach those New York viewers?
22	A We don't derive any value from that.

1	Q Do you think it's a one sided equation
2	that's only value for the carriers?
3	A I haven't seen any evidence to convince me
4	otherwise at this point.
5	Q The satellite carriers can satellite
6	carriers insert ads from your
7	A Not that I am aware of.
8	Q Have you ever shared any ad revenues with
9	the satellite carriers?
10	A We do not sell advertising outside of the
11	New York DMA, so there would be no reason to share
12	with them.
13	Q Do you ever carry any of the infomercial
14	type programming?
15	A We traditionally have about an hour and a
16	half or two hours in the wee hours of the weekend of
17	infomercial programming.
18	Q Do you know if ever any of the sales have
19	been made of products on the infomercials that are
20	bought by people outside of the New York DMA?
21	A It's possible. I don't know of it. I'm
22	not aware of what our rate structure is different

1	than any other New York station. You know
2	Q Well, do you get to share in any revenues
3	from New Yorkers buying products over the
4	infomercials?
5	A My understanding is that our and
6	forgive me; this is not my area of expertise, sales.
7	But my understanding is that we sell it on
8	a rate basis, not on a per inquiry basis. So we do
9	not participate to the in a profit to the extent
10	that they receive a certain number of inquiries or a
11	certain number of orders for the product that they're
12	selling.
13	They simply pay a flat rate for the half
14	hour, put their show on; and whatever revenue they
1.5	generate from that, we don't have any participation
16	in.
17	Q So unlike the other programming,
18	infomercial producers pay you for carriage?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q So that they can maximize the number of
21	people that might see their product and buy it?
22	A Uh-huh.

1	Q In a sense, they're just like an
2	advertiser, except they dominate for a particular
3	period of time. They want to have viewers?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Do they ever pay a rate to you that
6	includes a calculation of viewers that are outside of
7	the DMA?
8	A Not that I'm aware of.
9	MR. SEIVER: That's all I have.
10	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, thank you.
11	Any other party to cross examine?
12	Mr. Garrett?
13	CROSS EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. GARRETT:
15	Q Good morning, Mr. Graff.
16	I'm Bob Garrett, and I represent the Joint
17	Sports Claimants in this proceeding.
18	Mr. Graff, WPIX broadcasts programming 24
19	hours a day, correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q 365 days a year?
22	A That's correct.

1	Q You put out about 8,760 hours of
2	programming in the course of the year?
3	A I'll trust your calculation on that.
4	Q I've used that number before.
5	MR. SEIVER: What did you say, 8,000?
6	MR. GARRETT: 760.
7	Are you checking?
8	MR. SEIVER: I'm going to.
9	MR. GARRETT: Then I'd better move on.
10	(Laughter.)
11	BY MR. GARRETT:
12	Q I take it that WPIX creates some of its
13	own programming like the nightly news, for example?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q And you also go out in the marketplace and
16	you purchase programming from other sources, correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And when you purchase that other
19	programming, you pay a license fee, correct?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q And that license fee is determined through
22	marketplace negotiations, correct?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And I take it from your answers to Mr.
3	Seiver that the amount of those license fees are
4	dependent upon the advertising revenues that you
5	generate from the New York market, is that correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now you're aware, are you not, that the
8	satellite carriers take the programming on WPIX and
9	distribute it around the country?
10	A Yes.
11	MR. SEIVER: I want to object to that
12	characterization, but the witness has already
13	answered, so I'll withdraw it.
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
15	MR. GARRETT: Okay. Well, maybe I'll give
16	you another chance, sir.
17	(Laughter.)
18	BY MR. GARRETT:
19	Q You're aware that one of those carriers is
20	EchoStar?
21	A Yes.
	1

1	A Yes, I'm aware of that. I became aware of
2	that today.
3	Q Okay. And you're also aware that
4	Superstar is one of those carriers?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay, and Mr. Seiver introduced you to Mr.
7	Parker from Superstar and all that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And Superstar also retransmits the
10	WPIX signal, all of the copyrighted programming on
11	WPIX, to its subscribers around the country, correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Now I take it you don't know anything
14	about the revenues that the satellite carriers receive
15	from the distribution of WPIX to its offered to
16	their subscribers?
17	A No, I do not.
18	Q Would it be fair to say that the amount of
19	license fees that you pay to program owners is not
20	dependent upon whatever it is that the satellite
21	carriers receive in the way of revenues from the sale
22	of WPIX programming?

1	A No, there is no relationship between the
2	two.
3	Q All right. And so Superstar and EchoStar,
4	for example, don't pay any compensation to WPIX for
5	distributing WPIX around the country, do they?
6	A No.
7	MR. SEIVER: Again, I'm going to object
8	because I think this is getting into an area where I
9	thought the witness had said he did not have an
10	understanding, and I think there might be a
11	misconception.
12	MR. GARRETT: There was a great deal of
13	discussion this morning about the relationship between
14	WPIX and the satellite carriers, about the revenues
15	that WPIX generates; and I'm simply trying to explore
16	that testimony. And again, if the witness does not
17	know, he can say he doesn't know.
18	MR. SEIVER: I'll withdraw my objection,
19	and I might have to have just one more question on
20	recross.
21	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
22	If you can answer, sir?
l	

1	THE WITNESS: Could you ask the question
2	again, please?
3	BY MR. GARRETT:
4	Q I was afraid you were going to say that.
5	That's why he objected.
6	A That's right.
7	Q Well, let's go back again here.
8	The revenues that you pay to the various
9	program owners are not dependent in any way upon the
10	revenues that the satellite carriers receive from the
11	sale of WPIX programming throughout the country?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q Okay. The revenues that you pay to the
14	program owners are dependent upon the advertising
15	revenues that are generated from the sale of
16	advertising in New York, right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. And whatever it is that the
19	satellite carriers are receiving from the sale of WPIX
20	programming, that does not factor into your payment of
21	a license fee for to the program owners, correct?
22	A No, it does not.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q	Okay. So that I understand here, WPIX
2	pays a licen	se fee to program owners, correct?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q Z	And WPIX is then distributed around the
5	country by s	atellite carriers, correct?
6	A -	Yes.
7	Q 1	But when the satellite carriers distribute
8	that programm	ming of WPIX around the country, they are
9	not paying an	ny portion of the revenues they receive to
10	WPIX?	
11	A	I'm not aware of any
12]	MR. SEIVER: That's my objection; and I
13	will clear th	hat up on cross.
14		CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
15		BY MR. GARRETT:
16	Q ·	You may continue with your answer.
17	A	Yeah, I'm not aware of any fees we receive
18	from satelli	te carriers.
19	Q (Okay. Other than what you might receive
20	through these	e proceedings here, correct?
0.1	A	Correct.
21		COIIECL.

1	MR. SEIVER: My objection continues.
2	MR. GARRETT: Is there anything else I can
3	ask for you?
4	(Laughter.)
5	MR. SEIVER: Just clear it with me first,
6	Bob.
7	BY MR. GARRETT:
8	Q And likewise, none of the revenues that
9	the satellite carriers are obtaining from the sale of
10	WPIX programming around this country go directly to
11	copyright owners other than through these Section 119
12	proceedings, correct?
13	A I can't answer that question.
14	Q Okay. Now are you familiar with a
15	gentleman by the name of Preston Padden?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And Preston Padden used to be head of the
18	Independent Television Association, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And the Independent Television Association
21	was the trade association that represented all of the
22	independent television stations throughout this

1	country?
2	A I believe it's the Association of
3	Independent Television Stations is the name of the
4	organization.
5	Q Okay.
6	A Was the name of the organization.
7	Q And Mr. Padden is now head of one o
8	the officers of ASkyB, correct?
9	A That's my understanding.
10	Q All right, and you're not aware of this
11	I take it, but Mr. Padden is going to be a witness i
12	this proceeding later on.
13	Did you know that?
14	A No, I didn't know that.
15	Q Let me just hand you
16	MR. GARRETT: May I hover over the
17	witness?
18	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
19	MR. GARRETT: A document that was
20	MR. SEIVER: Excuse me; I'm going to
21	object.
22	I'm not sure that he doesn't know

1	anything about Mr. Padden being a witness in this
2	proceeding. I'm a little concerned that now we're
3	going to get some testimony about some congressional
4	document that I don't have in front of me and I don't
5	think anybody else has.
6	MR. GARRETT: Well, I'm sorry, but this
7	was the document you introduced yesterday.
8	MR. SEIVER: Well,
9	MR. GARRETT: SBCA 10-X.
10	MR. SEIVER: If you would have told me
11	that, that would have been a help.
12	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
13	MR. SEIVER: If you can tell me what page
14	you're going to?
15	MR. GARRETT: I will indeed.
16	MR. SEIVER: Before you have him read it?
17	MR. GARRETT: Anything else?
18	MR. SEIVER: I'll let you know in advance.
19	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
20	MS. DONOGHUE: Your Honor, Kristin
21	Donoghue from American Sky Broadcasting.
22	I'd like to support Mr. Seiver's

1	objection. I think this is going beyond the scope of
2	anything that was in Mr. Graff's direct testimony.
3	MR. GARRETT: I haven't asked my question
4	yet.
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Let me hear the
6	question. Let us hear the question first, please.
7	BY MR. GARRETT:
8	Q I want to direct your attention here to
9	what has already been marked as SBCA 10-X; and in
10	particular, to pages 264 of 10-X.
11	Do you have that in front of you, Mr.
12	Graff?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. And page 264 indicates that this
15	was testimony provided by a Preston Padden before the
16	House subcommittee in January of 1988, is that
17	correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Now let me direct your attention to
20	page 266 of the of SBCA 10-X.
21	Do you have that before you?
22	A Yes.

And let me in particular direct your 0 1 attention to the second paragraph under roman numeral 2 I on page 266. 3 Do you have that before you? 4 5 Ά Yes. MR. SEIVER: Yes, and I'm going to object 6 7 to anything further on this since this was an area that I believe the witness had no expertise in when I 8 9 was inquiring about the compulsory license and the rates. And that seems to be the topic in this matter. 10 CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. 11 Well, Your Honor, I don't 12 MR. GARRETT: agree with that characterization of the paragraph. 13 But this particular paragraph here deals -- provides 14 Mr. Padden's testimony concerning the program license 15 16 fees that independent television stations pay in free marketplace negotiations to obtain the programming 17 that is then redistributed by satellite carriers. 18 And I do think that was an essential focus 19 20 of the examination that Mr. Seiver did of this witness here. It's a little difficult to argue the relevancy 21 22 of this here without having the exact passage before

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	the Panel, and I understand that you don't have a copy
2	of this before you.
3	And I would suggest
4	MR. SEIVER: If it may please the Court,
5	I'll approach and I have one extra copy. It's not
6	marked up.
7	MR. GARRETT: Page 266. And I have marked
8	the
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Paragraph?
10	MR. GARRETT: paragraph that I would
11	like to ask him about.
12	MR. SEIVER: If I may be heard, Your
13	Honor?
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Yes.
15	MR. SEIVER: I believe the witness
16	disclaimed knowledge of what other broadcasters do for
17	paying for license fees. This is talking about the
18	industry. He knows about WPIX, and he's testified to
19	that. This document is an exhibit and readily
20	available and officially noticed.
21	I don't think we're going to benefit
22	anything from having this witness read particular

1	paragraphs and be asked to agree or disagree with
2	whatever might be in there.
3	I think this is going beyond the scope of
4	cross and beyond the scope of the witness' knowledge.
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, Mr.
6	Garrett?
7	MR. GARRETT: Your Honor, I disagree that
8	it's going beyond the scope of the examination that
9	Mr. Seiver has already conducted here. I believe Mr.
10	Seiver has inquired rather extensively into the
11	relationship between WPIX and those with whom WPIX
12	deals, the program owners.
13	He's talked about the nature of the fees
14	that WPIX pays. I think I'm entitled to determine
15	whether or not his experience is consistent with
16	that is, WPIX's experience, is consistent with the
17	experience that Mr. Padden lays out for the entire
18	industry.
19	And then also I'll stop there.
20	MR. SEIVER: And Mr. Padden will be a
21	witness in a few weeks.
22	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: I understand.

1	Does ASkyB have an objection to this as
2	well? Same objection as Mr. Seiver?
3	MS. DONOGHUE: Yeah, I would just support
4	Mr. Seiver's objection.
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
6	Has this document been admitted into
7	evidence or not?
8	MR. GARRETT: No, Your Honor.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Okay.
10	MR. GARRETT: I believe it simply was used
11	yesterday for impeachment purposes.
12	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Yes, okay.
13	Mr. Garrett, you're attempting to use this
14	document which doesn't have a sponsoring witness for
15	the purpose of impeachment of this witness?
16	MR. GARRETT: And to explore the areas of
17	testimony that he's already given, Your Honor.
18	I'm not trying to have it admitted into
19	evidence. I'm not trying to use it as substantive
20	evidence here. I'm simply want to explore the
21	witness' knowledge on an area that he's already
22	testified on focusing on statements made in this

1	testimony.
2	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Okay.
3	All right, the objection is overruled.
4	BY MR. GARRETT:
5	Q Mr. Graff, then let me direct your
6	attention here to page 266 of SBCA Exhibit 10-X. And
7	I have marked the second paragraph under roman numeral
8	I. And I ask that you read that into the record, and
9	then I have a question for you concerning it.
10	A "Program license fees set by the forces of
11	the marketplace represent the single largest cost
12	category in the operation of an independent television
13	station. Currently, these fees constitute
14	approximately one-half of the total expense of the
15	average independent station.
16	"In fact, high program costs have been a
17	major contributing factor to the financial
18	difficulties of the 23 independent stations forced
19	into bankruptcy proceedings in the last year."
20	Q Now Mr. Graff, let me just ask you now
21	that first sentence that program license fees are set
22	by the forces of the marketplace represent the single

1	largest cost category in the operation of an
2	independent television station, would that same
3	statement apply to WPIX?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And I take it you don't have knowledge of
6	the program expenses of stations other than WPIX, is
7	that correct?
8	A Only cursory knowledge of stations that
9	I've worked at, and that knowledge grows less accurate
10	as time goes on.
11	Q Okay. There's also a statement here that
12	these fees constitute approximately one-half of the
13	total expenses of the average independent station.
14	Would that statement be approximately
15	correct for WPIX?
16	A That I couldn't answer with any authority.
17	Q Okay. Now I take it you don't know you
18	have no personal knowledge of how much either how
19	much Superstar receives for the sale of WPIX
20	programming to its paying subscribers, do you?
21	A No, I don't.
22	Q And you have no knowledge of how much

1	EchoStar re	eceives for the sale of WPIX and other
2	programming	to its paying subscribers, do you?
3	A	No, I don't.
4	Q	You were also asked some questions about
5	the New Yor	k Yankees. Do you recall that?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	How long has WPIX broadcast the games of
8	the New Yor	k Yankees?
9	A	Approximately nearly 50 years.
10	Q	Fifty years.
11		Now going back to
12	A	To
13	Q	even before I was alive?
14		(Laughter.)
15		Not by much.
16		MR. SEIVER: There was no television then.
17		(Laughter.)
18		MR. GARRETT: Those youngsters.
19		BY MR. GARRETT:
20	Q	Fifty years, you said?
21	A	Approximately.
22	Q	Okay. Now Mr. Seiver had talked about
1		

1	this speculation of WPIX no longer broadcasting the
2	New York Yankees; do you recall that?
3	A Yes, I do.
4	Q Now has have you ever heard that
5	speculation before?
6	A Yes, I have.
7	Q Did you hear it maybe last year, for
8	example?
9	A Yes, I would say that that speculation
10	began last year or certainly was discussed toward the
11	latter part of last year.
12	Q Okay. And is it not true that there has
13	been speculation about the Yankees going off of WPIX
14	and free TV in New York the last several years?
15	A I'm not completely conversant with the
16	details of that. I understand that at the time when
17	the Yankees' principal owners sold the television
18	rights to Madison Square Garden, which was a multi-
19	year deal, there was concern expressed that there
20	would be no broadcast games no games available on
21	broadcast television in the New York market.

Subsequently, arrangements were made for

22

1	Madison Square Garden to lease time on us to provide
2	50 games per year.
3	Q And that contractual arrangement that you
4	talked about, the sale of Yankees' rights to Madison
5	Square Garden, took place several years ago, did it
6	not?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. In fact, it was, what,
9	approximately how many years ago was it?
10	A I wasn't at WPIX at the time. It might
11	have been 1990, around there.
12	Q Okay. And you're aware that virtually
13	every year when this rumor arises about the Yankees
14	going off of WPIX, the Yankees and Major League
15	Baseball get hauled off in front of Congress to say
16	that no, it's not going to happen?
17	MR. SEIVER: Your Honor, I was trying to
18	inquire about this subject and the relationship and
19	the payments, and was met with strenuous objections
20	which were sustained. And now he is turning this
21	witness into a sports expert.
22	If this is allowed, I want to be able to

1	re-inquire. I have other testimony I want to show him
2	and other articles. I'm going to take another 15
3	minutes with this particular issue if he's allowed to
4	continue.
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, is there a
6	response, Mr. Garrett?
7	MR. GARRETT: Your Honor, I'll do anything
8	to avoid (laughter) 15 minutes of his cross
9	examination, so I'll withdraw the question.
10	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: It's withdrawn.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, any other
14	cross examination?
15	Did you have any brief recross?
16	MR. SEIVER: Yes, I did.
17	And I understand Your Honor. I will be
18	brief.
19	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. And I want
20	to give this back to you, Mr. Seiver.
21	MR. SEIVER: Thank you.
22	RECROSS EXAMINATION

1	BY MR. SEIVER:
2	Q Mr. Graff, just a couple of questions.
3	I think there might have been a misspoken
4	word when Mr. Garrett was asking you about selling
5	WPIX programming. We had talked about whether you
6	could actually have programming sold or the signal
7	sold.
8	You understand the distinction, as I
9	right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Superstar doesn't take your programming
12	and sell it?
13	A And sell it elsewhere, correct.
14	Q They pick up your signal pursuant to their
15	license. It's clear all the rights nationally rather
16	than you buying the rights for national and then
17	selling okay, Superstar, here's xena, you can sell
18	it.
19	A Yes.
20	Q Am I right about that distinction?
21	A You're correct.
22	Q And then you were talking about Mr. Padden

1	over our objection about Mr. Padden's testimony, and
2	I believe there were some stations in there that were
3	mentioned that were spending considerable amounts of
4	money and maybe went bankrupt.
5	How is WPIX financially?
6	A My understanding is that WPIX is very
7	sound financially.
8	Q And the Tribune Company as well?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. SEIVER: And that's all I have.
11	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
12	Any redirect?
13	MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, thank you.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. STEWART:
17	Q Mr. Graff, first I want to direct your
18	attention to this do you call it a comparagraph
19	A Yes.
20	Q that Mr. Seiver had marked for
21	identification as SBCA Exhibit 12-X.
22	A Yes.
	l l

1	Q First of all, if you look at on the
2	first page here, over on the left-hand column under
3	WGN at 3:00, there's Beverly Hills 90210.
4	Do you see that?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And over on the right-hand column at 4:00
7	p.m. on WPIX, you see Beverly Hills 90120; do you see
8	that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Now is that the same episode of Beverly
11	Hills 90210 on the two different stations?
12	A It would be unlikely that it would be the
13	same episode. At this point in Beverly Hills 90210's
14	distribution structure, it is at the station's
15	discretion when they air particular episodes.
16	So it would be a matter of coincidence
17	only if out of the 160-some odd episodes that exist in
18	syndication of this show, same station aired them
19	same station aired the same show.
20	Q And the choice of which episode to air is
21	part of your program scheduling effort that you talked
22	about before?

1	A Absolutely.
2	Q And WGN's is done by WGN's program
3	director?
4	A Yes, it is.
5	Q Now could one tell with these three
6	stations available via satellite which one was from
7	Chicago and New York and Los Angeles which one was
8	from Chicago, which one was from New York, which one
9	was from Los Angeles?
10	A By watching the stations, I believe it
11	would become very readily evident where the market of
12	origin was simply because the identification will
13	include the station's identification will include
14	the city of origin; advertisements, local
15	advertisements that would appear on each station would
16	have the flavor or the accents that are peculiar to
17	the particular region where the station is.
18	Q Would the people in New York talk
19	differently from people elsewhere?
20	A So I understand.
21	Q All right, now I'd like to cover a final
22	area with you that Mr. Seiver discussed with you, and

1	that has to do with advertising sales.
2	He talked to you first about national
3	advertisers. Do you recall that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that's advertisers as distinct from
6	national advertising. I'd like to keep that
7	distinction clear, all right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And then there are local advertisers, all
10	right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And both of those kinds of advertisers
13	would buy advertising time on WPIX, is that right?
14	A That is right.
15	Q Okay, now
16	MR. SEIVER: I know this I'm sorry, I
17	need to object.
18	Mr. Stewart is leading the witness as if
19	on cross. I know this is redirect. I want to give
20	him room just to get through it, but I'd like to
21	object to the leading question.
22	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Objection sustained.

1	MR. STEWART: I'd like to draw a map of
2	the United States. I'm telling you that in advance so
3	you'll recognize it.
4	(Laughter.)
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Not bad.
6	MR. STEWART: It's fair enough.
7	BY MR. STEWART:
8	Q And is this about right for New York?
9	A Yes, yes.
10	Q I'm indicating on my map about where New
11	York is.
12	Now do you recall that Mr. Seiver asked
13	you about United Video providing information about
14	where WPIX is carried?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you have a general idea of where it is
17	carried by satellite?
18	A By satellite? My understanding is it's
19	more prevalent on the eastern part of the country than
20	the western part of the country, but that's about the
21	extent of my knowledge of that.
22	Q Do you have an understanding of where it's

1	carried generally on cable systems?
2	A A little bit more specifically. It's more
3	concentrated in the northeastern part of the country
4	and begins to peter out once you get into the mid
5	Atlantic and the lower mid Atlantic states.
6	Q Okay. How many television households are
7	there in the New York market?
8	A Approximately 6,800,000.
9	Q 6.8 million? And do you recall in your
10	discussion with Mr. Seiver how many cable households
11	outside the New York market according to him receive
1.2	the WPIX
13	A Another three million or so. I believe
14	the total figure was ten million.
15	MR. SEIVER: I believe it was four
16	million.
17	MR. STEWART: Four million outside? Four
18	million outside.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay, sure.
20	BY MR. STEWART:
21	Q Is the WPIX signal available to viewers
22	throughout the country?

1	A Only through if they are in a franchise
2	in a cable franchise that carries us or if they
3	have a satellite service available that carries us.
4	Not over the air though.
5	Q Is WPIX available to a non-subscriber
6	A No.
7	Q outside the New York market?
8	A No.
9	Q I want to draw a number of C's to indicate
10	cable carriers, and I'm going to put them mostly in
11	the eastern seaboard region of the United States.
12	All right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Now could you tell us if a national
15	advertiser wanted to buy time on WPIX, what would the
16	national advertiser who would the national
17	advertiser be trying to reach?
18	MR. SEIVER: Objection.
19	I did a lot of examination of advertising
20	and was met with answers that he's not involved in
21	selling the advertising, knows of it generally; and I
22	think this is beyond his competence and clearly beyond

1	the scope of cross and his direct.
2	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Do you want to
3	respond?
4	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, he has testified
5	that he is aware generally of how advertising works.
6	He was asked a number of questions by Mr. Seiver. I
7	never objected to Mr. Seiver's substantial expansion
8	of his direct, but this goes directly to an issue that
9	was raised during this cross.
10	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: The objection's
11	overruled.
12	BY MR. STEWART:
13	Q What is a national advertiser buying when
14	he buys time with WPIX?
15	A He's buying the New York designated market
16	area.
17	Q Did you testify in response to questions
18	from Mr. Seiver that WPIX doesn't get any additional
19	advertising revenue for carriage outside the New York
20	market?
21	A For I did testify to that, yes.
22	Q Well, why would that be? Why is that?
	1

1	A Because that viewership is not
2	traditionally measurable on a in a form that
3	advertisers can utilize.
4	Advertisers either want to advertise in
5	local markets and know that they're reaching X number
6	of a particular type of viewer in those local markets,
7	or they'll have national advertising which would be
8	placed on a network or on a broadcast network or a
9	cable network which is trying to reach as much of the
10	country as possible.
11	And the scattered communities which carry
12	us on cable are not quantifiable to the satisfaction
13	of an advertiser to where they can be marketed to an
14	advertisement because it is it's not national, it's
15	not local; it's just kind of all over, you know,
16	certain parts of the country.
17	Q So how does a national advertiser cover
18	the nation?
19	A Either buying cable networks and broadcast
20	networks, or buying in local stations in local markets
21	that cover the whole country.
22	So if, let's say, the advertiser really

1.	only wants to reach the top 60 or 70% of the country
2	population-wise, that advertiser can make purchases in
3	those individual markets to meet their target.
4	Q All right, now and what about local
5	advertisers? Do local advertisers pay WPIX additional
6	revenues because of their carriage outside the
7	A No, they do not.
8	Q Now Mr. Seiver talked to you about a
9	meeting you had or a meeting you attended with
10	representatives of United Video; do you recall that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q About information that you provided to
13	United Video, is that right?
14	A It was an exchange of information. They
15	also provided a little bit of information to us.
16	Q I'm sorry, that's right; it was it did
17	have to do with this carriage information that they
18	provided to you. Did you also provide information to
19	them?
20	A Just general information about our
21	programming. That was really it.
22	Q Was that information requested by them?

1	A I think it was just a topic of
2	conversation.
3	Q All right, now if at that meeting first
4	of all, you're aware that WPIX is carried by United
5	Video pursuant to the compulsory license, is that
6	right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q So there was no negotiation at that
9	meeting, was there, about
10	A None whatsoever.
11	Q your licensing United Video to carry
12	it, is that right?
13	A No, there was no negotiation.
14	Q If there had been if there were no
15	compulsory license and United Video came to WPIX and
16	said we'd like to carry you, would WPIX be interested
17	in negotiating a fee for this carriage?
18	A I would think we'd want to explore any
19	I think we'd want to explore that source of revenue.
20	Q And is there any offsetting advertising
21	revenue that you would receive from carriage of the
22	station outside of the market?

1	A No, there isn't.
2	MR. STEWART: Thank you. That's all I
3	have.
4	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
5	Anything further?
6	MR. SEIVER: Can I just have just two
7	quick ones, Your Honor? I would appreciate it.
8	Thank you.
9	RECROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SEIVER:
11	Q Just in response to Mr. Stewart's last
12	question, if you did make an agreement and you could
13	clear the rights on the programming itself yourself
14	directly make a deal with United Video or Superstar,
15	there is advertising revenue that you could get based
16	on carriage, isn't there?
17	A I don't know how it would be measured or
18	quantified.
19	Q But is it your testimony that the viewers
20	that might be in these outlying areas are no value at
21	all to advertisers?
22	A Those viewers do have value to

1	advertisers. However, there's no way to quantify them
2	in a way that they can be marketed to advertisers that
3	I'm aware of.
4	Q That you're aware of; and you're not an
5	advertising specialist?
6	A No.
7	MR. SEIVER: That's all I have. Thank
8	you.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
10	Thank you very much, sir. You may step
11	down. You're free to go.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Appreciate it.
14	(The witness was excused.)
15	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Who was next?
16	MR. GOTTFRIED: I'd like to suggest we
17	stop for lunch if that's all right.
18	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
19	MR. GOTTFRIED: It might be a good time to
20	take up the schedule.
21	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Well, that's what
22	we're going to do right now then in view of that.

1	Ms. Woods, you were tardy this morning
2	(Laughter.)
3	MS. WOODS: I apologize, Your Honor.
4	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: when we were going
5	to discuss scheduling, and Judge Cooley is prepared to
6	discuss scheduling at this point.
7	Are you available to do that now?
8	MS. WOODS: Certainly.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
10	JUDGE COOLEY: Okay, if there aren't any
11	strong objections to this schedule, this is probably
12	the one we're going to follow. But we'll hear some
13	objections if there any.
14	We have kind of settled on Copyright Owner
15	proposal number two with some changes, all right?
16	We'll keep it the same down through May 12th. That's
17	our first proposal. Keep it identical. And then the
18	testimony begin that is the start of the rebuttal
19	case on May 16th, which is unfortunately a Friday.
20	We are suggesting going that Saturday and
21	then the first three days of the following week, 19th,
22	20th, and 21st, if those days are needed; and I

suppose continuing on if an additional day is needed. 1 And then there will be changes then on the 2 filing deadlines, but not much actually. June 19th 3 for the filing of findings of fact and conclusions of 4 law, and then July 8 for the deadline for filing reply 5 findings of fact and conclusions of law. 6 7 Any problems with that schedule? 8 Yes? MR. GARRETT: Your Honor, that Saturday, 9 the weekend my daughter graduates from 10 that is I'm prepared to work around that; but if my 11 college. absence here would deeply disturb anyone, I just want 12 you to know it wasn't because I didn't want to be 13 here. 14 CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: The only comment I --15 16 well, I have several comments, Mr. Garrett. But the 17 only one I'm going to make is, do we really need that Saturday, do you think; or could we -- well, I think 18 the reason we scheduled it is because Judge Cooley 19 comes in from Chicago for Friday only the way we have 20

Is it --

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

it set up.

21

22

1	MR. GARRETT: My suggestion would be to
2	leave it as Judge Cooley has proposed here. We'll see
3	what happens when we all file on rebuttal cases. And
4	if we need that day, we could do it that way.
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: We'd have it.
6	MR. GARRETT: And if not, we can work
7	around it. But I would simply say go ahead and I
8	won't ask
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: I understand.
10	JUDGE COOLEY: There would be an option of
11	just doing that Friday, taking the weekend off, I
12	guess, and coming back on the 19th and going into the
13	next week if you'd want to do it that way. That would
14	be another option.
15	MR. GARRETT: The other option which may
16	be a very realistic one, Your Honor, is that my
17	daughter may fail one of her classes
18	(Laughter.)
19	JUDGE COOLEY: Keep us posted on that.
20	MR. GARRETT: I've asked her to do that.
21	JUDGE COOLEY: So just so I understand, we
22	are going to go with this schedule, maybe doing

1	Saturday, maybe not; is that what we've agreed to?
2	MS. WOODS: Your Honor, that's certainly
3	fine for Michelle Woods.
4	That's certainly fine for the Copyright
5	Owners.
6	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
7	How about the carriers?
8	MR. SEIVER: That's fine.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, thank you.
10	We'll have this
11	MS. WOODS: Can I ask I just have one
12	question about it. There was some discussion
13	yesterday of getting rid of the May 6th and 7th days
14	for follow up discovery requests. Does this schedule
15	include that right now?
16	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Right now it does.
17	MS. WOODS: Okay.
18	JUDGE GULIN: We'll defer to you. Was
19	there agreement? I didn't quite remember whether
20	there's an agreement between both sides to delete that
21	or not.
22	MS. WOODS: Your Honor, Michelle Woods.

We would prefer to have those dates in 1 there, but -- because we've found from experience that 2 there sometimes is follow up. But should we find that 3 they're not necessary, we certainly would be willing 4 to agree at a later date to remove them. 5 CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, fine. 6 7 Then we will have this prepared and it will be published by the Copyright Office as the 8 schedule for the remainder of these hearings. 9 10 All right, we'll take our recess -- let me just ask about the witnesses for this afternoon now. 11 Are we going to have the full afternoon for the 12 13 witnesses? I have Mr. Hummel, Your MR. GOTTFRIED: 14 15 This is Barry Gottfried for the Devotional 16 Claimants. I don't know how much cross -- that won't 17 take the whole afternoon, will it? 18 MR. SEIVER: No, it will not. And I asked 19 Mr. Lane on behalf of the Program Suppliers to bring 20 in Marsha Kessler who's one of his witnesses and 21 she'll be available after 3:00. 22

1	MR. LANE: Right.
2	MR. SEIVER: So I think the timing will
3	work out just fine to keep a full day and get two
4	witnesses done.
5	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: We'll come back at
6	about 1:45 then.
7	All right, thank you.
8	(Whereupon, the proceedings recessed for
9	lunch at 12:45 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(1:46 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Okay. All right.
4	MR. GOTTFRIED: Good afternoon. My name
5	is Barry Gottfried and I'm here representing the group
6	of producers of syndicated religious programs with
7	religious themes that have been known as the
8	Devotional Claimants since the days of the old
9	Copyright Royalty Tribunal.
10	I just want to say in reference, the only
11	think that perked my attention this morning was the
12	comment that New Yorkers sound different than everyone
13	else. I've been denying that for the last 25 years in
14	Washington. You'll have to draw your own conclusions.
15	The Devotional Claimants support the
16	position of the Joint Sports Claimants, that the
17	royalty rates for a broadcast statio should be
18	adjusted to \$.35 for 1997; \$.36 for 1998 and \$.38 for
19	1999.
20	The witness we're presenting to you today
21	and the only witness we're presenting, Mr. David
22	Hummel, is not here to urge you to adopt these rates

1	or any particular rates. Rather, Mr. Hummel is here
2	to describe the nature and appeal of one type of
3	programming that appears on the television broadcast
4	stations that the satellite carriers sell to their
5	subscribers and that is syndicated religious
6	programming.
7	As the Panel is aware, and it's been
8	brought to your attention in charts, this kind of
9	programming information is one kind of evidence that
10	the Panel is to consider in deciding what the fair
11	rate is for satellite carriers to pay for this
12	carrier.
13	With that, I'd like to call Mr. Hummel to
14	the witness stand.
15	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
16	WHEREUPON,
17	DAVID M. HUMMEL
18	was called as a witness for the Devotional Claimants,
19	having first been duly sworn, assumed the witness
20	stand, was examined and testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. GOTTFRIED:

1	Q	Good afternoon, can you state your name
2	for the rec	ord, sir?
3	A	My name is David Hummel, H-U-M-M-E-L.
4	Q	And what is your current position, Mr.
5	Hummel?	
6	A	I'm an independent consultant, marketing
7	consultant	serving broadcasters, syndicators and
8	program pro	ducers.
9	Q	And how long have you held that position?
10	A	Approximately four years.
11	Q	Mr. Hummel, you have before you a five
12	page exhibit	t entitled "Testimony of David M. Hummel"?
13	A	I do.
14	Q	And is that and a resume is attached to
15	that?	
16	A	The resume is not attached.
17	Q	Is this your testimony to the tribunal, to
18	the Panel?	
19	A	Yes, it is.
20	Q	Turning do you have any changes or
21	corrections	to make to that testimony?
22	A	No sir.

1	Q Turning your attention to page 1, line 21,
2	you're one of the people who have line numbers
3	A I appreciate that.
4	Q Could you briefly describe your background
5	for the benefit of the panel?
6	A I started out in television in Phoenix,
7	Arizona when I was going to college at Arizona State
8	University. My first job was newsreel cameraman for
9	the ABC affiliate in that market.
10	I went on to the local independent
11	station, KPHO TV and worked the rest of the time while
12	I was getting my degree at KPHO. I was at KPHO about
13	eight years. I left KPHO television which was an
14	independent station to go to work with the Proctor &
15	Gamble Company in Cincinnati, Ohio where I worked in
16	their general advertising department and was
17	responsible for working with their advertising
18	agencies in developing advertising for about 21 of
19	their brands over a period of time, usually 6 to 10
20	brands at a time.
21	After 15 years with the Proctor & Gamble
22	Company, I went to work with the Christian

1	Broadcasting Network where I was responsible for
2	producing programming for that organization, most
3	notable among them was a daytime drama called "Another
4	Life" which was a cable soap. We produced 875
5	episodes of that and then I went on to produce prime
6	time specials and other programming for CBN.
7	Subsequent to that, I ran a broadcast
8	operation for CBN in Lebanon, which fed programming to
9	Israel, Syria, Jordan, Egypt and Cyprus. After doing
10	that for about four years, I returned to CBN, was
11	made Vice President of Marketing. I served in that
12	capacity for about four years and then left their
13	employ about four years ago.
14	Q Since then you've been an independent?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And what have you been doing in that
17	capacity?
18	A I do everything from advising ad hoc
19	network operators on how to program their networks,
20	how to market their programming, to advising
21	independent stations on how to program themselves and
22	how to promote themselves.

1	I purchase air time for ministries, for
2	political organizations and for for-profit operations
3	selling retail and direct marketing products.
4	Q When you say "purchase air time" is that
5	on broadcast stations?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q I would make Mr. Hummel available for voir
8	dire.
9	MR. SEIVER: I have no voir dire.
10	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, thank you.
11	BY MR. GOTTFRIED:
12	Q Turning to the bottom of page 2, around
13	line 44, Mr. Hummel, could you tell the panel what the
14	purpose of your testimony here is today?
15	A The purpose of my testimony is to
16	establish the value of religious or devotional
17	programming to the direct broadcast industry,
18	establishing that there is a market out there that has
19	a desire for it and seeks it out, that this market is
20	diverse and that the various kinds of devotional
21	programs each have their own following and while some
22	attract more than one segment of that overall market,

1	some are very specialized and have their own very
2	faithful following that follow those programs and seek
3	them out and seek them as part of the overall package
4	of programming that they would make sure, want to make
5	sure was included in their programming before they
6	paid any premium for any kind of programming service.
7	Q When you say devotional programming, is
8	there just one kind of devotional programming?
9	A There are several kinds.
10	Q And I think beginning at line 55 you
11	describe three kinds of programming?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Would you briefly describe the three kinds
14	of programming for the panel?
15	A In order that's in my testimony, the first
16	is the 700 Club which is a daily magazine news
17	ministry type format show and this runs 5 days a week.
18	It primarily is designed to inform our religious
19	viewers on current events that are important to them,
20	to provide counsel and perspective on those issues, to
21	provide ministry and to in some ways bring them
22	entertainment that is of a Christian value.

1	The second kind of programming is those
2	which would be best demonstrated by Dr. Stanley or D.
3	James Kennedy where there is a church service that is
4	recorded as a formal church presentation that is
5	primarily features some hymns, some singing, some
6	edification and then straight preaching or a message
7	and then there are others that are produced as special
8	events, for example, Kenneth Copeland may have a
9	special presentation in a tent or in an amphitheater
10	and those are primarily exhortation and preaching
11	programs. Each has its own topic and its own focus
12	and its own flow and his own individual appeal to the
13	various viewers that follow them.
14	Q So the audience for these programs are not
15	identical for each of the programs?
16	A Absolutely, they're totally varied. There
17	are people who would watch one but wouldn't watch
18	another, so
19	Q And on lines 55 and 66 and 75, 77 you've
20	listed stations that those programs are carried on?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And those are stations carried on

1	satellite?
2	A Yes they are.
3	Q Who verified that?
4	A I verified that based on the ads that
5	appeared in Orbit magazine, the Orbit schedule which
6	lists these programs, schedules for the various
7	superstations and cable systems and direct broadcasts
8	and also from the stations program schedules
9	themselves.
10	Q Could you explain to the panel why this
11	program has value to satellite carriers?
12	A It has been demonstrated over many years
13	now that there is a segment of the audience that finds
14	religious or devotional programming very important to
15	them. In fact, in the early days of cable there were
16	some customers who took cable primarily to get
17	religious programming because it wasn't available to
18	them in any other form.
19	These people, while religious programming
20	isn't the only program they watch or the thing they
21	watch the most, it is important enough to them that
22	they want to make sure it's in their program mix.

They demonstrate that supporting the ministries on 1 occasion and by letters to the various ministries 2 saying why can't I get you on, so there's clearly a 3 demand for that kind of programming. 4 broadcast signals οf carriers 5 Many recognize that to make themselves more attractive to 6 7 the marketplace as a whole that it is important that they have a mix or at least some of this programming 8 available in their program mix so that they can 9 audience, that religious of 10 attract that sort devotional programming that it is important to. 11 One program, I think you covered this, but 0 12 can one program attract that audience, can one single 1.3 program attract 14 No, they're too diversified. I think, for 15 Jimmy Swaggart audience may not be 16 example, attracted to a Schuler audience or to a D. James 17 There are different needs and 18 Kennedy audience. different wants. 19 The Reverend Schuler has a different 20 0 21 audience than --22 Α Yes.

1	Q Now on page 4 of your testimony, on line
2	88, you describe an Orbit Magazine study?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Can you describe the result of that study
5	to the panel?
6	A Orbit did a survey of all of its viewers
7	and they mailed out several hundred of these
8	questionnaires and it asked them to rate various kinds
9	of programming which was most very important, sub-
10	important, not important to them. So the results of
11	the survey would obviously add up to more than 100
12	percent because some people would list three or four
13	different programs as being very important to them.
14	In that study, 13.9 percent of respondents indicated
15	that religious programming or devotional programming
16	was very important to them in their viewing day.
17	Q In your experience are the packages of
18	satellite programming aware of the interest in
19	devotional programs?
20	A Yes, they are.
21	Q And to subscribers? And what do you base
22	that?
	1

1	A I base that on the advertising that they
2	put out themselves on listing the programs, the
3	stations they carry in their package and also the
4	programming of those stations which clearly features
5	that kind of programming.
6	Q Have you spoken to people in the industry
7	about this?
8	A Ys, I have. It is clear that these are
9	programs that the people in the industry that I'm
10	referring to are people who are packages of programs
11	and they clearly recognize that value.
12	Q I have nothing further.
13	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, cross
14	examine.
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SEIVER:
17	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Hummel. We met
18	earlier but for the record my name is John Seiver and
19	I'm co-counsel for the Satellite Carriers.
20	Have you testified in these types of
21	proceedings before?
22	A I've never testified before.

1	Q Your first time We have two first timers
2	today.
3	I want to ask you some questions about
4	your testimony. If you don't understand me, you can
5	always ask me to repeat the question. Of course, if
6	there's an objection, wait until there's a ruling
7	before you blurt out an answer.
8	I want to understand a little bit about
9	your background. You had said that you had experience
10	working both for religious programmers and also I
11	believe as an agent. Am I correct that you said you
12	currently placed broadcast air time for religious
13	programmers?
14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q For the record I would just ask you to say
16	yes or no. It's easier for the
17	A Yes, I do.
18	Q So it's clear. What does it mean that you
19	place broadcast air time for religious programmers?
20	A I purchase air time on broadcast stations.
21	Q In other words you pay the broadcasters to
22	carry the religious programming?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q Is that the way most broadcasters get
3	programming?
4	A It depends on the nature of the program.
5	I'm not being vague, but certainly religious
6	programmers, devotional programmers usually buy the
7	time that runs their program, yes.
8	Q As opposed to syndicators of popular
9	series that would place programming. Are they usually
LO	the ones that are being paid by the broadcasters or do
L1	they pay broadcasters?
L2	A The broadcaster inevitably will get time
.3	for his air time. He either gets it by selling it to
L4	a direct broadcast to a religious programmer, to a
L5	direct marketer such as infomercials or by making his
L6	time available to national advertisers through
L7	indicated programming that come to them on a barter
L8	basis.
L9	But the broadcaster's business is selling
20	time. Nobody gets on unless the broadcaster gets
21	money for it.
22	Q So when the broadcaster is paying

1	whatever, tens of millions or hundreds of millions for
2	let's say to Major League Baseball for the rights to
3	carry games, that's not an isolated transaction. You
4	have to look at it in the context of
5	A I'm not an expert on sports programmings.
6	Q So as I see it then, your representation
7	of the religious programmers, you will go to the
8	various broadcasters, I presume some of the ones that
9	were listed, WGN, maybe and WWOR to arrange for your
10	clients' religious programming to be carried?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And when you make those arrangements, is
13	there any other revenue sharing that you engage in
14	with the broadcaster based on any money that might be
15	raised by you during the course of your program?
16	A None.
17	Q Do the religious programmers whose
18	programs you place ever have appeals to the audience
19	to send money?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And is that one of the ways that the
22	religious programmers get compensated?

1	A That's one of the ways, yes.
2	Q Is there another way?
3	A Yes, they use direct mail and
4	telemarketing as well.
5	Q So it's valuable to the religious
6	broadcaster to have as many people seeing the
7	programming as possible?
8	A That is a value to the programmer, yes.
9	Q And when you contract with a broadcast
LO	station to place your religious programming on that
L1	station, does the rate vary with the size of the
L2	market in which that broadcaster operates?
L3	A Only in terms of the size of the local
L4	DMA. Religious broadcasters value markets the same
L5	way commercial advertisers do and they measure by DMA,
L6	the Nielsen designated marketing area and they try to
L7	evaluate the value of that station's air time based on
L8	the lead in program, if you will.
L9	Q Well, are you the person that would sell
20	the 700 Club to KWGN and WWOR?
21	A I would buy the time for it.
I	

1	A Yes.
2	Q And when you sit down to negotiate with
3	KWGN or WWOR is your payment based on the size of
4	Denver DMA or the New York DMA?
5	A Yes, to the local DMA.
6	Q Just to the local. do you have to pay
7	anything additional to KWGN or WWOR to account for any
8	cable or satellite carriers for those particular
9	stations?
10	A No, I do not.
11	Q Are you aware of the extent of the
12	satellite carriers to those two stations?
13	A Not in any real detail. We primarily try
14	to buy specific markets.
15	Q It is not of interest to you that there
16	may be an additional 5 million or 10 million
17	subscribers to a particular super station for whom you
18	are on whom you are placing your religious
19	programming?
20	A I'm sure it has some value, but it can't
21	there's no way to really measure it because the
22	fact that there's a universe out that can see the

	program, does not necessarily convert that universe
2	into viewers and there's no way to measure that
3	universe in terms of viewers. So the purchases made
4	and value primarily on the size of the local DMA.
5	Q You have no way of determining when people
6	all in to either order products or contribute money
7	where they're calling from or sending their money
8	from?
9	A Oh yes, we can determine where they're
10	coming from, but we are also in broadcast in so many
11	markets it's difficult to tell whether or not that
12	person saw us on the local broadcast station or on a
13	superstation or how they saw the program.
14	Q Wouldn't that be some information that
15	would be valuable to you?
16	A It is and we've tried to gather it, but
17	it's difficult because the viewers don't know where
18	they're getting it. Most people when they call in to
19	make a donation, they're not really prepared to answer
20	a telemarketer's query on their viewing habits and
21	sometimes that turns off the donor and since the
22	purpose of the call is to receive the donation,

1	they're reluctant to turn it into some sort of
2	interview.
3	But even on the rare occasion when the
4	question has been asked, it has been my experience
5	that the viewer who has cable attributes everything to
6	cable, even though they're watching the local station
7	on the cable system.
8	Q Well, the 700 Club runs on other stations
9	besides KWGN and WWOR?
10	A Yes.
11	Q How many other stations?
12	A Approximately 90 other stations.
13	Q 90 stations and let's take a market, for
14	example, just for lack of a better term, Broken
15	Arrow, Oklahoma, you don't have the broadcaster in
16	Broken Arrow, Oklahoma, I presume, carrying the 700
17	Club?
18	A I'm not aware that there is a broadcaster
19	in Broken Arrow.
20	Q If you got a call from a viewer that
21	wanted to make a contribution, could you not then
22	assume that they're on cable or satellite if they're

1	not within one of the 90 markets?
2	A They could be getting it from that source.
3	However, they could also be getting it because they
4	were in another community where they were on our
5	mailing list and we simply followed them to that
6	community, direct mail is a very large portion of fund
7	gathering and in most ministries and especially in the
8	700 Club.
9	Q So you have no way of distinguishing
10	between people that mail in their checks or direct
11	mail response or call in in response to watching the
12	show?
13	A Unless they voluntarily put forth that
14	information, there's no hard effort. It hasn't been
15	fruitful. They haven't been able to determine it.
16	Q So I'm not sure then how you can determine
17	what to pay a broadcaster in a particular area for
18	carrying broadcast time if you have no way of tracking
19	where the contributions
20	A Generally, the broadcasters themselves
21	have very strong suggestion of what we should pay.
22	(Laughter.)

1	And that's where the negotiation begins.
2	And we simply put a value on the air time and then our
3	guess is whether or not donations from that market
4	will pay to keep the program on the air.
5	Q How do you determine what the extent are
6	to the donations from that market?
7	A By the donations that come in from
8	addresses within that DMA.
9	Q And if they come from outside the DMA, how
10	do you count those?
11	A Somewhere else. I'm not being flip, but
12	that's basically the extent of the sophistication.
13	Q I'll be more direct. Don't you think it
14	would be an enhancement for your clients if in fact
15	you were placing ads for them based on the number of
16	satellite subscribers that you knew were able to
17	receive particular programming?
18	A Ask me that again, I'm sorry, I got lost.
19	It was a long question
20	Q Wouldn't it be an advantage to your
21	clients who are interested in expanding the reach of
22	their programming to know that they're going to be

1	received by satellite subscribers in order to
2	A I would think that that would be of value.
3	My answer is probably to some degree, but it's hard to
4	measure it. You've got to remember that the purpose
5	of the program is not to make money. It is to
6	minister. It's a different point.
7	Q But the making the money, at least,
8	underwrites the cost of ministering?
9	A It helps to, yes.
10	Q It's helps to underwrite that. Now your
11	testimony here, I think, Mr. Gottfried made a point of
12	saying that you're not testifying as to what rates
13	should be for superstations or network stations?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q But you do understand that that's what
16	this panel is supposed to do?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And do you specifically what this panel is
19	supposed to value in making a conclusion as to what
20	the superstation rates are supposed to be?
21	If you don't know, that's fine.
22	A I don't know the answer to that.

1	Q You haven't herd the term secondary
2	transmission?
3	A Only this morning and when
4	Q When I asked another witness about it?
5	A Yes.
6	Q In your line of work, you have said here
7	that or it was either you or Mr. Gottfried that you
8	could testify as to the nature and appeal as well as
9	the value of the religious programming, is that right?
10	A Uh-huh.
11	Q And I think I wrote down that you would
12	establish, you helped established the value of
13	religious programming to the DBS industry, do you
14	remember that?
15	A That I helped to establish?
16	Q That you could give testimony to help
17	establish the value of religious programming for the
18	DBS industry?
19	A Uh-huh.
20	Q Now did you also mean just so we're
21	clear, we have had a distinction between DBS and C-
22	band. Do you understand that distinction?

1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q C-band is the larger dish, lower powered.
3	A I own one, yes.
4	Q You have one. Do you also have a high
5	powered DBS dish?
6	A No, because I can't see their satellite
7	from my yard. I wish I could.
8	Q And on the C-band satellite, when you say
9	the value of the religious programming to the
10	industry, do you mean to viewers, to satellite
11	carriers, to program packagers? Who are you referring
12	to?
13	A The value of the programming is to the
14	viewer themselves and then the viewer then has value
15	to the DBS operator. If when a direct broadcast
16	organization is out seeking subscribers, part of the
17	benefit of purchasing their service versus another is
18	what programming is unique to them that they feel is
19	important in a marketplace and those who carry
20	religious programming by virtue of carrying the
21	programming clearly indicate that there is some value
- 1	I and the second

to this and there was an article in I think last

1	September, October's Broadcasting and Cable that
2	indicated that the two primary carriers at the time,
3	Direct TV and Echo Star, one of them had indicated
4	that they needed to add some of that programming. I'd
5	have to go get the article for you in order to be more
6	competitive with the other.
7	As a matter of fact, I believe TBS is
8	carried on both systems.
9	Q I'm sorry?
0	A Trinity Broadcasting is carried on both
1	systems.
2	Q Trinity Broadcasting. We use TBS
3	sometimes as a shorthand for WTBS.
4	A I understand.
5	Q And does WTBS have any religious
6	programming?
7	A I haven't followed their program schedule.
8	Q You don't sell them
9	A No, I don't purchase time on WTBS.
0	Q I'm sorry. I want to keep the terms
1	correct. You do not purchase time from WTBS.
2	You mentioned KWGN and WWOR, WGN we've

1	established that you haven't bought time on WTBS.
2	Have you bought any time on WSBK?
3	A I have not directly no.
4	Q And have you bought any time on KTLA?
5	A I bought time on KTLA several years ago,
6	but the program is no longer on KTLA. It's on KCOP.
7	Q Is your testimony today essentially that
8	those superstations that carry religious programming
9	are more valuable than stations that do not carry
10	religious programming?
11	A No. More valuable to whom?
12	Q More valuable to the DBS industry?
13	A I would think so, yes. Simply because
14	they provide again a variety of programming that may
15	not be available to that viewer in that specific
16	locale.
17	Q Now you had mentioned that cable
18	subscribers also have access to this program, am I
19	right? You said that some people when they call in
20	they say I'm watching it on cable, whether it's over
21	the air
22	A That's correct.

1	Q And is that because not only you sell this
2	program, you purchase time not only on the broadcast
3	stations but you purchase time on cable networks, am
4	I right?
5	A The Family Channel carries the 700 Club by
6	virtue of a contract that was created several years
7	ago, but to my knowledge that's the only cable network
8	that carries the 700 Club.
9	Q So we're clear, Christian Broadcasting
10	Network changed its name and became the Family
11	Channel, is that right?
12	A No. The Christian Broadcasting Network is
13	still the Christian Broadcasting Network. They had a
14	subsidiary called CBN Cable Network. CBN Cable
15	Network was sold in part to TCI and others and I'm not
16	privy to the deal, but Christian Broadcasting Network
17	still exists as the entity that produces the 700 Club.
18	Q For over the air?
19	A Over the air and also on the Family
20	Channel.
21	Q And on the Family Channel. So religious
22	programming is available on these superstations as

1	well as on the Family Channel.
2	Are there any other programmers on the
3	satellite or available to backyard dish owners that
4	carry religious programming?
5	A If you scan across the dial on a C-band
6	dish you'll see associated or you'll see occasional
7	ministry programs, none of which re nationally very
8	few of which are nationally known. They're primarily
9	small operations from local churches or local towns.
LO	Q You don't sell any programming to them?
L1	A I don't buy any programs.
L2	Q I'm sorry. You don't purchase time from
L3	them?
L4	A I do purchase time on WHAT which is used
L5	to distribute the 700 Club to broadcast stations as a
L6	means of delivering the program to broadcast stations
L7	across the country. Primarily Christian stations and
L8	low power stations.
L9	Q Primarily, I'm sorry?
20	A Christian stations and low power stations.
21	Q I want to ask you what's been marked as an
22	exhibit of which I'm going to mark as Exhibit 12X, 13,

1	I'm sorry. It's a two-sided document.
2	(The document referred to was
3	marked for identification as
4	Exhibit SBCA 13-X.)
5	I have the original here. I'm sorry, am
6	I one short? It's just one page. I have the original
7	here if you'd like to see it, Mr. Hummel.
8	Do you recognize this?
9	A Yes, this is from Orbit Magazine.
10	Q This shows the satellite TV C-band
11	channels, am I right?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q And it's color coded. It's difficult on
14	the copy, but that's the best I could do without a
15	color copier.
16	If I could approach the witness and show
17	him. They color coded, did they not, Mr. Hummel, to
18	show what is free and what is subscription? Is that
19	correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And also what's not available in the U.S.
22	A Uh-huh.

1	Q	And if you would turn it over you see they
2	also list t	he C-band channels by interest?
3	A	Uh-huh.
4	Q	And there's a category that does say
5	religious?	
6	A	Right.
7	Q	And I wanted to ask you about the
8	categories	down there. You've heard of Able
9	Telecommuni	cations?
10	A	No, I have not. I'm not familiar with
11	them at all	
12	Q	How about Clara Vision?
13	A	Yes, I'm familiar with Clara Vision.
14	Q	Then top of the next column, Dr. Gene
15	Scott?	
16	A	Yes, I'm aware of Dr. Gene Scott.
17	Q	How about WPCB?
18	A	Yes. I'm aware of WPCB, Cornerstone
19	Television.	That's correct
20	Q	Do you sell, do you buy time on these
21	networks?	
22	A	I believe that the 700 Club, I don't

1.	necessarily make the purchase on that, because I don't
2	buy all of their time, but I believe that the 700 Club
3	is on Cornerstone and I know it is on one that's not
4	listed here which is WH it's the last one, World
5	Harvest Television, Galaxy 4 transponder 15.
6	Q The 700 Club is on that as well?
7	A Uh-huh.
8	Q I just want to make sure we have this in
9	the record. After WPCB, is Eternal Word TV network?
10	A Yes. That's a cable network, I believe.
11	Q That is a cable network as well?
12	A Yes.
13	Q But it's distributed, as you understand it
14	on C-band satellites so people with C-band dishes
15	could pick it up?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And then there's La Cadena del Milagro?
18	Are you familiar with that one?
19	A No, I'm not.
20	Q I'm assuming that the ESP might mean it's
21	Spanish?
22	A ESP, yes, it could be.

1	Q It says that at the bottom.	
2	A I don't see some of these because	my dish
3	only gets certain satellites because of its s	creen.
4	Q New Inspirational network?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Odyssey?	
7	7 A Uh-huh.	
8	Q Now all of these that on this	column
9	that I've listed are 24-hour religious progra	mmings,
10	do you understand?	
11	A That's correct.	
12	Q On the first column that	Able
12 13		
	Telecommunications, is that really on just on	Sunday
13	Telecommunications, is that really on just on at 10 a.m. Is that what that means when is s	Sunday
13 14	Telecommunications, is that really on just on at 10 a.m. Is that what that means when is s 10a?	Sunday
13 14 15	Telecommunications, is that really on just on at 10 a.m. Is that what that means when is s 10a? A I don't know. I've never see	Sunday ays Sun
13 14 15 16 17	Telecommunications, is that really on just on at 10 a.m. Is that what that means when is s 10a? A I don't know. I've never see listing before. I've never looked at it.	Sunday ays Sun n that
13 14 15 16	Telecommunications, is that really on just on at 10 a.m. Is that what that means when is s 10a? A I don't know. I've never see listing before. I've never looked at it. Q Back to the other column then, Si	Sunday ays Sun an that DA Good
13 14 15 16 17	Telecommunications, is that really on just on at 10 a.m. Is that what that means when is s 10a? A I don't know. I've never see listing before. I've never looked at it. Q Back to the other column then, Some News network is another religious programmer.	Sunday ays Sun an that DA Good
13 14 15 16 17 18	Telecommunications, is that really on just on at 10 a.m. Is that what that means when is s 10a? A I don't know. I've never see listing before. I've never looked at it. Q Back to the other column then, Some News network is another religious programmer. from 10 a.m. to 12 midnight?	Sunday ays Sun an that DA Good

2 reach my area. 3 Q How about Three Angels? 4 A Yes. 5 Q That's actually Three Angels Broadcas 6 network? 7 A Uh-huh. 8 Q You've purchased time for 9 A No, we do not buy time. The only a	
Q How about Three Angels? A Yes. Description of the Angels and A Yes. That's actually Three Angels Broadcas and A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. Q You've purchased time for	
A Yes. Description of the Angels Broadcas and A That's actually Three Angels Broadcas and A That's	
Description of the property of	
network? A Uh-huh. Q You've purchased time for	
7 A Uh-huh. 8 Q You've purchased time for	ting
Q You've purchased time for	
A No, we do not buy time. The only a	
11	reas
that we buy time on is for example we're	on
Cornerstone TV because we buy time on the sta	tion
itself and they collect and send that out to o	ther
markets, none of which we measure or count in	that
purchase. That World Harvest Television time th	at's
purchased on that network is purchased in orde	r to
reach broadcast entities because we have not been	able
to discern a measurable audience from the C-	band
audience market.	
This is a means of distributing	the
program to broadcast stations across the United St	ates
of which we have a long list of those who take it	
and then either broadcast it as its fed or tape it	

1	run it another time.
2	Q I see. Trinity Broadcasting network, I
3	think you brought that up before.
4	A We do not purchase time on Trinity.
5	Q But they are a 24-hour religious
6	broadcaster?
7	A Yes, they are, but they're a combination.
8	They broadcast stations as well as they feed their
9	program out on satellite again to Christian stations,
10	but most of Trinity programming, if not all of it is
11	watched through a broadcast station in some market.
12	Very little of it is through the C-band dish.
13	Q And World Harvest TV?
14	A Same thing.
15	Q Now you've been testifying about the
16	difference between a broadcast station and the
17	networks that are on the satellite, but you had said
18	that religious programming brings a particular value
19	to the DBS ministry, both in the viewer and to the
20	carrier and that packagers, program packagers for the
21	C-band industry want to include this.
22	Do you know if any of these particular

1	networks that we've listed here actually charge
2	viewers to watch their programming?
3	A Not that I know of.
4	Q Well, we can actually answer that, can't
5	we, by looking and seeing in this listing that there's
6	no little black diamond that says VCII plus
7	subscription channel for any of the religious items
8	that were listed?
9	A That's right.
10	Q Would that means that's in the clear?
11	A It's free, yes.
12	Q So these channels which have religious
13	programming including some with 700 Club and other
14	time that you've purchased, if you have a C-band dish,
15	these are free?
16	A Yes, and if you can reach that, get that
17	satellite.
18	Q You can point to that satellite, that's a
19	terrain problem, not a technical problem? Or not a
20	legal problem. If your dish can find it
21	A If you can see the dish, you can see it,
22	that's correct.
- 1	

1	Q And these particular programs are all in
2	relatively popular satellites generally, aren't they,
3	for instance, G-4 is Galaxy 4. That's right smack in
4	the middle, isn't it?
5	A Yes, it is.
6	Q And G-2 is also a popular one and G-1, G-
7	5, Galaxy 5?
8	A Uh-huh.
9	Q Galaxy 5 has a lot of cable programming on
10	it, doesn't it, such as WTBS and WGN in Chicago?
11	A But I'm not aware whether they're
12	scrambled or unscrambled.
13	Q Well, those particular feeds, your
14	understanding that superstations are sold?
15	A Yes.
16	Q To subscribers in the backyard dish
17	market?
18	A Right.
19	Q For instance, Trinity Broadcasting which
20	is also on Galaxy 5 is in the clear, so there's no
21	subscription necessary, no payment necessary?
22	A That's correct.

Well, I guess I'll ask you to explain to 0 1 me then if a program packager in the backyard dish 2 market, at least the C-band market wouldn't include 3 these programs in its package because it couldn't 4 charge a subscriber for them, if they can already get 5 it free, isn't that right? 6 Well, I think, I'm not sure I agree with 7 Α your conclusion. I think that the issue is that there 8 is clearly a market that wants devotional programming. 9 These people make it pretty clear that's what they 10 want to see when -- by virtue of the fact that where 11 they can find it, they watch it. 12 The issue is not whether you can get it on 13 If I had a choice of buying a C-band a C-band dish. 14 15 dish or a direct broadcast dish, I would probably opt for the least expensive and that means that the C-band 16 dishes are probably going to wane and smaller dishes 17 that are more convenient, easy to put up will continue 18 19 to grow. those people 20 The value to who are competing for that market still remains the same, that 21

they want to offer the best variety of programming,

1	variety of programs that they know have value to the
2	market they're out there for, trying to reach and
3	clearly religious or devotional programming is
4	important to a considerable segment of that market by
5	virtue of the number of people who watch it on
6	broadcast and so it speaks for itself.
7	Q At least as far as the C-band subscribers,
8	putting aside the DBS subscribers, as valuable as it
9	may be to them, subscribers, people who want to watch
10	that program that I listed which is religious
11	programming need not part with any money to watch
12	that?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q They've already sunk that cost in the
15	dish?
16	A Yes.
17	Q I just have a few more questions for you,
18	Mr. Hummel.
19	On page 3 I'm sorry, page 4, you speak
20	of the Orbit Magazine poll?
21	A Uh-huh.
22	Q And Orbit Magazine is the magazine that we
i	11

ı	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
1	spoke of where this particular listing came from and
2	you report a survey that 13.9 percent of the large C-
3	band and KU band are there large KU band dishes?
4	A Well, the KU band dishes are significantly
5	larger than the DBS dish.
6	Q You're talking about like Prime Stars?
7	A Yes.
8	Q The one meter dish?
9	A Uh-huh.
10	Q Rated religious programs is the
11	programming they enjoy the most.
12	A No, no, as programming that they not
13	that they enjoy the most, programming that was most
14	important among those programs most important to them.
15	Q I thought I was reading what you wrote on
16	line 90, that's all. They rated religious programs in
17	the programming they enjoyed the most.
18	A That's correct, but there were several
19	kinds of programming they enjoyed the most, not just
20	one kind.
21	Q Well, I guess I'm confused. I thought it
22	said it indicated that 13.9 percent of those dish

1	owners rated religious programs as the programming
2	they enjoyed the most.
3	A That's correct.
4	Q I guess I'm misunderstanding
5	JUDGE GULIN: I think what you said before
6	was that there were several categories that they
7	enjoyed the most and then they list several
8	categories.
9	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
10	JUDGE GULIN: As programming they enjoyed
11	the most.
12	THE WITNESS: Among those programs that
13	they enjoyed the most are religious programming,
14	whatever else was in that three or four category,
15	their package.
16	BY MR. SEIVER:
17	Q But No. 1 on 13.9 percent of the people's
18	list was religious, is that what that means or am I
19	totally confused?
20	A No, you're confused.
21	JUDGE GULIN: Yes, the answer is yes.
22	BY MR. SEIVER:
t t	1

1	Q Let's move on to the DBS survey. Nine
2	percent of those surveyed reported that they enjoyed
3	religious programming most?
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q Given the same misunderstanding I've had.
6	(Laughter.)
7	At least in the C-band market, those
8	subscribers that enjoy that programming are not paying
9	for it, am I right?
10	A That's correct. I'm sure there are
11	programs on C-band that they have to pay to get the
12	to have decoded for, so there is programming on the
13	two C-band dish owners that they are probably paying
14	for in addition to the quote free programming, yes.
15	Q And on DBS outside of the superstations,
16	you said that there is now carried, is it Trinity?
17	A Trinity, yes.
18	Q Do you know which carrier has Trinity?
19	A I believe Echo Star and TV Direct both
20	have it.
21	Q Now Trinity is free on C-band, am I right?
22	A That's correct.
	H

1	Q Do you know if Echo Star is paying
2	Trinity?
3	A I have no idea. I'm sure they would not
4	divulge that information to me even if I asked them in
.5	that I represent my client as the 700 Club not
6	Trinity.
7	Q Trinity is a seller of air time to the 700
8	Club?
9	A No, they don't sell time.
10	Q I'm sorry, I misunderstood that. You've
11	also said on page 5 that satellite program packagers
12	are in effect selling someone else's product. Now I
13	just wanted to focus on this. There is the religious
14	programming that's free, obviously they're not selling
15	that, but you're saying they're selling is the
16	superstation programming?
17	A They're selling a package of programs in
18	order to attract it's kind of like K-Mart. K-Mart
19	has a lot of products in the store. It may not be the
20	most important ones but in order to draw the customers
21	in to K-Mart they have to tell the people out there
22	that they have a good variety of programming or

1	products in this case. So DBS operators know that to
2	bring people in they have to have that variety.
3	Now they may not charge them for that. It
4	may be in their basic package, but it's still
5	something that they put there in order to enhance the
6	value of the basic package, a similar way the cable
7	programmers have their basic package that includes a
8	variety of programming for which the consumer pays a
9	basic price to receive the program, the package in
10	general. And then they tier above that.
11	Q Have you reviewed any of the program
12	promotional materials that have been submitted in this
13	particular case?
14	A No, I have not.
15	Q So you have not had an opportunity to look
16	at Superstars or Netlinks or any of the other C-band
17	packagers?
18	A No, I haven't.
19	Q Same with for the DBS suppliers?
20	A Only promotional materials that appear in
21	Orbit Magazine. Those issues that I've seen, yes.
22	Q And you actually said on page 5 there in

1	line 106 that Orbit Magazine plans to devote a
2	substantial portion of its March 1997 issue to
3	religious programming?
4	A That's what they told me, that's correct.
5	Q That is what they told you, do you know if
6	they did?
7	A I have no idea because Orbit sold out in
8	my market. I couldn't even find a copy of it.
9	Q Well, I didn't want to kill too many
10	trees, Your Honor, but I did bring the March 1997
11	issue of Orbit Magazine and if I could have the
12	indulgence rather than show it to everybody. Orbit is
13	not something else. If I could show it to the witness
14	and ask if there's anything on religious programming
15	in it or at least a substantial portion.
16	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Mr. Garrett may have
17	the opportunity to look at the exhibit if he wishes.
18	MR. GARRETT: I just want to get the
19	baseball schedule out.
20	THE WITNESS: Unless it is buried in the
21	adult programming section which is sealed, I don't
22	believe it's in there.

(202) 234-4433

1	I must comment sir, however, that the
2	information was given to me by Orbit sales department
3	themselves directly when I inquired on what was
4	happening in the March program, in that I was looking
5	in to purchasing advertising space. I think you've
6	lost your schedule.
7	MR. SEIVER: I have no more questions.
8	Thank you, Mr. Hummel.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Any other cross
11	examination? Redirect?
12	MR. GOTTFRIED: Nothing.
13	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, Mr. Hummel,
14	thank you very much, sir, you may step down. You're
15	free to go.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	(The witness was excused.)
18	MS. WOODS: At a convenient time, I'd like
19	to comment on the order of witnesses for the next few
20	days. Is this a good time?
21	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: This is a good time.
22	MS. WOODS: Okay, conversations with

1	various counsel it appears that the order for tomorrow
2	will assuming we finish Ms. Kessler today be Mr.
3	Cooper and Mr. Wilson. And for Thursday, we have a
4	slight change in previous plans. We expect to leave
5	with Mr. Crandall, Joint Sports Claimants, followed by
6	mr. Sternfield, a network witness.
7	After discussion it still appears we will
8	need to meet on Saturday and so assuming that we don't
9	run very quickly through additional witnesses
10	tomorrow, we would have Mr. McLaughlin on Saturday and
11	then scheduled for next week will be Mr. Owens on
12	Monday and Mr. Gerbrandt on Tuesday.
13	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Monday is?
14	MS. WOODS: Dr. Owens, network witness.
15	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Tuesday?
16	MS. WOODS: Tuesday is Mr. Gerbrandt,
17	Joint Sports witness.
18	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. Okay.
19	JUDGE GULIN: Let me just ask Ms. Woods,
20	if we were to go late Wednesday, Thursday, is there
21	any chance that we don't need Saturday or is that
22	pretty much hopeless?

1	MS. WOODS: Well, my understanding is that
2	we really don't think we can cover Mr. McLaughlin in
3	half a day. If we could do that, we might be able to
4	manage it, but it sounds like that's not just going to
5	be possible given the concentrated costs.
6	JUDGE GULIN: All right.
7	MR. SEIVER: Your Honor, I think we did
8	finish before Ms. Kessler had arrived.
9	MR. LANE: She's on her way. I apologize.
10	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, we'll take
11	a brief recess then until Ms. Kessler is available to
12	testify.
13	(Off the record.)
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right.
15	MR. LANE: Your Honor, I'm Dennis Lane. I
16	represent the Program Suppliers. I just want to make
17	a couple of brief comments. The issue here, we
18	believe, is the value of the programming on the
19	signals, although the rates are for the signals
20	themselves, it's our belief that people take
21	programming, they take signals to get particular types

22

of programming.

We represent the Program Suppliers which is the group who has all the series and movies as Ms. Kessler will testify. She'll give you some of the examples. We believe series and movies are some of the most popular programs by any measure and a very important reason for satellite carriers to take certain stations and more important for the carriers, for subscribers to want to buy those stations.

We did not support, we did not calculate a separate rate ourselves in our testimony. We're supporting the rate that all the owners are supporting here, that is the rate that the Joint Sports witnesses have put on which is \$.35, \$.36, \$.38 rate.

So that calculation is not in our testimony, however, we believe that part of the valuation is determined by what types of programming is on that and how important that programming is to attracting and retaining subscribers.

Our second witness will be Mr. Cooper who Bob Garrett and I were just talking about. We believe he's testified in every proceeding and we think there might be one he didn't testify in, but he also

1	testified in the 1992 proceeding in this matter and
2	his testimony will give you an idea of some of the
3	rates that are charged by providers of this
4	programming to subscribers to give you an idea of what
5	the range is of that rate, something that the prior
6	panel found very helpful in its deliberations.
7	We've called Marsha Kessler to the stand,
8	Your Honor.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, Ms.
10	Kessler, if you'll raise your right hand, please?
11	WHEREUPON,
12	MARSHA KESSLER
13	was called as a witness for the Program Suppliers,
14	having first been duly sworn, assumed the witness
15	stand, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. LANE:
18	Q Would you state your name for the record,
19	please?
20	A Marsha E. Kessler.
21	Q By whom are you employed, Ms. Kessler?
22	A Motion Picture Association of America.
- 1	t and the second

1	Q And what is your position at the Motion
2	Picture Association?
3	A I'm Vice President, Copyright Royalty
4	Distribution.
5	Q And could you briefly describe what your
6	duties and responsibilities are in that position?
7	A My primary responsibility is to allocate
8	the funds we receive from the panel to the represented
9	claimants and by funds I mean the cable television
10	funds under Section 111 and the TVRO funds under
11	Section 119.
12	Q So after this money is gotten, you're the
13	one who gets it out to the individual claimants, is
14	that right?
15	A I'm frequently one of the most popular
16	people in my industry. The week before the checks go
17	out, I am very popular.
18	Q Have you ever appeared as a witness
19	before?
20	A I have been a witness not as often as Mr.
21	Cooper, but in many proceedings before the old CRT,
22	Copyright Royalty Tribunal. I've been before the
1	If

1	Canadian Copyright Board and this is my second
2	appearance before the CARP Panel.
3	Q And what were the issues that were
4	addressed in your prior testimony?
5	A The primary issues were the rules and
6	regulations of the FCC as they pertain to cable
7	systems carriage of broadcast stations.
8	I've also testified on different program
9	types.
10	Q And do you have before you a 5-page
11	document entitled "Testimony of Marsha E. Kessler"?
12	A Yes, I do.
13	Q And is that your testimony in this
14	proceeding?
15	A Yes, it is.
16	Q Do you have any corrections to that
17	testimony?
18	A Two tiny typos. On the last page 5, at
19	the end of the first paragraph the word "retrain"
20	should be "retain". I'm not sure whether that was a
21	typo or a Freudian slip, but at any rate it should be
22	"retain".

1	And on page 3, at the top of the page
	under item 2, there are three Star Trek series listed
2	
3	and I did not capitalize the T in Trek under one of
4	those.
5	Other than that I have no additional
6	Q We all caught that one, I'm sure.
7	A My boss caught that one.
8	Q Ms. Kessler is available for voir dire.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Any questions?
10	MR. SEIVER: No questions.
11	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, thank you.
12	Go ahead.
13	BY MR. LANE:
14	Q Ms. Kessler, to whom does Program
15	
l	Suppliers programming appeal?
16	Suppliers programming appeal? A In my experience in this industry since
16 17	
	A In my experience in this industry since
17	A In my experience in this industry since 1982, the audience for syndicated product is a wide
17	A In my experience in this industry since 1982, the audience for syndicated product is a wide variety of people, all ages, all economic backgrounds.
17 18 19	A In my experience in this industry since 1982, the audience for syndicated product is a wide variety of people, all ages, all economic backgrounds. I have yet to meet a person who does not find

1	Q And what is the basis for that appeal?
2	A I think the content of the programming
3	itself is the basis for the appeal to various kinds of
4	viewers.
5	We have entertainment programming. We
6	have educational programming. We've got cartoons.
7	We've got movies. We've just got a wide variety of
8	things that the public finds, the viewing public finds
9	attractive.
1.0	Q Have you offered in your testimony a list
11	of representative syndicated programming that's shown
L2	on the stations carried by satellite carriers?
13	A Yes, I have.
14	Q The first type that you've identified is
15	entertainment programming. Could you just briefly
16	explain what you've listed there for us?
17	A I've listed a number of different program
18	types that I just made up on my own when I was writing
19	the testimony. The first one that I have referenced
20	in here is the evergreen type of program. This is a
21	program that has been around quite a long time. My
22	favorite example of the ones I listed is I Love Lucy

1	which first premiered I think on the CBS network in
2	1951. So that is a program. I was born in 1950.
3	That's a show that has been on the air practically my
4	entire lifetime.
5	There are people who can tell you specific
6	episodes of I Love Lucy. You can mention the candy
7	eating off of the conveyor belt episode of I Love Lucy
8	and there will be a whole crowd of people who will
9	instantly nod, yes, they recollect that episode. So
10	that is one kind of program, an evergreen program that
11	popular when it was first on the air and continues to
12	be in syndication even today with a wide viewership.
13	Q Ms. Kessler, on page 1 of your testimony
14	you list I Love Lucy and you have KWGN listed next to
15	it. Could you explain why you did that?
16	A When I was compiling the listing of
17	programs for this testimony I wanted to make it
18	relevant to the hearings and so I listed at least one
19	broadcast station that's carried by satellite carriers
20	that also broadcast the program.
21	Q So for each of the programs that you've
22	listed, the station that's identified next to it is a

1	station that's offered by satellite carriers that
2	carries that program?
3	A That's right.
4	Q You've also listed cartoon shows in your
5	testimony, is that correct?
6	A Yes sir.
7	Q And do cartoon shows appear only to
8	children in your experience?
9	A Well, speaking as a former child and as a
10	current adult, I can tell you that I love cartoon
11	programs. I know that at work or in other social
12	situations you can hear people talking about the
13	Simpsons, one of the current cartoon programs on the
14	Fox channel, KDVR in Denver.
15	For other people like a baby boomer like
16	me, something like Woody Woodpecker is also it
17	brings back memories of childhood. We still get a
18	chuckle out of it from time to time.
19	There are also some cartoon programs that
20	I think are primarily attractive to children. I'm not
21	particularly fond of the New Adventures of Winnie-the-
22	Pooh, but I know that many of my friends who have

1	small children are really like that show quite a
2	bit.
3	Q Do some of the programs that we represent
4	in this proceeding appear on the network and are
5	network programs rather than syndicated programs?
6	A That's right.
7	Q Could you just explain sort of the
8	difference between being a network program and a
9	syndicated program?
10	A When a program is on the network, the ABC,
11	CBS or NBC network and actually Fox to some extent,
12	the program is available through one primary source
13	and then is simultaneously transmitted throughout the
14	country on affiliate television stations in a
15	particular market.
16	So when we say that something is on the
17	network, we know that it's going to be say on Thursday
18	nights at 8 o'clock and if you look Thursday nights at
19	8 o'clock on your CBS affiliate, regardless of what
20	town you're in, you will find the same program.
21	When something is available in
22	syndication, that means that the distributor or the

1	syndicator now has the rights to sell or license that
2	program to individual broadcast stations and that
3	station can be a network affiliate or an independent
4	station and that station can determine the time slot
5	for airing the program.
6	Q And is it possible for programs to be in
7	both the network run and in syndication at the same
8	time?
9	A Absolutely. In fact, I think if not all,
10	certainly a lot of the programs that I listed under
11	item 3 in my testimony are programs that a viewer can
12	turn on and see in the network time slot and find
13	somewhere else in syndication.
14	Q Is there something called appointment
15	television? Are you familiar with that term?
16	A I am familiar with that.
17	Q Could you explain what's meant by that?
18	A I have used that designation describing
19	game shows, but it actually can apply to virtually any
20	kind of program. In the case of a game show, people
21	make it a point to be at home in front of the
22	television at a certain time to watch a certain

For example, I literally before coming here 1 finished the audit of my distribution of cable 2 television royalties and the auditor was telling me 3 that she rushes home every day to watch Jeopardy and 4 do her exercise machine and that is how she plans her 5 day so she can be home to watch Jeopardy. So I know 6 from reading the trades and from personal anecdote 7 that people do make it a point to be home to watch 8 certain programs. 9 And are there dramatic programs included 10 Q in the syndicated program category? 11 There certainly are. There is a category 12 Α

A There certainly are. There is a category of program that I call high drama type program. These are essentially the hospital-doctor shows, the cop type shows where there is a crisis of some proportion and the characters are engaged to resolving the conflict. They generally run about an hour in duration.

Q And are there imaginative type programs as well in the syndicated program category and I wonder if you could speak particularly to Mr. Siever's favorite, Xena?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	A Would you like a picture?
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. SEIVER: I was trying to get an
4	autograph.
5	THE WITNESS: I'll see what I can do for
6	you if you're nice to us.
7	(Laughter.)
8	There certainly are. There are programs
9	of either a real character or an imaginary character
10	that take place in the past. For example, the first
11	program that I listed as Little House on the Prairie.
12	Now when I was a little girl, every little girl in the
13	United States read the Laura Ingalls Wilder series of
14	books. It was about a little girl growing up I think
15	in Nebraska, but somewhere in the western plains and
16	it was the progress of this little girl from her young
17	childhood until she gets married. So Little House on
18	the Prairie is an example of how that book that
19	series of books was converted into television
20	programming.
21	The favorite, Xena, the Warrior Princess,
22	I've not had the pleasure, personally, of viewing, but

my understanding is this is an imaginary character, possibly out of mythology, some time in the past, who can do quite heroic events.

In addition to these imagining what it could have been like shows in the past, we also have futuristic type programs. My favorite one is Sliders on the Fox network where people slip in and out of various dimensions in different time periods on earth and they go through a really cool graphic on the screen and they land in places they don't know what it is, but they're in danger, but they get out by the end of the hour. So you're always relieved, but then they go into another one the next time --

Q They never learn, huh?

A Well, see they can't get home. The thing is they don't know how to get home to their own dimension so that's a really good one.

Another example are the Star Trek programs that were, I think the first one, I don't remember how long Star Trek was actually in syndication, but it's spawned spinoff Star Treks, taking place in space ships and different universes, lots of high tech

1	stuff, people who and creatures who look different
2	than we look. They have spawned all kinds of Treky
3	groups. If you go on the Internet you can see Treky
4	websites. I have a friend who, I don't know how it
5	works, but he participates in a Treky thing on the
6	Internet whereby as time goes by he is promoted. He's
7	going to be a captain the next time. He achieves a
8	certain event and he's very proud of his
9	accomplishments in his Treky thing on the web.
10	I can tell you that I have been to a
11	convention, a Star Trek convention, and there are
12	people who just love these things. It's like real
13	life to them. And it's certainly fantastic in the
14	sense that it deals with something of a fantasy or
15	imaginary nature.
16	Q Do you think that this interest would
17	translate into loyal viewers of these programs on
18	satellite carried delivered stations?
19	A Yes, I do.
20	Q You mentioned that syndicated program
21	category also includes programs that educates or
	1 .

Could you enlighten us about those,

enlightens.

22

please?

A I'll be more than happy to. When I think of a program that enlightens I think of one that brings information to the public to which it may not have ordinarily have access. So a current news program such as the headline news, also known as Cable News Network is the kind of program that the reporters are nationwide. Any time there is something going on in the world, we can have almost instant access to it. When we had the Persian Gulf War, that was certainly a time when headline news was most avidly viewed and called upon by television viewers.

We also have the kind of programs that can educate us in ways, again to which we may not ordinarily have access. An example would be the National Geographic type programs where we can learn about animals or about geology, about plants. That sort of thing or a Cousteau program where we can explore the bottom of the ocean and learn about things which most people will never have the opportunity to do, but which we can look on television and find out about.

NEAL R. GROSS

We also have programs of a current affairs nature. We can look up and we can see the financial programs like It's Your Business or the Wall Street Journal and find out what's going on in the stock market for a particular time.

One of these programs I imagine a lot of people have not heard of the U.S. Farm Report. I put that in there for a purpose and that is I started working in this business in 1982. That program has been on the air since even before I started doing this kind of work. So while we here in Washington may not be particularly keen on knowing farm news, in reality this is an enormously, not just popular, but important television program for the farming community in the United States.

The final program, I've talked a little bit about in this category is the "how to" type of program and I reference the Bob Vila's Home Again program. I can tell you from persona experience I have learned how to hang sidewall by watching the Bob Vila show, so that's an important program to me and it's something that I truly did learn how to do it

Do the syndicated program category also 2 0 include specialty programs that just may be a one time 3 event? 4 Our category does include that and the 5 Α type of thing that I've referenced here are the 6 special events like 4th of July fireworks or a New 7 Year's Eve party, a Christmas parade, that sort of 8 thing that takes place one time in one city and then 9 Those also have -- there are people 10 it is no more. who love parades and they will watch a parade show 11 12 with a great deal of enthusiasm. sports Is there also 13 0 programming within the category? 14 We have sports and leisure as well. 15 have car shows. We have fishing shows. 16 shows, car race shows, triathlons. We have shows like 17 George Michael's Sports Machine which show clips of 18 We have funny shows games from the previous week. 19 like the baseball and football blooper type programs. 20 These are not sporting events, per se, but programs 21 22 about sports.

from that show.

1

leisure

We have race

and

1	Q Now all the programming that we've talked
2	about so far is a certain type of program, is that
3	true?
4	A That's right. We've been talking about
5	series.
6	Q And could you just briefly describe what
7	a series program is?
8	A A series program is one that the
9	scheduling is what we call stripped. When we say
10	something is stripped, we mean that it runs in the
11	same time slot usually Monday through Friday, maybe at
12	7:30 or 6:30 or in a particular time slot on the
13	weekends. The characters you know who the the
14	viewer knows who the characters on the show are. They
15	recognize the people from one episode to the next.
16	Q Does the program supplier category include
17	another type of programming?
18	A The other kind of programming in our
19	category is our movies.
20	Q What kind of movies would be included?
21	A All kinds of movies. We've got mystery,
22	drama, sci-fi, musicals, historical type movies, any

, l	movie whether it was made for television, in the
1	
2	theater years ago or whatever is included in our
3	category.
4	Q Are certain of the satellite delivered
5	stations heavily movie lineups?
6	A They are, in particular the independents
7	carry a lot of movies.
8	Q And could you identify some of those
9	stations for us?
10	A Sure, WTBS in Atlanta; WPIX in New York;
11	and WSBK in Boston.
12	Q Are the programs you've identified in your
13	written testimony or this afternoon the full extent of
14	the syndicated program category?
15	A While I've covered basic types of
16	programming, I really have only touched on the
17	surface, the programs encompassed in our claim or in
18	our category. This gives you a taste of the kind of
19	programming that the program suppliers offer.
20	Q Do you think that syndicated programs are
21	important to satellite carriers?
22	A I think they are. I don't remember who

1	said this, I was here just briefly and during opening
2	statements, but the satellite carriers are certainly
3	delivering a signal, but it's not a signal, it's a
4	signal with content and certainly a good portion of
5	that content is the programming offered by the program
6	supplier group, so I certainly believe it's enormously
7	important and popular.
8	Q What factors go into making syndicated
9	programs?
10	A I would say two things. one is certainly
11	the creative genius of the people who come up with the
12	programs, but secondly without the money to support
13	the genius, the genius cannot be expressed, the
14	creativity cannot take place.
15	It takes a lot of money to make a TV show.
16	Q How are those costs recovered?
17	A Those costs are recovered, if it's a
18	program that's produced for the network, the programs
19	will be sold to the network, not for the full value of
20	the production cost, but at some amount less than that
21	and so that the gap has to be made up with other
22	venues.

1	Q Do the carriers use the syndicated
2	programs for their own gain?
3	A Well, they charge subscriber fees for
4	television signals and the signals contain our
5	programming, so in my opinion they do.
6	Q And should the panel consider these
7	factors in setting rates in your judgment?
8	A I certainly hope that you will do that.
9	Q And why is that?
10	A I would like to have more money to
11	distribute to my companies so that we can keep the
12	creative juices nurtured.
13	Q Those are all the questions I have.
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right, cross
15	examine.
16	CROSS EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. SEIVER:
18	Q Thank you. Good afternoon, Ms. Kessler.
19	A Hi.
20	Q Nice to see you again.
21	A Thank you.
22	Q Just picking up on your last comment, do
ļ	II

1	you think there's not enough money going around to
2	your members?
3	A I never think there's enough money going
4	around to my members and non-members. You know that
5	we don't represent just the studios, but probably over
6	100 claimants, big guys and little guys.
7	Q And you talked about how it takes a lot of
8	money to produce shows and is there a direct
9	correlation between the amount of money that's spent
10	on the shows and the quality of the shows?
11	A Could you tell me what you mean by
12	quality?
13	Q You can spend a lot of money and make a
14	show that is taken off the air very quickly and
15	doesn't have a run and it's not evergreen. It's not
16	imaginative. It's not in any of your listing of
17	popular programmings.
18	A Okay, I see, but I didn't know what you
19	meant by quality. I would say there's a lot of ways
20	of looking at quality. The Star Trek programs,
21	because of their technical aspect of them are
22	certainly high high quality programs, but you might

1	not like them and consider them to be of low quality.
2	Q Didn't the networks cancel Star Trek when
3	it was first run on the networks?
4	A If you say they did, I'll agree with you,
5	but I don't know that on my own.
6	Q I'm sorry, I assumed from the reason that
7	you included them is because you understood the
8	history of Star Trek. That's not one of your programs
9	that you watch, I guess.
10	A Actually I love Star Trek Next Generation.
11	Q You don't remember growing up
12	A No, Star Trek is different than Star Trek
13	Next Generation and I think you're referring to Star
14	trek.
15	Q Yes, that Gene Roddenberry produced one
16	that came into syndication. Isn't that what this is?
17	Gene Roddenberry, didn't he take it off the networks
18	when they canceled it?
19	A If you say he did, I'll agree with you,
20	but I don't have personal knowledge.
21	Q I was hoping you would confirm that.
22	A I believe you're telling the truth.

1	(Laughter.)
2	I don't feel like arguing about it if you
3	have those facts. I don't see any reason to dispute
4	them.
5	Q As far as your testimony is concerned in
6	this proceeding, this is a little bit different than
7	what you normally do, isn't it?
8	A It certainly is.
9	Q And the cable distribution proceedings
LO	which your testimony is I guess famous, you focus on
11	FCC rules and cable royalty calculations and the like,
L2	am I right?
L3	A Correct.
L4	Q And in fact, in those proceedings you've
L5	testified as to the Nielsen ratings, is that right,
-6	and how programs are perceived and viewed and trying
.7	to segregate who gets allocated what portion of the
L8	copyright royalties?
L9	A That's right. I have talked some about
20	viewing. I've talked quite a bit about the signal
21	carriage rules, the old signal carriage rules. Is
22	that what you're asking?

1	Q Yes.
2	A Okay.
3	Q And would this be the first time you've
4	testified as not a relative value, but asking for a
5	rate increase or a particular royalty rate?
6	A I think the answer is yes. I think it is
7	the first time.
8	Q And your testimony here is if I have it
9	right is really focusing on the value that you say,
10	this programming that you've listed presents within
11	the superstation signals that are carried?
12	A Both the diversity and the value.
13	Q Do you understand the valuation process
14	that this panel is engaging in for purposes of this
15	proceeding?
16	A I'm not sure I understand the question
17	first of all.
18	Q Well, do you know what it is that the
19	panel is supposed to put a value on when it's done at
20	the end of the six months?
21	A They will evaluate the cost on a per
22	subscriber basis for the privilege of carrying

1	broadcast	signals.
2	Q	Superstations and network stations?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	Now this is just for satellite carriers,
5	not for ca	ble operators?
6	A	That's right.
7	Q	Because that's done on a separate license?
8	A	That's right.
9	Q	And you are urging here I [presume there
10	that the o	current rates are inadequate and should go
11	up?	
12	A	Of course.
13	Q	Whatever they are, they're not enough?
14	A	That's right.
15	Q	But at some point you feel that you'll be
16	satiated a	and there will be enough money that' being
17	generated?	
18	A	How can I answer that question? I will
19	certainly	be very happy and not just me, but our
20	companies	would certainly be delighted to have a
21	higher roy	ralty.
22	Q	And your companies are participants in the

1	distribution proceedings for satellite royalties,
2	right?
3	A Those C file claims.
4	Q And you are also participants in the cable
5	royalty distribution proceedings, isn't that right?
6	A That's right.
7	Q And there's considerably more money at
8	stake in the cable royalty proceedings?
9	A There certainly is although yes, that's
10	right.
11	Q And as far as your testimony today is
12	concerned, you're not urging that the cable royalties
13	are inadequate, are you?
14	A To my knowledge this hearing focuses on
15	119 royalties.
16	Q You'll get your money where you can get
17	it. I wanted to ask you about the valuation process
18	and that's when I was asking about what this panel was
19	obligated to do.
20	I presume, given your copyright expertise
21	that you know the term secondary transmission?
22	A Right.

1	Q And do you know if the panel here is to
2	value, obtain the fair market value of a secondary
3	transmission?
4	A My understanding is that the objective is
5	to approximate that fair market value.
6	Q And the secondary transmission is a
7	specific defined term, isn't it?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And do you know what that definition is?
10	A I'm not sure, may I give it to you by
11	example or analogy?
12	Q Absolutely.
13	A If we have WTTG, Channel 5 here in
14	Washington carried by a cable system in Hagerstown to
15	its subscribers, that is considered a simultaneous
16	secondary retransmission of the broadcast station
17	WTTG. Is that
18	Q Okay, and the distinction of primary
19	transmission would be?
20	A That would be the broadcast to the D.C.
21	market.
22	Q To the D.C. market. And your

1	understanding in your testimony here you would agree
2	that there's a vast difference between the economics
3	of primary transmissions and the economics of
4	secondary transmissions, am I right?
5	A I don't feel competent to respond to that
6	question.
7	Q You understand the difference, but you
8	don't know whether there's a different valuation
9	process for one or the other?
10	A I'm not sure I understand the question, so
11	I'm not sure I can answer.
12	Q Let me come at it in a different way. I
13	wasn't trying to be obtuse. I just thought that
14	A When you say the word that's throwing
15	me is valuation.
16	Q Well, that's what I think is throwing
17	everybody.
18	A Okay.
19	Q This panel is going to try
20	A It's not just me then.
21	Q This panel is going to have to despite the
22	problems with wording come up with a value of a
	1

1	secondary transmission and I'm crying to put it in the
2	context of a secondary transmission is by definition
3	a secondary transmission of a primary transmission.
4	A But not a second rate one.
5	Q I didn't say that. She's always arguing.
6	The primary transmission is a completely different
7	economic model is what I'm trying to say than the
8	secondary transmission economic model. Am I right?
9	A I'm not trying to argue with you. Are you
10	saying that the method by which a broadcast station
11	obtains and pays for programming is different from the
12	method by which a cable system or a satellite carrier
13	obtains and pays for programming?
14	Q I couldn't have said it better.
15	A Okay, then I agree with that.
16	Q And in fact, the owners and producers or
17	transmitters of the primary transmission are more in
18	the business of putting that primary transmission out
19	as widely as possible to as many people as possible in
20	order to obtain advertisers who will pay additional
21	funds to them because of the reach of that signal?
22	A You're saying that the broadcast ask

1	again.
2	Q Maybe I should let you give the
3	explanation because it's easier
4	A Are you saying that the broadcasters want
5	to make their programming available to as many people
6	as possible so that they can maximize their
7	advertising rates?
8	Q Absolutely.
9	A Okay, then I agree with that.
10	Q You agree with that.
11	A Okay.
12	Q Maybe we should switch.
13	A Okay.
14	Q And the secondary transmission market that
15	cable operators engage in and you would agree that
16	satellite carriers and cable operators are essentially
17	doing the same thing, packaging programming nd getting
18	viewers to pay a fee for a package of programming?
19	A Right, correct, I agree with that.
20	Q That their reach is not based or
21	advertising, but more on being able to get people to
22	pay certain amount of money for package of

1	programming?
2	A they certainly want to maximize their
3	subscribership.
4	Q They want to maximize their subscribership
5	and price points or programming that they sell retail
6	obviously work into that, right?
7	A What are price points?
8	Q Someone, a cable operator wants to charge
9	\$10 for a package of programming versus \$20 for a
10	package of programming. He's going to analyze whether
11	\$10, I'll get maybe three times the number of
12	subscribers. That would maximize his return rather
13	than charging \$20 which some people would pay but
14	maybe he'd have less money.
15	A Okay.
16	Q I'm just trying to do the economics of it.
17	A Okay.
18	Q And you agree with that approach?
19	A Uh
20	Q Or you understand that approach?
21	A I don't have any experience in it, so I'm
22	trying to be agreeable with you, but I don't have a
Į.	1

1	background on which to draw.
2	Q I'm still trying to take us back so I
3	don't think I'm going down the wrong unknown path, to
4	help this panel with their valuation obligation. And
5	this secondary transmission is generally marketed as
6	part of a package of some other secondary
7	transmissions, cable networks and other programming
8	that are combined and then sold in a package,
9	generally.
LO	I was trying to just determine from you
L1	that whereas a broadcaster really wants to maximize
L2	the number of subscribers for purposes of maximizes ad
L3	revenues, cable operators and satellite carriers want
L4	to maximize the number of subscribers for subscription
L5	revenue.
.6	A That seems reasonable to me.
ا 7	Q So as a consequence of us comparing
L8	primary transmissions and secondary transmissions, we
L9	would agree that the market, the economics of that
20	market are different for the two?
21	A I agree.
22	Q When you, your member company sells

1	programming, that's the program suppliers, members
2	sell their programming, generally what you've listed
3	here is their sales of that programming to people who
4	are the first market we're talking about, the
5	primary transmitters?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And when are you familiar with the
8	details of the transactions that when a syndicated
9	sits down with KDBR in Denver and horse trades over
LO	programming rights as to what changes hands, money, ad
L1	time, whatever?
L2	A I have absolutely no exposure to or
L3	experience in that.
L4	Q So you're not testifying that at least as
L5	far as let's say Sliders, that KDVR in Denver is
L6	underpaying the syndicator for Sliders?
L7	A Actually, Sliders is a Fox show and KDVR
L8	is a Fox affiliate. Possibly the arrangement is
L9	different when something goes to an affiliate than
20	when it's sold outright.
21	Q Well, let's look at Jacques Cousteau on
22	WTBS. You're not testifying that whoever produces or

1	owns the rights to Cousteau's programming is not being
2	paid enough for it by WTBS?
3	A I have no knowledge.
4	Q And do you know whether WTBS actually paid
5	a premium based on its cable and satellite carriage?
6	A I have no knowledge
7	Q You picked these stations because you do
8	know who are superstations and what network stations
9	are carried on the satellite?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Have you watched those stations yourself?
12	A I have neither cable nor satellite at my
13	house. I don't have a VCR or a microwave oven either.
14	But I've watched every show in here, just not through
15	the venue that you're asking.
16	Q As far then as your knowledge of the
17	relationships between the programmers and these
18	primary transmitters, you've got nothing to add to the
19	testimony or evidence here today?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you know whether or not the purchasers,
22	the primary transmitters get rights that are limited

1	to a particular market or are greater than a
2	particular market?
3	A I don't I'm not sure I understand the
4	question.
5	Q Well, if you don't know the answer that's
6	fine, but let me try it a different way. When let's
7	say WTBS is buying Cousteau's Rediscovery, do you know
8	if they're buying national nonexclusive rights,
9	national exclusive rights or just Atlanta area rights?
10	A I don't know.
11	Q So if, in fact, someone that's a secondary
12	transmitter and I've used the example of Superstar as
13	one of the representatives is here, went to WGN,
14	uplink, and said we want to take this particular
15	programming, let's see some WGN programming, the U.S.
16	Farm Report, and that's all we want. We just want the
17	U.S. Farm Report. Let us have it and we'll put it on
18	the satellite. Is it your understanding that WGN
19	would have the rights to commit that kind of a
20	licensing arrangement?
21	A I don't know.
22	Q AS far as the areas in which the primary

1	transmissions occur and you've listed the cities, WTBS
2	in Atlanta, WSBK is Boston and you've gone on down,
3	your understanding is that signals are picked up in
4	those areas and sold nationally through cable systems
5	or through satellite carriers?
6	A Yes, I know that.
7	Q And the members of your group, the program
8	suppliers I presume are as knowledgeable about that as
9	you are?
10	A They're possibly more knowledgeable.
11	q More knowledgeable, so that when whoever
12	owns Cousteau sits down with WTBS, they don't think
13	they're just selling to some
14	A Absolutely.
15	Q Poor Atlanta station, not that all Atlanta
16	stations would be poor, but isn't
17	A Particularly that one.
18	Q And are you aware of how advertising is
19	sold on the programming that your clients or member
20	groups
21	A I have no experience or exposure to that.
22	q Now you do have some experience in knowing

1	what the programs are and you list the ones that are
2	in the various categories. Now these are ones that
3	are currently on, is that correct
4	A I'm not sure in 1997, if that's what you
5	mean.
6	Q That's what I mean.
7	A Currently. I could not testify to every
8	single program.
9	Q And we don't know whether these shows will
10	be on in 1998 or 1999 or whatever?
11	A I'll place a bet with you on I Love Lucy.
12	Q How about Beverly Hillbillies? Are they
13	still on?
14	A I don't know anything about 1994 or 1995.
15	Q How about Petticoat Junction?
16	A I don't know.
17	Q Do you remember in the distribution
18	proceeding for 1989 the cable distribution proceeding,
19	that resulted in an order of 1992, do you remember if
20	you participated in that for Programmer Suppliers?
21	A I'm sure I must have.
22	Q I'd like to mark as our next exhibit 14.

1	(The document referred to was
2	marked for identification as
3	Exhibit 14-X.)
4	A decision issued by the Panel on April
5	27, 1995.
6	Do you know if you were there?
7	A The whole side of that room was there.
8	Q I'm reminded of my inability to again
9	A Do I get a copy?
10	Q No, you've memorized it, is that right?
11	A No.
12	Q Don't need to look at this. And the
13	reason I was asking you, Ms. Kessler, about this and
14	is this a familiar decision to you?
15	A Yes, it is.
16	Q If you would go to the page in the <u>Federal</u>
17	Register that's 15302, it's very close to the end.
18	(Pause.)
19	Are you with me?
20	A Yes.
21	Q There's a heading of paragraph that says
22	"Program Suppliers" and I want to ask you about

1	basically the middle of that paragraph and then the
2	bottom of the paragraph and I want to have you explain
3	something to me, if you want to read it just for a
4	moment.
5	A Starting with "For clues why"?
6	Q Yes.
7	· A Okay.
8	JUDGE GULIN: I'm sorry, Mr. Seiver, I'm
9	running a little behind here. What page?
10	MR. SEIVER: 15302. It might be hidden by
11	the staple. In the far left corner it's headed
12	well, a third of the way down it says Program
13	Suppliers.
14	(Pause.)
15	BY MR. SEIVER:
16	Q Do you remember this issue and have you
17	read this decision before?
18	A Yes.
19	Q In that part that's almost smack in the
20	middle where it says "this indicates", do you see
21	where I am where this says "this indicates that
22	although syndicated series have high totals in

1	viewing, cable operators do not expect to have to pay
2	that much for them. This was corroborated by Mr.
3	Wussler, a former president of WTBS who testified that
4	the broadcast licensees for such shows as "The Beverly
5	Hillbillies" and "Petticoat Junction" are relatively
6	cheap."
7	Now you know why I asked you about whether
8	those two shows were still on.
9	A That's right, but I would note that Mr.
10	Wussler is not a cable operator.
11	Q He is the former president of WTBS?
12	A That's right.
13	Q And the broadcast license fees is what he
14	was testifying to, is that right?
15	A My recollection is yes.
16	Q And because the way it works is that a
17	broadcast licensee, the primary transmitter, buys the
18	rights to these programs which then the secondary
19	transmitter picks up as part of a whole signal?
20	A Right.
21	Q The secondary transmitter can't say I just
22	want Beverly Hillbillies or just Petticoat Junction?
- 1	11

1	A Correct.
2	Q In fact, that would be copyright
3	infringement if they started cutting down the signals.
4	A That's my understanding.
5	Q That they have to carry the entire signal
6	with the associated ads and lead ins.
7	A Right. Maybe that's the answer to you,
8	the question you asked about the Farm Report.
9	Q Well, you are an expert and maybe I could
10	just make sure we got that. If it's a broadcast
11	signal, that is a particular carriage that's
12	designated under section 119 of the Copyright Act.
13	A Right.
14	Q If the Farm Report shows up at WGN on a
15	video tape, my thought was could they take that video
16	tape and hand it to SuperStar and say you do what you
17	want with it?
18	A I see. Number one, I don't know if they
19	could retransmit just the WGN feed of that. Also, I
20	don't know who the copyright owner of the U.S. Farm
21	Report is. It possibly is someone other than WGN. In
22	fact, I would bet a lot of money it's somebody other

1	than WGN.
2	Q As we look at this, there are a lot of
3	different people involved in creating the programs
4	which are included on the superstations.
5	A That's right.
6	Q You would say hundreds, thousands?
7	A Are you talking about individual humans or
8	corporations?
9	Q Individual entities that would be
10	licensing rights and bartering these transactions with
11	the broadcasters.
12	A Possibly thousands.
13	Q Possibly thousands. And even your group,
14	Program Suppliers, is only a subset of the program
15	producers that wind up on broadcast television.
16	Right?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q It's major league sports, we have the
19	devotional people, we have the music people, all the
20	people that show up at the distribution proceedings.
21	A Right.
22	Q And within those groups of claimants,

1	there are sub-groups. Am I right? Well, I would
2	presume within your Program Suppliers group you have
3	got people that make movies, people that do syndicated
4	
5	A I see. Yes, that's right.
6	Q Is part of that the phase 2 controversy
7	that happened in these distribution proceedings?
8	A What a phase 2 controversy is, MPAA has a
9	methodology for allocating the royalties. It is based
10	on distant cable viewing. There are two groups of
11	claimants, one being broadcast stations and the other
12	being multi-media entertainment, who do not like the
13	MPAA methodology and they go for their own share of
14	the fund. So that's phase 2, meaning plaintiffs
15	within a category
16	Q Of program suppliers.
17	A Right, within the program supplier
18	category.
19	Q They fight amongst themselves for their
20	shares of that.
21	A Over the share that we already got for
22	them.
ŀ	

1	Q I presume that happens with major league
2	baseball, the NBA, and baseball I mean the Joint
3	Sports claimants, the NBA, baseball, whomever might be
4	saying my sport is more valuable. I don't like your -
5	-
6	A In a phase 2 controversy?
7	Q In a phase 2. I am just trying to put an
8	example
9	A Not against programs.
10	Q No not against Program Suppliers, within
11	their own claimant group.
12	A Possibly, but I don't know what goes on in
13	their
14	Q But at least for the Program Suppliers
15	claimant group, you have explained that.
16	Do you believe that the licensing
17	mechanism that we have in place here kind of forces
18	everybody to basically agree how these funds are going
19	to be distributed? I mean this is a statutory
20	mechanism that kind of sticks everybody in this room
21	and gets us going. Isn't that right?
22	A Well I'm not sure is I would I would

1	say we certainly have to take what is awarded to us.
2	In our hearts, we may not agree to it.
3	Q I guess probably nobody agrees that it's
4	enough.
5	A That's right.
6	Q My comment that I had asked you or the
7	point that I had asked you to read about in the 1992
8	decision was just one of the points. I wanted to look
9	further down. If you have read it all, I am not
10	trying to skip anything in particular, I was just
11	going over the stuff about the Bortz survey.
12	Then there was this last paragraph. I was
13	wondering if you could explain to me. This award,
14	which is the 60 percent to Program Suppliers.
15	A Okay.
16	Q Includes a continuing credit for harm
17	based on our previous record, but quantifiable
18	evidence is still lacking. Do you know what that
19	means? What's the harm they are talking about?
20	A Harm means harm to the copyright owner
21	when he loses the exclusive right to say where his
22	program is going to be aired.

1	Q Do many purchasers of programming, and
2	broadcasters are the primary transmitters, pay rights
3	to be exclusive in a particular area?
4	A I don't have any experience on which to
5	draw to answer your question.
6	Q Do you know the term syndicated
7	exclusivity?
8	A Yes. I do.
9	Q Do you understand that for syndicators
10	that exclusivity is also sold?
11	A Yes. I know that.
12	Q And are you aware that at least for
1.3	satellite signals that there is a distinction based on
14	whether or not a syndicated exclusivity has been taken
15	care of for the satellite superstations?
16	A I know that there is a rate for Syndex
17	Proved stations versus those which are not Syndex
18	Proof. However, it is my understanding, and I am not
19	trying to argue with you. It could be that I am
20	misinformed, but it's my understanding that the reason
21	a particular station may be Syndex Proof is not
22	related to section 119 requirements at all, but

1	because the signal is also being provided to cable
2	systems wherein syndicated exclusivity is an issue.
3	If I am wrong about that, I'll stand to be
4	corrected, but that is my understanding of why a
5	station is designated Syndex Proof, not because of
6	119, but because of 111.
7	Q Do you remember in the proceeding, the
8	distribution proceedings subsequent to this one, this
9	was 1989. When I say this, in 14X, was 1989, that
10	there was another proceeding in 1990, 1991, before the
11	1990, 1991 and 1992 cable royalty funds?
12	A We just finished that recently.
13	Q And sad to say that actually it probably
14	started well over a year ago, didn't it?
15	A Actually it started several years ago. It
16	was aborted and then reconvened in December of 1995,
17	I believe.
18	Q I have some testimony from December of
19	1995. I notice it's a docket number of 1994. It was
20	a 1996 decision, so it was a long wait.
21	A Right. A long time.
22	Q Is your boss Jack Valente?
	ll .

1	A No.
2	Q Is he in your organization?
3	A I think he works there, yes.
4	Q Do you recall any testimony from him as to
5	whether or not syndicated exclusivity was something
6	that was a valuable item for programmers?
7	A I don't recall his testimony at all.
8	MR. SEIVER: I would like to mark my next
9	exhibit 15X, a transcript of Mr. Valente from the
10	1991-92 proceeding.
11	(Whereupon, the document was
12	marked for identification as
13	SBCA Exhibit No. 15-X.)
14	BY MR. SEIVER:
15	Q Again, I have excerpted it heavily only to
16	the point of trying to save a few trees. Ms. Kessler
17	I am most interested in page 2760. I have the initial
18	pages there just so you can see. I am sure it's
19	probably like a bad nightmare of everybody who was
20	here and how long that went on.
21	On page 2760, there's a question by a Mr.
22	Stewart, who I believe represented the broadcasters.

1	I am really interested starting around line 11, if you
2	could just read on down to the point on the next page.
3	You are free to continue on, but at line five is where
4	my interest stops.
5	A Okay.
6	Q There is I think some more argument
7	between Mr. Valente and Mr. Stewart. I wanted to ask
8	you, does this refresh your recollection of that
9	testimony concerning syndicated exclusivity?
10	A I didn't read his testimony. I was not
11	present for his oral testimony.
12	Q Do you agree with what Mr. Valente said,
13	that Syndex while worthy and valuable in theory was a
14	slenderized piece of worthlessness in the market
15	place?
16	A If Mr. Valente said it, I can tell you I
17	believe it whole heartedly. But I don't have any
18	personal knowledge or experience that I can tell you
19	on my own.
20	Q Now we had been talking briefly about your
21	sale or your member company, I'm sorry. I
22	occasionally will say you. I mean Program Suppliers,

1	the member companies. Programs, the networks.
2	Are you aware of who is participating in
3	this proceeding besides Program Suppliers? Have you
4	been privy to that?
5	A I think virtually not all of the eight
6	claimant groups before cable, but many of them,
7	including the networks.
8	Q The networks generally aren't able to
9	claim in the cable royalties, or they are not able to
10	claim at all, am I right?
11	A Not under section 111.
12	Q Under section 111, the networks are
13	specifically excluded?
14	A Actually, network programming is not
15	compensable.
16	Q Network programming.
17	A However, networks also have some
18	programming in syndication, and in so far as they have
19	syndicated product, they are entitled to compensation.
20	Q Is that through your organization?
21	A Right.
22	Q So in a sense, the networks do

1	participate, but as a member of the Program Suppliers.
2	A Correct.
3	Q Here you understand they have a right that
4	was found by our prior panel or the Tribunal that they
5	could participate directly in the royalties?
6	A Yes. I know that.
7	Q As networks. Are you are aware that ABC,
8	NBC and CBS are in this proceeding?
9	A Yes. I am aware of that.
10	Q Are you aware that at least as far as a
11	network on the copyright owners claimants side that
12	Fox is not participating?
13	A I understand Fox to be part of our group.
14	Q Fox is part of your group?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Not a network?
17	A I don't believe they are participating as
18	a network here.
19	Q Do you know what the rate is that's paid
20	under the copyright license for their programming?
21	A I wouldn't swear to it, but it's my
22	understanding that the rate is the same as the network

1	rate, but I could be corrected on that. That's what
2	I believe.
3	Q They are participating for their non-
4	network syndicated programming, is that it, as the
5	commercial networks are as part of your group?
6	A Correct.
7	Q They are not participating for their
8	network programming?
9	A Can I tell you my experience in a previous
10	distribution?
11	Q Sure.
12	A We had a single distribution of royalties
13	for 1989, 1990, and 1991. I received money for Fox
14	under cable and under TVRO I paid to Fox for all of
15	its programming.
16	Q And under TVRO.
17	A And under TVRO, correct.
18	Q I am not going to ask you to
19	(No response.)
20	MR. LANE: Excuse me, Mr. Seiver, but TVRO
21	is satellite carrier 119. That has not been a term
22	that we have used, so not to confuse the record. But

1	is that what you meant, 119 is the
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. What did I say?
3	MR. LANE: TVRO.
4	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay, yes. Under 119 I
5	paid Fox as a syndicator for all of its programming.
6	BY MR. SEIVER:
7	Q TVRO is television receiver?
8	A Correct. Synonymous with satellite or
9	119.
10	Q Now are you testifying here that in the
11	process of setting rates, the panel should acknowledge
12	that the creativity and originality that goes into
13	making syndicated programming means that the rate
14	should go up?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And is it also your testimony that if the
17	rate goes up, distribution of programming will be
18	enhanced?
19	A You mean it will get more money?
20	Q No. That it will be more widely
21	distributed if the rates are raised?
22	A I think that it's going to be more widely

distributed regardless of whether the rate is raised. 1 At some point though will the rate get so 2 0 high that it will tend to reduce the output? 3 I am a little bit lost in what you are Ά 4 5 asking me. Well, I am just trying to understand that 6 we don't have a free market as everyone complains. 7 You are asking for a free market. If you said it's a 8 dollar as opposed to 15 cents, do you think that the 9 satellite carriers would be able to sell the same 10 amount of programming that they are currently selling 11 if their costs go up like that? 12 It is one of my -- it is my understanding, 13 Α and again, I could be corrected on this, that one of 14 the criterion by which the judges are going to 15 evaluate all of our positions is the relationship 16 I would hate to be between your industry and mine. 17 Solomon in this, I'll tell you, but it would be my 18 expectation that wherever we go with the rates, they 19 will certainly be something that approaches or 20 approximates a market value and also respects the need 21 of satellite carriers to earn a decent living. 22

1	I don't know if that responds to your
2	question or not, but
3	Q I'll take it. Now you have also said in
4	your testimony that your programming is a key
5	ingredient in the ability of satellite carriers to
6	attract and you corrected it, retain subscribers.
7	A Actually I think I liked retrain better.
8	Q Subscribers have to learn, I guess. Now
9	is key in that your understanding that the satellite
10	carriers are in competition with cable operators?
11	A When I wrote this, I was not thinking of
12	competition at all. Actually what I was thinking of
13	was another anecdote, personal experience. Several
14	years ago I was on the board of directors of a
15	condominium project in Falls Church. The properties
16	had tons of problems. The roofs were leaking, the
17	boilers didn't work, the elevator didn't work, and all
18	we ever heard at board of director meetings was when
19	are we going to get cable television. I was
20	astonished. I couldn't believe it.
21	So my personal experience is people want
22	programming on television. They like a nice signal.

1	It certainly is wonderful to have a great signal, but
2	the signal is nothing without the programming.
3	I represent Program Suppliers. Of course
4	it is going to be my position that our programming is
5	vital to selling subscriptions for satellite carriers.
6	Q And I understand that if you have cable
7	and you have satellite, and maybe even the apartment
8	building has its own private cable, the element is is
9	that to attract and retain subscribers means you have
10	got to competitively be successful.
11	A You have got to have programming that the
12	viewing public wants to see.
13	Q But there are a number of other items too,
14	that your prices have to be right, and service has to
15	be good, and all that is going to work into it, isn't
16	it?
17	A I'll tell you, after my experience on the
18	board of directors, I think we could have charged them
19	a hundred dollars a month and they would have paid for
20	it. I mean they were rabid for it.
21	Q Have you invested in the cable industry?
22	A I have not.

1	Q Have you watched the cable industry stocks
2	in the last months?
3	A I do not.
4	Q You don't know whether they have been
5	successful with the market generally?
6	A They are in business today.
7	Q I guess the degree of closeness to the
8	edge I guess. I'll withdraw that.
9	In your testimony about as I have now
10	tried to characterize it as competition, do you see
11	that the satellite carriers are directly competing
12	with cable operators in areas where there is cable
13	carriage and the ability to put up dishes?
14	A I understand that that is true.
15	Q People might not necessarily buy both, buy
16	one or the other?
17	A I don't have any personal knowledge of
18	that.
19	Q And as far as the quality of the picture,
20	in your understanding does the digital quality of
21	maybe a DBS program package make a difference as far
22	as the interest in watching Program Suppliers

1	programming?
2	A You are talking to the lowest tech person
3	on the face of the earth. I am sure there is an
4	answer to that question, but I don't know it.
5	Q If you had two operators with your same
6	programming on both sets, and one was digitally
7	delivered and very clear and one was analog delivered
8	and maybe just the regular analog, do you think people
9	would prefer your programming on the digital clearer
10	picture?
11	A I don't know what digital and analog mean,
12	but I know I would like to have the clearer signal.
13	Q The clearer signal is important to you.
14	As far as your clients or members are concerned, have
L5	they ever expressed to you that in their market
16	negotiations, the digital well, I'm sorry, clarity
L7	of picture is important to them in negotiating their
18	contracts?
19	A I have not had such a conversation.
20	Q You also state towards the end of your
21	in the last sentence, that you are asking the panel to
22	set royalty rates. You submit that the panel should

1	encourage the continued development of syndicated and
2	other programming by setting royalty rates for
3	satellite carriers that more closely approximate the
4	free market value of programming. I am going to ask
5	you some questions about that.
6	Encourage the continued development of
7	syndicated programming. Syndicated programming is
8	developing today, isn't it?
9	A Yes. It is.
10	Q And it has developed over the last 40 or
11	50 years as well, given I Love Lucy and every other
12	show, has continued there.
13	A That's right.
14	Q You are not sounding an alarm bell that
15	without some increasing rates syndicated programming
16	is going away?
17	A Not eliminated entirely, no.
18	Q Are you unable to compete with other types
19	of programming because of the lower royalty rates that
20	are paid here?
21	A I don't know the answer to that question.
22	Q As far as more closely approximate the
i	II

and you said free market value.

1.8

A Actually, I do have an answer to that question. I apologize. I think I told you that we represent about 100 companies. I am not sure, but I think maybe less than a dozen of those 100, maybe eight or 10 of the companies are the large studios or the large distributors. The remaining companies are very small companies. When I calculate a share for these companies, I carry it out to the fourth decimal point, not because I am a freak about detail and accuracy, but sometimes I have to work really hard to get to the 0.0001 percent so somebody can get a royalty check.

The guy who gets 0.0001 percent is enormously grateful for that payment. I don't know, quite frankly, I don't know what he uses his money for. But I know that just prior to a distribution time, my phone rings off the hook because people want their money. This is important money to my companies.

I was just going to say so certainly any kind of income certainly enhances that company's ability to either produce and distribute new

1	programming or to buy programming for distribution.
2	Q Now these particular member groups, they
3	also look to other revenue streams besides what might
4	be distributed here when they sell their programming
5	to the primary transmitters. That's a fair market
6	deal where they are getting paid. Am I right?
7	A That's right.
8	Q So they do have that money, they are
9	getting paid there.
10	A They do.
11	Q And as far as their understanding of the
12	market place, satellite carriers, superstations, has
13	been going on for many many years, hasn't it?
14	A Right. Yes.
15	Q Cable and satellite and then DBS started
16	in 1994. So it's fair to say that these programmers
17	to the extent that they know what they are getting
18	into when they sell their programming to a WSBK or a
19	WTBS or someone that's on the satellite.
20	A They certainly do.
21	Q And do you have any reason to believe that
22	these program suppliers do not have the ability to

1	negotiate in an arms length deal with these
2	superstations when they sell their programming?
3	A I don't know what arms length means. I
4	heard somebody say it here the other day, and I don't
5	know what that you mean face to face negotiation?
6	Q There's no compulsion. Right. Maybe that
7	one is bigger than the other for unequal bargaining
8	power, but at least there's no forced or compelled or
9	non-market rate that governs their dealing with the
10	particular station they are selling the programming
11	to.
12	A No. It's a matter of negotiation.
13	Q That's all in the open. And the
14	circumstances that surround that negotiation include
15	everybody's knowledge of superstations and satellites
16	and cable and MMDS and SMATVs and everything else. Am
17	I right?
18	A I am not sure they always understand.
	A I am not sure they always understand. Q But you had said you think your Program
19	
18 19 20 21	Q But you had said you think your Program

1	Q You would be very surprised if one of
2	these Program Suppliers went to WTBS and thought they
3	were just selling to the Atlanta market?
4	A Oh I agree with that.
5	MR. SEIVER: That's all I have. Thank you
6	very much.
7	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. Any other
8	cross examination? All right. Any redirect?
9	MR. LANE: Could I just have a minute,
10	Your Honor?
11	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Surely.
12	MR. LANE: I just have a couple of
13	questions.
14	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. Thank you.
15	MR. LANE: Thank you.
16	REDIRECT
17	BY MR. LANE:
18	Q Ms. Kessler, you were just asked some
19	questions about whether Program Suppliers were aware
20	that WTBS is widely carried by cable systems and
21	satellite carriers. Do you recall that?
22	A I do.

1	Q And in your judgement, is there any reason
2	why WTBS should pay the Program Suppliers for that
3	carriage by satellite carriers or cable systems?
4	A No.
5	Q And are you aware that satellite carriers
6	gain in subscription revenues from including WTBS in
7	the packages they sell to subscribers?
8	A Certainly. That is what they are in
9	business to do.
10	Q And to the extent that they gain, do you
11	think in your judgement it's fair for them to pay the
12	market value of that programming?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. SEIVER: Excuse me. I am objecting to
15	the leading nature of this line of questioning.
16	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. You want
17	to rephrase the question?
18	BY MR. LANE:
19	Q Are you aware that satellite carriers gain
20	revenues from their subscribers for selling packages
21	that include WTBS?
22	A Yes. I am.

1	Q In your judgement, should that service be
2	subsidized by copyright owners?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. SEIVER: Objection again. This is
5	very leading.
6	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: Objection sustained.
7	BY MR. LANE:
8	Q Would the part of the market place
9	consideration in negotiation between Program Suppliers
10	and satellite carriers at section 119, were not in
11	existence be amount of gain that the carriers would
12	receive from including WTBS in their packages?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. SEIVER: Same objection and also
15	confusing.
16	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: And also what?
17	MR. SEIVER: Confusing. I didn't
18	understand the question.
19	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: I think it's leading.
20	THE WITNESS: I didn't get it either.
21	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: The objection is
22	sustained.

1	MR. LANE: Someone once told me redirect
2	was the hardest questions. I have proved that point
3	and just pass any further questions.
4	THE WITNESS: This is the second time this
5	has happened between him and me in here. I get
6	increasingly embarrassed as time goes by.
7	MR. LANE: Those are all the questions I
8	have.
9	CHAIRMAN GRIFFITH: All right. We'll
10	adjourn today. Remember now, we're going to start at
11	9:30 tomorrow morning. Leave early because of the
12	heavy snow. 9:30 tomorrow morning. Thank you.
13	(Whereupon, the proceedings recessed at
14	4:10 p.m., to reconvene at 9:30 a.m. the following
15	day.)
16	·
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in

the matter of:

Hearing: Satellite Rate Adjustment,

Docket No. 96-3 CARP-SRA

Before:

Library of Congress

Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel

Date:

March 18, 1997

Place:

Washington, DC

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

Trave Gry

Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel

Satellite Rate Adjustment

03-18-97

p768-1039

WP5.1

Neal R. Gross & Co. (202) 234-4433